

No. 26/2022 dated: 21-03-2022

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 22-03-2022 (Through Video Conferencing)

Time : 11-00 AM

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel	Remarks
1	D.R.P.No.3 of 2015	Lanco Tanjore Power Company Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & ors	Adv.Rahul Balaji AGP. Richardson Wilson	For order
2	M.P.No.20 of 2020	Tamil Nadu Spinning Mills Assn. (TASMA) Versus CFC/Rev, TANGEDCO	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	For order.
3	M.P.No.5 of 2021	CFC / Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.OPG Energy Pvt. Limited	Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.Rahul Balaji	For order.
4	M.P.No.22 of 2021	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus (i) M/s.Suryadev Alloys & Power Pvt. Limited & 67 others.	Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj for R-1 M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates on behalf of M/s.Exide Industries Limited (R-27) M/s.King & Partridge On behalf of M/s.Eicher Motors Limited (R-8, R-13 & R-14)	For order.
5	M.P.No.12 of 2022	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	Adv.M.Gopinathan	Seeking approval for power procurement under Short Term Power procurement upto 1000 MW RTC power and 1000 MW for the evening peak hours for the period from 14.3.2022 to 20.5.2022. For admission.

6	I.A.No.1 of 2022 & D.R.P.No.8 of 2022	M/s.Solitaire BTN Solar Private Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO	HSA Advocates Adv.M.Gopinathan	Seeking interim relief for directing TANGEDCO to make immediate payment of Rs.13.56 crores to the petitioner from out of Rs.17.41 crores and also pay the entire/remaining amount towards energy supplied to the TANGEDCO for the period from July to October 2021. For admission.
7	M.P.No.11 of 2022	Power Engineers Society of Tamil Nadu (PESOT) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) PPN Power Generating Co. Pvt. Limited	Rep. by its President Adv.M.Gopinathan	To declare the payment made and to be made to M/s.PPN Power Generating Ltd in accordance to the BP 21 dated 30.3.2020 is in violation of PPA entered on 03.01.1997 and to disapprove the amendments to PPA, if any entertained and order to recover the entire amount paid to PPN Power Ltd and also to punish TANGEDCO for the violation to the provision of section 142 of the E.A., 2003. For admission.
8	R.P.No.1 of 2022 in M.P.No.2 of 2021, M.P.Nos.33 to 36 of 2021, T.A.No.7 of 2021 & M.P.No.45 of 2021	CE/Commercial, TANGEDCO Versus (i) Tmt.Tara Murali (ii) Thiru.V.Gunalan (iii) Tmt.S.Shalini (iv) Tmt.J.Shobha Lalith (v) Tmt.G.Kumari Selva (vi) Thiru.K.Sakthivel (vii) Thiru.Manivasagan	AGP.Richardson Wilson Adv.Arun Anbumani for R-1, Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj for R-2 to R-6 & Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-7	To review the orders of the Commission by considering the cost of DT erected within the consumer premises with respect to extension of LT service connections and also the actual cost of extension with respect to extension of HT service connections. For filing counter on the admissibility of the petition.
9	R.P.No.2 of 2022 in D.R.P.No.27 of 2013	M/s.Techno Electric & Engg. Co. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO & (ii) CMD/TANTRANSCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	To review the order dated 14.9.2021 in D.R.P.No.27 of 2013 for non-consideration of the order in M/s.B&G Solar and in terms of grounds stated in para-18. For filing counter on the admissibility of the petition.

10	D.R.P.No.1 of 2022	M/s.Velathal Spinning Mills Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director/Finance (iii) SE/Palladam EDC (iv) SE/Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to forthwith make payment of a sum of Rs.1,86,21,704/- for the period from April 2018 to June 2020 being the sum due and payable to the petitioner against delayed payments made by TANGEDCO for power supplied. For rejoinder.
11	D.R.P.No.18 of 2021	Amarjothi Spinning Mills Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director /Finance (iii) SE/Theni EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to forthwith make payment of a sum of Rs.47,83,750/- being the sum due and payable to the petitioner against delayed payments made by TANGEDCO for power supplied and such further pendent lite interest from the date of filing. For rejoinder.
12	M.P.No.48 of 2021	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.Ashwin Ramanathan on behalf of M/s.Manikaran Power Limited and M/s.Sai Wardha Power Generation Pvt. Limited as Intervenors.	To accord approval to float a medium term tender under FOO guidelines with the deviations proposed in the Bidding Documents in line with Pilot Scheme-II for purchase of 1500 MW RTC power for a period of 5 years appointing PTC India Limited as an Aggregator. For filing affidavit by two Intervenors and for further hearing.
13	M.P.No.5 of 2022	CFC/Regulatory Cell, TANGEDCO	Adv.M.Gopinathan	To determine the additional surcharge of Rs.0.66 per unit payable by all open access consumers on scheduled quantum of power purchase through open access for the period from 01.04.2022 to 30.09.2022. For filing affidavit and for hearing.

14	D.R.P.No.1 of 2019	Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondent to pay the interest of Rs.1.44 crores. For arguments of the respondent.
15	D.R.P.No.2 of 2019	Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondent to release the payment of Rs.2.52 crores towards 2% of line loss. For arguments of the respondent.
16	D.R.P.No.4 of 2019	Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondent to release the payment of Rs.15.19 lakhs towards 2% of line loss. For arguments of the respondent.
17	D.R.P.No.13 of 2021	M/s.EID Parry (India) Limited Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO iii) CE/NCES iv) SE/Cuddalore EDC v) SE/Pudukkottai EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Direct the respondents to implement the order of the Commission in P.P.A.P No.8 of 2011 dt.31.3.2016 and consequently release the payment towards 2% line loss of Rs.2,87,29,026/- payable together with interest of Rs.1,53,69,099/- thereon at 12% p.a. For arguments of the respondent.
18	D.R.P.No.17 of 2021	M/s.Dharani Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus 1) CMD/TANGEDCO 2) CFC/General 3) Director (Finance) 4) Director (Generation) 5) SE/Kallakurichi EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Grant an interim stay on the operation of the Respondent-5's letter dt.25.9.2020 and consequently direct the respondent to make payment principal amount of Rs.2,74,04,230/- along with interest @ 18% p.a. and also direct to make payment of Rs.12,35,53,367/- towards interest on delayed payments. For arguments.

19	R.P.No.6 of 2021 in M.P.No.14 of 2012	M/s.The Tata Power Company Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SLDC (iii) IWPA (iv) Ushdev Power Holdings Pvt. Limited	SKV Law Offices Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.V.Anil Kumar	Review / modify the impugned order dated 05.10.2021 passed in M.P.No.14 of 2012 in terms of the submissions made in the present Review Petition and appoint POSOCO to verify the data and clarify the compensatory mechanism in terms of the NSEFI Judgement. For arguments.
20	R.P.No.7 of 2021 in M.P.No.25 of 2021	Rajah Muthiah Chettiar Charitable and Educational Trust Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Regulatory Cell (iii) SE/Chengalpattu EDC	Adv.T.Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	To issue an order of Interim Injunction restraining the respondents not to levy demand and collect electricity charges i.r.o. petitioner's electricity service connection and to review the order of the Commission in M.P.No.25 of 2021 dated 16.11.2021. For arguments.
21	R.P.No.8 of 2021 in D.R.P.No.18 of 2013	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.ITC Limited	AGP. Richardson Wilson M/s.S.Ramasubramaniam & Associates	To review the order on the ground that the impugned order is against the Electricity Rules, 2005 and Judgment of APTEL in Appeal No.33 of 2012. For arguments.
22	R.P.No.1 of 2021 in D.R.P.No.8 of 2016	PTC India Limited Versus (i) MALCO Energy Ltd (ii) CMD/TANGEDCO	Adv.Ravi Kishore Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	Review the order of the Commission dated 02.03.2021 in D.R.P.No.8 of 2016. For arguments of the respondents.
23	R.P.No.5 of 2021 in D.R.P.No.8 of 2016	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO Versus (i) M/s.MALCO Energy Ltd. (ii) M/s.PTC India Ltd	Adv.M.Gopinathan Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Ravi Kishore	Review the order of the Commission issued in D.R.P.No.8 of 2016 dt.02.03.2021 in the matter of directing the respondents to jointly and severally pay the petitioner at the applicable tariff. For arguments.

Batch cases - In the matter of Start-up charges - For reporting status on the payment of court fee and filing of documents				
24	T.A.No.14 of 2022	M/s.A.R.S.Metals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/CEDC/North	Adv.K.Seshadri AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.26266 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For reporting status on the payment of court fee and filing of documents.
25	T.A.No.16 of 2022	M/s.Cauvery Power Generation Chennai Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Vinod Kumar AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.26554 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. -do-
26	T.A.No.20 of 2022	M/s.Sree Rengaraj Ispat Industries Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Erode EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27324 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. -do-
27	T.A.No.21 of 2022	M/s.Sree Rengaraj Ispat Industries Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Erode EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27325 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. -do-
28	T.A.No.22 of 2022	M/s.Bhatia Coke & Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC	Adv.Vinod Kumar AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27326 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. -do-
29	T.A.No.23 of 2022	M/s.Chettinad Cement Corpn. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Dindigul EDC	Adv.T.Balaji AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27807 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. -do-

30	T.A.No.24 of 2022	M/s.Chettinad Cement Corpn. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Dindigul EDC	Adv.T.Balaji AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27808 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. -do-
31	T.A.No.25 of 2022	M/s.Chettinad Cement Corpn. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Dindigul EDC	Adv.T.Balaji AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27841 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. -do-
32	T.A.No.26 of 2022	M/s.Tulsyan NEC Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) A.O./Revenue	Adv.K.Seshadri AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28374 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. -do-
33	T.A.No.35 of 2022	M/s.Dharani Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/(Finance) (iv) SE/Thiruvannamalai EDC	Adv.V.Bharathidasan AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.30629 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. -do-
34	T.A.No.36 of 2022	M/s.Dharani Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/(Finance) (iv) SE/Thiruvannamalai EDC	Adv.V.Bharathidasan AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.30630 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. -do-
35	T.A.No.43 of 2022	M/s.Terra Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Cuddalore EDC (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33115 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. -do-
36	T.A.No.44 of 2022	M/s.Terra Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Thanjavur EDC (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33116 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. -do-

37	T.A.No.45 of 2022	M/s.Shree Ambika Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Cuddalore EDC (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33117 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. -do-
38	T.A.No.47 of 2022	M/s.Shree Ambika Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Thanjavur (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33118 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. -do-
39	T.A.No.49 of 2022	M/s.Kaveri Gas Power Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Nagapattinam EDC	Adv.Vinod Kumar AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.34739 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. -do-
40	T.A.No.50 of 2022	M/s.MMS Steel & Power Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Nagapattinam EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.35041 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. -do-
41	T.A.No.51 of 2022	M/s.MMS Steel & Power Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Nagapattinam EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.35042 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. -do-
42	T.A.No.55 of 2022	M/s.Chemplast Sanmar Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Mettur EDC	Adv.AL. Ganthimathi AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.14037 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. -do-
43	T.A.No.57 of 2022	M/s.Basudha Udyog Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC	Adv.Vinod Kumar AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.272 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. -do-

44	T.A.No.58 of 2022	M/s.MALCO Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Mettur EDC (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.6382 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. -do-
45	T.A.No.60 of 2022	M/s.A.R.S. Metals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/ Chennai EDC/North	Adv.K.Seshadri AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.3522 of 2017 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. -do-
46	T.A.No.61 of 2022	Subramania Siva Co-op. Sugar Mills Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/Finance (iv) SE/Dharmapuri EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.23902 of 2017 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. -do-
47	T.A.No.65 of 2022	M/s.SKI Carbon Black (India) Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/Finance (iv) SE/CEDC North (v) AO/Revenue/CEDC North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.31037 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For payment of court fee by the petitioner and filing of counter affidavit by the respondents and also filing of entire documents/vakalath by both parties in the above writ petition and for hearing.
<u>Batch cases</u> <u>In the matter of Start up charges - For hearing</u>				
48	D.R.P.No.5 of 2014	Saheli Exports Private Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Vinod Kumar AGP. Richardson Wilson	To set aside the CFC/Revenue letter dated 7-9-2013 and 9-12-2013. For further arguments of the petitioner.
49	T.A.No.13 of 2022	Tamil Nadu Newsprint and Paper Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/Finance (iv) SE/Karur EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.7736 of 2015 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.

50	T.A.No.15 of 2022	M/s.Biomass Power Producers' Association Tamil Nadu Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance	Adv.Vinod Kumar AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.26553 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
51	T.A.No.17 of 2022	M/s.Suryadev Alloys and Power Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Vinod Kumar AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.27269 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
52	T.A.No.18 of 2022	M/s.OPG Renewable Energy Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28093 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
53	T.A.No.19 of 2022	M/s.OPG Renewable Energy Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28443 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
54	T.A.No.27 of 2022	M/s.Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director (Finance) (iv) SE/Villupuram EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28438 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
55	T.A.No.28 of 2022	M/s.Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director (Finance) (iv) SE/Villupuram EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28440 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.

56	T.A.No.29 of 2022	M/s.Vedanta Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director (Finance) (iv) SE/Tuticorin EDC (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28451 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
57	T.A.No.30 of 2022	M/s.Kamachi Sponge & Power Corpn. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.M.A.Mudimannan AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28885 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
58	T.A.No.31 of 2022	M/s.Kamachi Sponge & Power Corpn. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.M.A.Mudimannan AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28886 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
59	T.A.No.32 of 2022	M/s.TCP Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Chennai EDC/North (v) AO/Revenue	Adv.Rahul Balaji AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.28952 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
60	T.A.No.34 of 2022	M/s.Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director (Finance) (iv) SE/Theni EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.30502 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
61	T.A.No.37 of 2022	M/s.Bannari Amman Sugars Limited (Formerly Madras Sugars Limited) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/(Finance) (iv) SE/Kallakurichi EDC (v) Villupuram EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.31160 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.

62	T.A.No.39 of 2022	M/s.Kothari Sugars & Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Ramnad EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33113 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras_in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
63	T.A.No.40 of 2022	M/s.EID Parry (India) Ltd Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Trichy EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33151 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
64	T.A.No.41 of 2022	M/s.EID Parry (India) Ltd Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Trichy EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33150 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
65	T.A.No.42 of 2022	M/s.EID Parry (India) Ltd Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Trichy EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33210 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
66	T.A.No.46 of 2022	M/s.Sakthi Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Sivaganga EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33119 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
67	T.A.No.48 of 2022	M/s.Kothari Sugars and Chemicals Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Trichy	Adv.Rahul Balaji AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.33114 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras_in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
68	T.A.No.52 of 2022	M/s.Sakthi Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Erode EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.848 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.

69	T.A.No.53 of 2022	M/s.Sakthi Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Erode EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.869 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
70	T.A.No.54 of 2022	M/s.Bannari Amman Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Erode EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.4924 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
71	T.A.No.56 of 2022	M/s.Seshasayee Paper and Boards Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Mettur EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.14952 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
72	T.A.No.59 of 2022	M/s.OPG Energy Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) SE/Nagapattinam EDC (iv) DFC/Nagapattinam EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.17057 of 2014 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
73	T.A.No.62 of 2022	M/s.Bannari Amman Sugars Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director /Finance (iv) SE/Thiruvannamalai EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.31774 of 2013 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
74	T.A.No.63 of 2022	M/s.EID Parry (India) Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/Finance (iv) SE/Karur EDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji AGP.Richardson Wilson	W.P.No.7887 of 2015 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.

75	T.A.No.64 of 2022	M/s.Rajkumar Impex Pvt. Ltd Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) Director/Finance (iv) SE/Tuticorin EDC	Rep. by its General Manager (Power Plant) Adv.M.Gopinathan	W.P.No.30687 of 2016 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of start-up power charges. For hearing.
----	-------------------	---	--	--

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission