No.9/ 2024 dated: 05-03-2024

Time: 11.00 AM

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE LIST for 07-03-2024

(Court Sitting will be held through Virtual & Physical Mode)

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

SI.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel / Party	Remarks
No				
1	R.A.No.2 of 2024	M/s.Grace Infrastructure Pvt. Limited	M/s.Rugan & Arya Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to forthwith pay to the petitioner a sum of Rs.80,81,31,929/- plus Rs.14,80,198.65 being the balance due for the period from 16.03.2017 to 07.12.2020 to the petitioner from TANGEDCO for power supplied from the wind generation units. Remand made by Hon'ble APTEL in its order dated 15.02.2024 in Appeal No.155 of 2022 dated 15.02.2024 setting aside the orders of the Commission in D.R.P.No.23 of 2020 for consideration afresh in accordance with law. For hearing.
2	M.P.No.6 of 2024	M/s.Karma Energy Ltd (Formerly Greenweiz Projects Ltd) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC (iv) SE/Chennai EDC	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the order of the Commission dated 28.12.2021 in D.R.P.No.4 of 2016 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the order. For counter.

3	M.P.No.7 of 2024	M/s.Karma Energy Ltd (Formerly Mitra Fidelity Ltd) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC (iv) SE/Chennai EDC	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the order of the Commission dated 28.12.2021 in D.R.P.No.3 of 2016 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the order. For counter.
4	M.P.No.8 of 2024	M/s.Karma Energy Ltd (Formerly Tapi Energy Projects Ltd) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC (iv) SE/Chennai EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the order of the Commission dated 28.12.2021 in D.R.P.No.5 of 2016 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the order. For counter.
5	M.P.No.9 of 2024	M/s.Fortune Integrated Assets Finance Limited (Formerly Wind Construction Ltd) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director / Finance (iii) SE/Solar Energy/NCES	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of the orders of the Commission dated 12.04.2022 in D.R.P.No.16 of 2021 and R.P.No.5 of 2022 dated 13.07.2023, and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the said orders. For counter.

6	D.R.P.No.1 of 2024	M/s.Southern Energy Development Corporation Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) SLDC (iii) CFC/General, TANGEDCO (iv) SE/Nagapattinam EDC	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To set aside the action of the respondents in seeking to levy scheduling and system operation charges vide letter dated 29.08.2013 of the 3rd Respondent and letter dated 13.09.2013 of the 4th respondent whereby the 4th respondent had retrospectively worked out scheduling and system operation charges for the period from 01.04.2012 to 24.05.2023 levying a total sum of Rs.6,74,04,862/- on the petitioner for scheduling and system operation charges and consequently direct refund of charges paid pursuant to such period of demand ending on 24.05.2023 and other orders. For counter.
7	T.A.No.1 of 2024	M/s.Kamachi Industries Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.M.A.Mudimannan Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To quash the demand notice dated 05.05.2020 issued by the 2nd respondent levying a sum of Rs.25,30,222 on the petitioner as the alleged dues payable for open access charges for the month of April 2020. Transferred by the Hon'ble High Court of Madras in its order dated 19.09.2023 in the matter of start-up charges. For counter.

8	M.P.No.30 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Raj Hair International Pvt. Limited	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Adv.Ajay Francis Inigo Loyola	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FY 2014-2015. For rejoinder.
9	L.P.No.1 of 2024	Union of India Military Engineer Services (MES) Air Force Tambaram Rep. through its Garrison Engineer Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director / Distribution (iii) Director /Operation (iv) CFC/Regulatory Cell (v) CE/Opn., SLDC (vi) SE/OA & Co- Ordination, SLDC	M/s.P.V.S.Giridhar Associates Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To pass appropriate orders for handing over of connected Assets to MES created from Defence Funds at Thanjavur Air Force Station and Tambaram Air Force Station area to establish direct grid connectivity being Deemed Licensee to enable MES to operate as Deemed distribution Licensee in Tamil Nadu State and to purchase power directly from generators and to permit MES to source power from TANGEDCO at the rate of State Average Power purchase cost in line with the order issued by KERC dated 21.04.2023 till conclusion of PPA Generators and other orders. For filing of affidavit and for further hearing.
10	D.R.P.No.20 of 2012	Pioneer Power Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE,PPP 3) CFC, Revenue	Adv.Sathyaseelan Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Declare that the respondents are not entitled to claim the liquidated damages of Rs.12.82 crores. For reporting status of the case which is pending before the Supreme Court.

11	M.P.No.25 of 2014	Pioneer Power Ltd. Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) Director (Generation) 3) CE, IPP	Adv.Sathyaseelan Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To issue directions on the respondents in terms of section 142 of the Electricity Act 2003 for non-compliance of the order dated 30-12-2011. For reporting status of the case which is pending before the Supreme Court.
12	D.R.P.No.32 of 2013	Suryadev Alloys and Power Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Vinod Kumar Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.Venkatachalapathy	To declare that the withdrawal of deemed demand benefit by the 2 nd respondent is illegal and contrary to law. For reporting status of the case pending before the High Court of Madras.
13	D.R.P.No.12 of 2022	The Tata Power Co. Limited Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO iii) SE/Udumalpet EDC	SKV Law Offices Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to make payment of Rs.53.72 crores in favour of the petitioner as the differential amount including interest for wrongfully fixing the rate at 75% of the preferential tariff rates even for the years where the APPC rates did not breach the preferential tariff rates. For reporting status of the pending matter before the Hon'ble Supreme Court.
14	R.P.No.2 of 2013	Spictex Cotton Mills (P) Ltd. Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson	To review the order dated 17.4.2013 in D.R.P.No.2 of 2012 in the matter of alleged excess consumption in wind energy captive consumption. For arguments.

15	D.R.P.No.14 of 2013	Sri Pathy papers and Board (P) Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, TANGEDCO 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv. Richardson Wilson	respondent impugned notice dated 11.8.2012 in the matter of alleged excess consumption in wind energy captive consumption. For arguments.
16	D.R.P.No.15 of 2013	Sri Pathy papers and Board (P) Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson	To set aside the 2 nd respondent impugned notice dated 28.9.2012 in the matter of alleged excess consumption in wind energy captive consumption. For arguments.
17	M.P.No.15 of 2023	M/s.Khivraj Motors Pvt. Limited Versus i) TANGEDCO Ltd ii) CFC/General iii) MNRE	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To exercise regulatory powers in furtherance of the judgement of APTEL in APL No.195 of 2018 dt.28.1.2021 with regard to banking and the recommendations made by the 3rd respondent vide O.M.F.No.283/25/2020-GRID Solar dt.16.4.2020 and direct rollover of banked electricity from OA Renewable Wind Energy Generating plants of the petitioner under Captive Category of FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22 to FY 2022-23 and FY 2023-24 and set out the manner and methodology for its implementation and pass such further or other orders. For arguments.

		1	T	
18	I.A.No.2 of 2023 &	M/s.Annamalai University Versus	Adv.E.C.Ramesh	To set aside the impugned
	M.P.No.29 of	(i) CMD/TANGEDCO	Adv.N.Kumanan &	communication dated
	2023	(ii) SE/Cuddalore EDC	Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	24.8.2004 bearing
	2020	(iii) CFC/Regulatory Cell	/ tarii ii i i oimataonalapatii	Lr.No.SE/CEDC/CUD
		(iii) or of togulatory con		/AO/R/Audit-03-
				04/95/A4/2004 and to
				classify the HTSC 95
				under Tariff-IIA for
				Educational
				Institutions instead of
				Commercial Tariff.
				For arguments
				on the I.A. filed by the
				petitioner.
				petitioner:
19	M.P.No.19 of	Chief Financial	Adv.N.Kumanan &	To declare that M/s.Ind
	2023	Controller/Deposits &	Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Bharat Powergencom
		Documentation,	,	Ltd has lost captive
		TANGEDCO		status for the financial
				year 2017-2018.
		Versus		Main petition
		i)M/s.Ind-Bharat Power	M/s.Shree Law Services	omitted to be listed on
		Gencom Limited		06.02.2024. I.A.No.1 of
				2024 pending.
				For arguments on
				the main petition.
				-

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission