

No. 21/2022 dated: 04-03-2022

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**CAUSE LIST-I****Cases posted for 08-03-2022 (Through Video Conferencing)****Time : 11-00 AM**

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	M.P.No.4 of 2022	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	Adv.M.Gopinathan	To approve procurement of RTC Power of 500 MW for March, 1000 MW for April and 1000 MW for May 2022 for the evening peak hours for the period from 01.03.2022 to 20.05.2022 and to float tender for the procurement of RTC power. For admission.
2	M.P.No.5 of 2022	CFC/Regulatory Cell, TANGEDCO	Adv.M.Gopinathan	To determine the additional surcharge of Rs.0.66 per unit payable by all open access consumers on scheduled quantum of power purchase through open access for the period from 01.04.2022 to 30.09.2022. For admission.
3	M.P.No.6 of 2022	M/s.Palvai Green Power Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Virudhunagar EDC	Adv.Veda Adv.M.Gopinathan	To issue appropriate clarifications or directions to the respondents that interpretation of the 19% CUF value as per T.O.No.7 of 2014 only in the event of petitioner delivering more than 5 MW of alternating current to the respondents at the delivery point. For admission.

4	M.P.No.7 of 2022	<p>Thiru.M.Xavier, Tuticorin</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>(i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director / Distribution (iii) CE/Distn., Tirunelveli (iv) SE/Tuticorin EDC</p>	<p>Represented by Tr.S.Neelakanta Pillai</p> <p>Adv.M.Gopinathan</p>	<p>Direct TANGEDCO to supply LT three phase power supply 24 hrs in a day on regular basis for his industry and to issue suitable and appropriate direction to the licensee to stop such illegal restriction of power supply with immediate effect and others. For admission.</p>
5	<p>R.P.No.1 of 2022 in M.P.No.2 of 2021, M.P.Nos.33 to 36 of 2021, T.A.No.7 of 2021 & M.P.No.45 of 2021</p>	<p>CE/Commercial, TANGEDCO</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>(i) Tmt.Tara Murali (ii) Thiru.V.Gunalan (iii) Tmt.S.Shalini (iv) Tmt.J.Shobha Lalith (v) Tmt.G.Kumari Selva (vi) Thiru.K.Sakthivel (vii) Thiru.Manivasagan</p>	<p>Adv.M.Gopinathan</p> <p>Adv.Arun Anbumani for R-1, Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj for R-2 to R-6 & Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-7</p>	<p>To review the orders of the Commission by considering the cost of DT erected within the consumer premises with respect to extension of LT service connections and also the actual cost of extension with respect to extension of HT service connections. For filing counter on the admissibility of the petition.</p>
6	D.R.P.No.3 of 2022	<p>M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>CMD/TANGEDCO</p>	<p>Adv.Tanya Kapoor</p> <p>Adv.M.Gopinathan</p>	<p>To declare the exorbitant increase in the price of imported coal along with the acute shortage and non-availability of domestic coal, as a Force Majeure event and direct the suspension of transmission charges to be levied under the MTOA agreement until the Force Majeure event ceases and also direct the TANGEDCO not to levy OA charges for the period of Force Majeure continuation and from levying any other charges, costs whatsoever. For counter.</p>
7	<p>R.P.No.8 of 2021 in D.R.P.No.18 of 2013</p>	<p>CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>M/s.ITC Limited</p>	<p>AGP. Richardson Wilson</p> <p>M/s.S.Ramasubramaniam & Associates</p>	<p>To review the order on the ground that the impugned order is against the Electricity Rules, 2005 and Judgment of APTEL in Appeal No.33 of 2012. For rejoinder.</p>

8	M.P.No.48 of 2021	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	Adv.M.Gopinathan	To accord approval to float a medium term tender under FOO guidelines with the deviations proposed in the Bidding Documents in line with Pilot Scheme-II for purchase of 1500 MW RTC power for a period of 5 years appointing PTC India Limited as an Aggregator. For filing affidavit and for further hearing.
9	T.A.No.64 of 2022	M/s.Rajkumar Impex Pvt. Ltd Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/Finance (iv) SE/Tuticorin EDC	Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.30687 of 2016 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For payment of court fee by the petitioner and filing of counter affidavit by the respondents and also filing of entire documents/vakalath by both parties in the above writ petition and for hearing.
10	D.R.P.No.1 of 2019	Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondent to pay the interest of Rs.1.44 crores. For arguments.
11	D.R.P.No.2 of 2019	Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondent to release the payment of Rs.2.52 crores towards 2% of line loss. For arguments.
12	D.R.P.No.4 of 2019	Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondent to release the payment of Rs.15.19 lakhs towards 2% of line loss. For arguments.

13	M.P.No.37 of 2021	M/s.Kamuthi Renewable Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii)SE/P&C/TANTRANSC O/Mdu (iv) SE/NCES/Tirunelveli	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.V.Anil Kumar	To declare that the entire 72 MW solar power plant stood commissioned and entitled to the Tariff fixed under "Comprehensive Tariff Order on Solar Power" in Order No.4 of 2014 and set aside the CE/NCES communication's dated 30.9.2016 to segregate the 72 MW solar power plant erected as 25 MW and 47 MW separately with separate energy meters and be paid at different tariff rates as illegal. For arguments.
14	D.R.P.No.8 of 2021	M/s.NVR Energy Pvt Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC (iv) SLDC / TANTRANSCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.V.Anil Kumar	Direct TANGEDCO to modify the JMR statements for the period October 2019 till April 2021 and to refund of Rs.22,96,755/- collected from the petitioner by adopting such methodology including payments against the supplementary bills. For arguments.
15	D.R.P.No.9 of 2021	M/s.Narbheram Solar TN Pvt Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC (iv) SLDC / TANTRANSCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.V.Anil Kumar	Direct TANGEDCO to modify the JMR statements for the period October 2019 till April 2021 and to refund of Rs.27,08,933/- collected from the petitioner by adopting such methodology including payments against the supplementary bills. For arguments.
16	D.R.P.No.13 of 2021	M/s.EID Parry (India) Limited Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO iii) CE/NCES iv) SE/Cuddalore EDC v) SE/Pudukkottai EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to implement the order of the Commission in P.P.A.P No.8 of 2011 dt.31.3.2016 and consequently release the payment towards 2% line loss of Rs.2,87,29,026/- payable together with interest of Rs.1,53,69,099/- thereon at 12% p.a. For arguments.

17	D.R.P.No.17 of 2021	M/s.Dharani Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus 1) CMD/TANGEDCO 2) CFC/General 3) Director (Finance) 4) Director (Generation) 5) SE/Kallakurichi EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Grant an interim stay on the operation of the Respondent-5's letter dt.25.9.2020 and consequently direct the respondent to make payment principal amount of Rs.2,74,04,230/- along with interest @ 18% p.a. and also direct to make payment of Rs.12,35,53,367/- towards interest on delayed payments. For arguments.
<u>Batch cases - In the matter of adjustment of lapsed units</u>				
18	T.A.No.6 of 2022	Tamil Nadu Power Producers' Association Versus (i) Chairman / TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) Director /operations (iv) SE/Commercial Divn/TANTRANSCO (v) Director/Distribution	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.V.Anil Kumar & Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.15433 of 2020 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of lapsed units. <i>For hearing</i>
19	T.A.No.7 of 2022	Kamachi Industries Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution (vi) SE/CEDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.V.Anil Kumar & Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.475 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of lapsed units. <i>For hearing.</i>
20	T.A.No.8 of 2022	M/s.ARS Energy Pvt. Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution (vi) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.V.Anil Kumar & Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.11480 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of lapsed units. <i>For hearing.</i>

21	T.A.No.9 of 2022	Suryadev Alloys & Powers Pvt. Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution (vi) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.V.Anil Kumar & Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.12062 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of lapsed units. For hearing.
22	T.A.No.10 of 2022	Tulsyan NEC Limited Versus (i) Ch/TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution (vi) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.V.Anil Kumar & Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.12083 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of lapsed units. For hearing.
23	T.A.No.11 of 2022	Kamachi Industries Limited Versus (i) Chairman/TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution (vi) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.V.Anil Kumar & Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.12584 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of lapsed units. For hearing.
24	T.A.No.12 of 2022	OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus (i) Ch./TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.V.Anil Kumar & Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.15861 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of lapsed units. For hearing.

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission

No.22/2022 Dated:04-03-2022

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
CAUSE LIST- II

Cases posted for 08-03-2022 (Through Video Conferencing)

(After the proceedings of the Cause List-I are over)

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel / Party	Remarks
1	M.P.No.2 of 2019	TANGEDCO Versus 1) Asahi Glass India Limited & 20 ors.	AGP.Richardson Wilson Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj (R-3, R-4, R-5, R-6, R-7, R-8, R-10, R-11, R-13, R-14, R-15, R-16, R-17, R-18 & R-19) Adv. Rahul Balaji for R-9 & R-21	To pass orders for implementing both Captive and Third Party Users in connection with calculation of excess demand and energy charges in the orders passed by the Commission dated 7.9.2010 in M.P.No.9 of 2010, M.P.No.6 of 2010, M.P.No.17 of 2010 and D.R.P.No.9 of 2010. For reply arguments of the petitioner.
2	R.P.No.2 of 2013	Spictex Cotton Mills (P) Ltd. Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj AGP. Richardson Wilson	To review the order dated 17.4.2013 in D.R.P.No.2 of 2012. For arguments.
3	D.R.P.No.14 of 2013	Sri Pathy papers and Board (P) Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, TANGEDCO 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj AGP. Richardson Wilson	To set aside the 2 nd respondent impugned notice dated 11.8.2012 towards short levy of excess energy charges. For arguments.
4	D.R.P.No.15 of 2013	Sri Pathy papers and Board (P) Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO .	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj AGP. Richardson Wilson	To set aside the 2 nd respondent impugned notice dated 28.9.2012. For arguments.
5	D.R.P.No.24 of 2013	Sree Rengaraj Ispat Industries Pvt. Ltd., Versus i) TANGEDCO & Ors ii) DSRM	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. M.Gopinathan Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Direct the respondents to adjust 88,100 energy units. For arguments.

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission