

No.13/2022 dated: 18-02-2022

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**CAUSE LIST-I**

**Cases posted for 22-02-2022 (Through Video Conferencing)**

**Time : 11-00 AM**

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	R.P.No.1 of 2022 in M.P.No.2 of 2021, M.P.Nos.33 to 36 of 2021, T.A.No.7 of 2021 & M.P.No.45 of 2021	CE/Commercial, TANGEDCO Versus (i) Tmt.Tara Murali (ii) Thiru.V.Gunalan (iii) Tmt.S.Shalini (iv) Tmt.J.Shobha Lalith (v) Tmt.G.Kumari Selva (vi) Thiru.K.Sakthivel (vii) Thiru.Manivasagan	Adv.M.Gopinathan  Adv.Arun Anbumani for R-1, Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj for R-2 to R-6 & Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-7	To review the orders of the Commission by considering the cost of DT erected within the consumer premises with respect to extension of LT service connections and also the actual cost of extension with respect to extension of HT service connections. For filing counter on the admissibility of the petition.
2	D.R.P.No.19 of 2021	M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus CMD/TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Declare that the Change in law events in terms of Article 10 of the PPA dated 12.12.2013 and to determine the correct rate of CVD and other consequential claims for purposes of Change in Law and confirm the correctness and entitlement to the sum of Rs.8,25,91,046/- being the differential working after applying the correct rate towards CVD, Cess and Carrying cost attributable to Change in Law under the PPA. For counter.
3	D.R.P.No.2 of 2022	M/s.GMR Energy Trading Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director/Finance (iii) CFC/Palladam EDC (iv) SE/Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.Apoorva Misra  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay the outstanding Late Payment Surcharge (LPS) amount payable to the petitioner of Rs.3,12,19,097/- for the delay in payment of monthly bills / invoices raised by the petitioner. For filing counter as a last chance.

4	D.R.P.No.4 of 2022	M/s.Sulochana Cotton Spinning Mills Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) CE/IT Wing (iv) SE/Palladam EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to give adjust of 1,28,004 units generated in the petitioner's solar power generator during the period from 01.08.2021 to 28.09.2021 against the petitioner HTSC as per the solar tariff order No.4 of 2014. For counter.
5	D.R.P.No.5 of 2022	M/s.Sulochana Cotton Spinning Mills Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) CE/IT Wing (iv) SE/Palladam EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to give adjust of 4,93,599 units generated in the petitioner's solar power generator during the period from 01.08.2021 to 28.09.2021 against the petitioner HTSC as per the solar tariff order No.4 of 2014. For counter.
6	D.R.P.No.6 of 2022	M/s.Hindustan Textiles Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) CE/IT Wing (iv) SE/Dindigul EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to give adjust of 2,41,920 units generated in the petitioner's solar power generator during the period August 2021 against the petitioner HTSC as per the solar tariff order No.4 of 2014. For counter.
7	M.P.No.42 of 2021	M/s.OPG Energy Pvt. Limited Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) AAA Plus Energy Pvt. Limited	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	To exercise its regulatory powers and clarify that no wheeling charges are payable where a captive consumer is being supplied electricity through a dedicated transmission line and is situated within the same premises as the generating plant. For counter.
8	R.P.No.8 of 2021 in D.R.P.No.18 of 2013	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.ITC Limited	AGP. Richardson Wilson  M/s.S.Ramasubramaniam & Associates	To condone the delay of 84 days in filing the Review Petition against the order dated 17.8.2021 in D.R.P.No.18 of 2013 and to review the order on the ground that the impugned order is against the Electricity Rules, 2005 and Judgment of APTEL in Appeal No.33 of 2012. For rejoinder.

9	M.P.No.27 of 2021	CE/NCES, TANGEDCO	Adv.M.Gopinathan	Approve the new methodology for measurement of Harmonics in Wind / Solar / Bagasse / Biomass Energy Generators as per the CEA Regulations and to fix the compensation at 15% of the respective tariff in respect of Generators and also to instruct all the existing HT/EHT Generators to install power quality meters by themselves within 12 months and other orders. For further hearing.
10	M.P.No.48 of 2021	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	Adv.M.Gopinathan	To accord approval to float a medium term tender under FOO guidelines with the deviations proposed in the Bidding Documents in line with Pilot Scheme-II for purchase of 1500 MW RTC power for a period of 5 years appointing PTC India Limited as an Aggregator. For filing affidavit and for further hearing.
11	D.R.P.No.32 of 2013	Suryadev Alloys and Power Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Vinod Kumar  Adv.M.Gopinathan	To declare that the withdrawal of deemed demand benefit by the 2 <sup>nd</sup> respondent is illegal and contrary to law. For arguments.
12	D.R.P.No.9 of 2020	M/s.Tirunelveli Solar Project Private Limited Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CE/NCES	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Grant the petitioner an extension of time for commissioning its 100 MW Solar Power Plant at Kollankinar & other villages, Tuticorin since there has been a change in law i.r.o. of PPA dt.28.9.2017 and consequently direct TANGEDCO not to invoke bank guarantee. For arguments of the respondent as a last chance.

13	R.P.No.6 of 2021 in M.P.No.14 of 2012	M/s.The Tata Power Company Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SLDC (iii) IWPA (iv) Ushdev Power Holdings Pvt. Limited	SKV Law Offices  Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.V.Anil Kumar	Review / modify the impugned order dated 05.10.2021 passed in M.P.No.14 of 2012 in terms of the submissions made in the present Review Petition and appoint POSOCO to verify the data and clarify the compensatory mechanism in terms of the NSEFI Judgement. For arguments.
14	I.A.No.1 of 2022 in D.R.P.No.16 of 2021	M/s.Wind Construction Limited Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) Director (Finance) iii) Director (Generation) iv) SE/NCES/Solar Energy	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to pay a sum of Rs.40,85,02,740/- and accrued interest thereon of Rs.1,18,49,644 and also interest on delayed payment towards invoices already paid amounting to Rs.5,40,10,563/- together with opening of LC under EPA.  For hearing on the I.A. filed by the petitioner for amending the name change and for arguments.
15	D.R.P.No.17 of 2021	M/s.Dharani Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus 1) CMD/TANGEDCO 2) CFC/General 3) Director (Finance) 4) Director (Generation) 5) SE/Kallakurichi EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.M.Gopinathan	Grant an interim stay on the operation of the Respondent-5's letter dt.25.9.2020 and consequently direct the respondent to make payment principal amount of Rs.2,74,04,230/- along with interest @ 18% p.a. and also direct to make payment of Rs.12,35,53,367/- towards interest on delayed payments. For arguments.

16	M.P.No.19 of 2021	M/s.R.S.Yarns and Power Pvt. Limited Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CFC / TANGEDCO	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.M.Gopinathan	To issue a clarification, as to whether additional surcharge on wheeling charges are applicable to the OA Consumers having drawal voltage over and above 66 kV, who source electricity from the Third Party Power Generators having injecting voltage over and above 66 kV or not. For arguments.
17	R.P.No.1 of 2021 in D.R.P.No.8 of 2016	PTC India Limited Versus (i) MALCO Energy Ltd (ii) CMD/TANGEDCO	Adv.Ravi Kishore  Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Review the order of the Commission dated 02.03.2021 in D.R.P.No.8 of 2016. For arguments.
18	R.P.No.5 of 2021 in D.R.P.No.8 of 2016	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO Versus (i) M/s.MALCO Energy Ltd. (ii) M/s.PTC India Ltd	Adv.M.Gopinathan  Adv.Rahul Balaji  Adv.Ravi Kishore	Review the order of the Commission issued in D.R.P.No.8 of 2016 dt.02.03.2021 in the matter of directing the respondents to jointly and severally pay the petitioner at the applicable tariff. For arguments.

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity  
Regulatory Commission

**No.14/2022 Dated:18-02-2022**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**CAUSE LIST-II**

**Cases posted for 22-02-2022 (Through Video Conferencing)**  
**(After the proceedings of the Cause List-I are over)**

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel / Party	Remarks
1	M.P.No.2 of 2019	TANGEDCO Versus 1) Asahi Glass India Limited & 20 ors.	AGP.Richardson Wilson  Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj (R-3, R-4, R-5, R-6, R-7, R-8, R-10, R-11, R-13, R-14, R-15, R-16, R-17, R-18 & R-19)  Adv. Rahul Balaji for R-9 & R-21	To pass orders for implementing both Captive and Third Party Users in connection with calculation of excess demand and energy charges in the orders passed by the Commission dated 7.9.2010 in M.P.No.9 of 2010, M.P.No.6 of 2010, M.P.No.17 of 2010 and D.R.P.No.9 of 2010. For arguments.
2	R.P.No.2 of 2013	Spictex Cotton Mills (P) Ltd. Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj  AGP. Richardson Wilson	To review the order dated 17.4.2013 in D.R.P.No.2 of 2012. For arguments.
3	D.R.P.No.14 of 2013	Sri Pathy papers and Board (P) Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, TANGEDCO 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj  AGP. Richardson Wilson	To set aside the 2 <sup>nd</sup> respondent impugned notice dated 11.8.2012 towards short levy of excess energy charges. For arguments.
4	D.R.P.No.15 of 2013	Sri Pathy papers and Board (P) Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO .	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj  AGP. Richardson Wilson	To set aside the 2 <sup>nd</sup> respondent impugned notice dated 28.9.2012. For arguments.
5	D.R.P.No.24 of 2013	Sree Rengaraj Ispat Industries Pvt. Ltd., Versus i) TANGEDCO & Ors ii) DSRM	Adv. Rahul Balaji  Adv. M.Gopinathan Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Direct the respondents to adjust 88,100 energy units. For arguments.

**(By order of the Commission)**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

No.15/2022 dated: 18-02-2022

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**CAUSE LIST- III**

**Cases posted for 22-02-2022 (Through Video Conferencing)**

**(After the proceedings of the Cause List-II are over)**

**CORAM:** Thiru. M. Chandrasekar, Hon'ble Chairman  
Thiru.K.Venkatasamy, Hon'ble Member (Legal)

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	R.P.No.4 of 2021 in R.A.No.3 of 2020	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO Versus (i) M/s.Ind-Barath Power Gencom Limited (ii) M/s.MALCO Energy Ltd.	Adv.M.Gopinathan M/s.Shree Law Services for R-1 Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-2	Review the order of the Commission in R.A.No.3 of 2020 dated 09.02.2021 in the matter of fixing a tariff at the ceiling of Rs.3.79 per unit for the month of June 2011 and Rs.3.81 per unit for the months of July to September 2011. For arguments.

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity  
Regulatory Commission