

No.5/ 2024 dated: 21-02-2024

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**CAUSE LIST for 27-02-2024****(Court Sitting will be held through Virtual & Physical Mode)****Venue: Court Hall of the Commission****Time : 11.00 AM**

Sl. No	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel / Party	Remarks
1	D.R.P.No.2 of 2024	M/s.Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan Sugars Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) MNRE	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the TANGEDCO to roll over and reallocate 1823391 units value of Rs.1,26,90,801/- that the petitioner exported to the TANGEDCO's grid during April 2020 and permit to adjust by petitioner's captive users during 2024-25 or to allow the petitioner to encash Rs.1,26,90,801. For admission.
2	D.R.P.No.19 of 2023	M/s.Kamuthi Renewable Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Declare that under law, the applicable Regulations and the "Comprehensive Tariff Order on Solar Power, the petitioner is entitled to and has correctly accounted for payments made against its energy bills under the EPA and the respondent is required to pay the interest stipulated under the EPA and direct the respondents to pay Rs.41,10,50,492/- including interest as on 11.10.2023 and payable against LPS and further pendente lite interest. For counter.

3	M.P.No.24 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Sheenlac Paints Ltd	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Adv.Rahul Balaji	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FYs 2015-2016, 2016-2017, 2017-2018 and 2018-2019. For counter.
4	M.P.No.41 of 2023	M/s.Ind Bharat Power Gencom Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited (iii) MALCO Energy Limited	M/s.Shree Law Services Adv.Richardson Wilson for R-1 Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-2 & R-3	To initiate proceedings against the 1st Respondent for wilful, tenacious and continuing non-compliance and contravention of the order of the Commission in R.A.No.3 of 2020 dated 09.03.2021 and R.P.No.4 of 2021 dated 06.07.2023 under section 142 & 146 of the Electricity Act, 2003. For filing counter affidavit on maintainability and merits.
5	R.P.No.1 of 2023 in M.P.No.2 of 2019	M/s.Best Cotton Mills Versus (i) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO (ii) M/s.Asashi India Glass Ltd & Others.	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson	Review the order dated 20.07.2023 in M.P.No.2 of 2019 insofar as Para 8.15 (II) (vii) (viii) and para 8.16 alone is concerned and allow the petition and pass such further or other orders. For rejoinder.
6	M.P.No.3 of 2024	CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To waive the belated payment surcharge amounting to Rs.137.13 crores as on 31.03.2023 in respect of all local bodies on payment of 50% of the outstanding dues by them. For further hearing.

7	M.P.No.39 of 2023	National Solar Energy Federation of India Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) SLDC (iii) TANTRANSCO Ltd (iv) MNRE	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	To take the report filed by POSOCO on record and issue appropriate directions in terms of the directions of the APTEL in Appeal No.197 of 2019 and consequently direct the respondents to forthwith pay compensation to the members of the petitioner's association in accordance with the directions of the APTEL after taking into account the findings of the POSOCO in its report before this Commission and pass such further or other directions. For further hearing.
8	D.R.P.No.5 of 2021	M/s.Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) CE/GTS, TANGEDCO (iii) SE/GTS, Ramnad Circle	Adv.Anirudh Krishnan Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	Direct the respondents to pay a total sum of Rs.128 crores along with interest towards illegal deduction, compensation for deviation 15% of the contracted value, power supplied to the captive consumers, power supplied 10% over and delayed payment along with interest. For arguments.
9	D.R.P.No.7 of 2022	M/s.Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited Versus (i) Principal Secretary to Govt., Energy Department, GoTN (ii) CMD/TANGEDCO	Adv.Anirudh Krishnan Adv.Richardson Wilson	To offset the adverse financial impact on the generating company as a result of operating and maintaining the power plant as per the directions of the GoTN under section 11(1) and determine the price payable for the energy that was injected during the year 2009-10 and 2010-

				11 into the Tamil Nadu Grid for which payments to the tune of Rs.92.10 crores have not been made by the respondent and direct the 2nd respondent herein to make the said payment to the petitioner herein. For arguments.
10	M.P.No.22 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.MOD Forge Pvt. Ltd	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Adv.Rahul Balaji	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FY 2014-2015 and 2016-2017. For arguments.
11	M.P.No.19 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Amaravathi Textiles	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FYs 2014-2015, 2015-2016 and 2016-2017. For further arguments of the respondent.
12	M.P.No.23 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Bull Machines Pvt. Ltd	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FY 2014-2015 and 2015-2016. For further arguments of the respondent.
13	M.P.No.27 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Ashok Granites Ltd	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FYs 2015-2016, 2016-17 and 2017-2018. For further arguments of the respondent.
14	M.P.No.28 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Sri Vengadeshwara Spinners	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FYs 2018-2019 and 2019-2020. For further arguments of the respondent.

15	M.P.No.29 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.The KTM Jewellery Ltd	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FY 2016-2017. For further arguments of the respondent.
16	M.P.No.17 of 2023	CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Citron Eco Power Pvt Limited	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	To declare that M/s.Citron Eco Power Pvt Limited has lost captive status for the financial year 2016-2017. For further arguments of the petitioner.
17	M.P.No.16 of 2023	CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Versus (1) Sri Venkatramana Paper Mills Pvt. Limited (2) KAS Industries India Pvt Limited (3) Jeyavishnu Tex Processors Pvt. Limited (4) Arun Spinning Mills Pvt Ltd (5) Statex Mills (6) Rajashree Spintex Pvt Ltd (7) Sri Senthilandavar Cotton Mills Pvt Limited (8) V.R.Spining Mills Pvt Ltd (9) Shamugappriya Textiles Pvt Limited (10) C.V.Spinner Pvt Limited (11) Sri Karpaga Vinayagar Textiles (12) Velan Spinning Mills India Pvt. Limited (13) Annur Sri Ambal Cotton Mills Pvt Limited (14) Sri Palani Andavar Textiles (15) Vijeyranga Textiles Pvt Limited	Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	To declare that M/s.Sri Venkatramana Paper Mills Pvt Limited has lost captive status for the financial years 2017-2018 and 2018-2019. For arguments.


		(16) Thamarai Mills (17) Annur Sri Ambal Febrics Pvt Limited (18) Marudhamalai Sri Velmurugan Textiles (19) Jayarani Spinning Mills Limited (20) Shri Velmurugan Cotton Mills (21) Anithaa Weaving Mills Pvt Limited (22) Enkay Textiles (23) Senthil Spinners Pvt Ltd (24) Sam Turbo Industry Ltd		
Batch cases - In the matter of adjustment of lapsed units – For arguments				
18	T.A.No.7 of 2022	Kamachi Industries Limited Versus (i) Chairman/TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations & ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.475 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.
19	T.A.No.8 of 2022	M/s.ARS Energy Pvt. Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution (vi) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.11480 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.
20	T.A.No.9 of 2022	Suryadev Alloys & Powers Pvt. Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.12062 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units
21	T.A.No.10 of 2022	Tulsyan NEC Limited Versus (i) Ch/TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.12083 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.

		(v) Director/Distribution (vi) SE/Chennai EDC/North		
22	T.A.No.11 of 2022	Kamachi Industries Limited Versus (i) Chairman/ TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.12584 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.
23	T.A.No.12 of 2022	OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus (i) Ch./TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO & Ors.	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.N.Kumanan & Adv.A.P.Venkatachalapathy	W.P.No.15861 of 2021 trd. by Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the matter of adjustment of units.
24	D.R.P.No.3 of 2023	M/s.MALCO Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/PPP (iii) SE/Mettur EDC (iv) SLDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	To set aside the impugned communications dated 13.02.2015 and 24.04.2015 and the consequential demand of the 3rd respondent letter dt.29.06.2015 demanding a sum of Rs.8,58,23,430/- and pass other orders. For arguments.
25	D.R.P.No.4 of 2023	Tamil Nadu Newsprint & Papers Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/PPP (iii) SE/Karur EDC (iv) SLDC	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Richardson Wilson	To set aside the impugned communications dated 13.02.2015 and 24.04.2015 and the consequential demand of the 3rd respondent letter dt.21.07.2016 demanding a sum of Rs.2,64,97,493/- and pass other orders. For arguments.
26	R.A.No.1 of 2024	M/s.KR Wind Energy LLP Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO (iii) SE/Dindigul EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson	Hon'ble APTEL has directed the Commission in Appeal No.853 of 2023 dated 30.11.2023 set-aside the orders of the Commission in D.R.P.No.1 of 2023 and remanded back to the Commission for

				consideration afresh in accordance with law. For filing consolidated reply / typedset and for arguments.
27	D.R.P.No.10 of 2023	M/s.Krishnaveni Carbon Products Pvt Limited Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson	To quash the 3rd respondent's impugned demand notice No.SE/TEDC/TIN/DFC/AO/WIND/AS/F.OASoft ware/ D.No.354/23 dt.08.05.2023. For filing consolidated reply / typedset and for arguments.
28	I.A.No.1 of 2023 & D.R.P.No.18 of 2023	M/s.Sri Gomathy Mills Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO (iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv.Richardson Wilson	Direct the 3rd respondent not to take any coercive action of disconnecting the petitioner's HTSC No.4 till this matter is finally disposed of and also quash the impugned demand notice dated 10.03.2023 for Rs.1,03,99,200/- and the BOAD Audit Slip No.28 dt.1.8.2019 as illegal, arbitrary and contrary to the Wind Tariff Orders. For filing consolidated reply / typedset and for arguments.
29	P.R.C.No.1 of 2024	M/s.Apraava Renewable Energy Private Limited	Adv.Jafar Alam	Declare and direct that the respondent is liable to and must pay forthwith the last and latest figure of total outstanding amounts with late payment surcharge of 1% per month as per the WEPAs, tile the date of receipt of payment by the petitioner calculated to be Rs.87,75,62,700 as on 30.09.2023 and direct

				<p>the respondent to amend the existing WEPAs to reflect the change of the name of the petitioner from CLP Wind Farms (India) Pvt. Ltd to M/s.Apraava Renewable Energy Pvt Ltd and other orders.</p> <p>For hearing on the classification of the petition.</p>
30	P.R.C.No.2 of 2024	M/s.Apraava Wind Energy (Theni-Project-II) Renewable Energy Private Limited	Adv.Jafar Alam	<p>Declare and direct that the respondent is liable to and must pay forthwith the last and latest figure of total outstanding amounts with late payment surcharge of 1% per month as per the WEPAs, till the date of receipt of payment by the petitioner calculated to be Rs.81,32,93,175 as on 30.09.2023 and direct the respondent to amend the existing WEPAs to reflect the change of the name of the petitioner from CLP Wind Farms (Theni-Project-II) Pvt. Ltd to M/s.Apraava Wind Energy (Theni-Project-II) Pvt Ltd and other orders.</p> <p>For hearing on the classification of the petition.</p>

(By order of the Commission)



Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission