(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

R.A.No.2 of 2024

M/s.Grace Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner

(Adv.M/s.Rugan & Arya)

Versus

(i) CMD/ TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 07.03.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Srinivasan, Advocate from M/s.Rugan & Arya appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The counsel for the petitioner sought time for his Senior Counsel's appearance. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 02.04.2024 for arguments.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PR	ES	EN	T:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.6 of 2024

M/s.Karma Energy Ltd

(Fromerly Greenweiz Projects Ltd) Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Vs

(i) CMD/ TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 07.03.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The counsel for the petitioner prayed time for submitting the documents for indemnity sought for by CFC/TANGEDCO. The counsel for the respondent admitted liability. At the request of the TANGEDCO side, the case is adjourned to 02.04.2024 for filing formal counter.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:	-
----------	---

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.7 of 2024

M/s.Karma Energy Ltd (Fromerly Mitra Fidelity Ltd)

..... Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Vs

(i) CMD/ TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 07.03.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The counsel for the petitioner prayed time for submitting the documents for indemnity sought for by CFC/TANGEDCO. The counsel for the respondent admitted liability. At the request of the TANGEDCO side, the case is adjourned to 02.04.2024 for filing formal counter.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:	_
----------	---

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.8 of 2024

M/s.Karma Energy Ltd

(Fromerly Tapi Energy Projects Ltd) Petitioner

(Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Vs

(i) CMD/ TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents (Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated : 07.03.2024 **DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. counsel for the petitioner prayed time for submitting the documents for indemnity sought for by CFC/TANGEDCO. The counsel for the respondent admitted liability. At the request of the TANGEDCO side, the case is adjourned to 02.04.2024 for filing formal counter.

(Sd.....) (Sd.....) (Sd.....) (B.Mohan) (K.Venkatesan) (M.Chandrasekar) Member(legal) Member Chairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act. 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:	_
----------	---

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.9 of 2024

M/s.Fortune Integrated Assests Finance Ltd. (Fromerly Wind Construction Ltd.)

..... Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Vs

(i) CMD/ TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 07.03.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and sought time for filing counter. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that TANGEDCO seeks to make the payments under the LPS scheme in instalments as introduced by Government of India which is not correct given the fact that LPS scheme becomes applicable if the required procedures of the notification under the scheme like issue of notice within a month of the notification have been complied with. The counsel for the petitioner sought settlement as per the order issued by the Commission. He further pointed out that the interest rates are different under LPS scheme and sought directions to TANGEDCO to settle the dues as per the orders of the Commission and not under LPS in instalment basis. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 19.03.2024 for filing counter.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member
Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P. No.1 of 2024

M/s.Southern Energy Development Corporation Ltd. Petitioner

(Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Vs

(i) CMD/ TANGEDCO & Ors. Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 07.03.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and sought time for filing counter. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that an earlier order of the Commission is in favour of the respondent and filing of counter may not be necessary. The case is adjourned to 02.04.2024 for filing counter as a last chance.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

T.A.No.1 of 2024

M/s.Kamachi Industries Ltd. Petitioner

(Adv.M.A.Mudimannan)

Versus

TANGEDCO & Ors. Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 07.03.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.M.A.Mudimannan, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Tvl. N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and sought time for filing counter. The Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the matter is squarely covered by the earlier orders of the Commission allowing waiver of transmission and wheeling charges during the COVID period and order may be passed in line with the earlier orders passed by the Commission. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 19.03.2024 for filing counter as a last chance.

(Sd.......)(Sd.........)(Sd.........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.30 of 2022

CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO

..... Petitioner
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Versus

M/s.Raj Hair International Pvt. Ltd.

..... Respondent (Adv.Ajay Francis Inigo Loyola)

Hearing dated: 07.03.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.Ajay Francis Inigo Loyola, Advocate appeared for the respondent. The Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO sought time for filing rejoinder. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 02.04.2024 for filing rejoinder.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT	:-
----------------	----

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

L.P.No. 1 of 2024

Union of India Military Engineer Services (MES)

Air Force Tambaram Rep. through its Garrison Engineer Petitioner

(M/s.P.V.S.Giridhar Associates)

Versus

i) CMD/TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 07.03.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.V.Raveendran, Advocate from M/s.P.V.S.Giridhar Associates appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO and submitted that paper publications as required under the Regulations have not been complied with. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that as per Section 184 of the Electricity Act, 2003 the provisions of this Electricity Act shall not apply to the Ministry of Defence and hence, the publication in newspaper does not arise. The petitioner sought leave to file an I.A. seeking amendment in the prayer (b) of the main petition. The counsel for the respondent objected to the same and submitted that application for exemption from publication should be filed in the first instance. The petitioner to file an I.A. as prayed for. The case is adjourned to 30.04.2024 for admission of the I.A. to be filed by the petitioner and for further hearing on objections, if any, on I.A.

(Sd.....)
(B.Mohan)
Member(legal)

(Sd.....)
(K.Venkatesan)
Member

(Sd......)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRES	EN.	T:-
-------------	-----	-----

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member Thiru.B.Mohan

Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.20 of 2012

Pioneer Power Ltd., Petitioner

(Adv.Sathyaseelan)

Versus

i) TANGEDCO ii) CE, PPP

iii) CFC, Revenue

..... Respondents (Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated : 07.03.2024

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.K.Balasubramanian, Advocate representing Thiru.A.Satyaseelan, Advocate-on-Record informed that the Supreme Court in its order has passed the status-quo and sought time for the appearance of Advocate-on-Record. Petitioner is directed to file memo enclosing the copy of the said order of the Supreme Court. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 14.05.2024 for filing memo and for arguments.

(Sd.....) (Sd.....) (Sd.....) (M.Chandrasekar) (B.Mohan) (K.Venkatesan) Member(legal) Member Chairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member Thiru.B.Mohan

Member(Legal)

M.P.No.25 of 2014

Pioneer Power Ltd., Petitioner

(Adv.Sathyaseelan)

Versus

i) TANGEDCO

ii) Director (Generation)

iii) CE, IPP

..... Respondents (Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated : 07.03.2024

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.K.Balasubramanian, Advocate representing Thiru.A.Satyaseelan, Advocate-on-Record informed that the Supreme Court in its order has passed the status-quo and sought time for the appearance of Advocate-on-Record. Petitioner is directed to file memo enclosing the copy of the said order of the Supreme Court. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 14.05.2024 for filing memo and for arguments.

(Sd.....) (Sd.....) (Sd.....) (B.Mohan) (K.Venkatesan) (M.Chandrasekar) Member(legal) Member Chairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESE	ENT:-
-------	-------

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.32 of 2013

Suryadev Alloys and Power Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner

(Adv. Vinod Kumar)

Versus

i) TANGEDCO

ii) CFC, Revenue

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated : 07.03.2024

DAILY ORDER

No representation from the petitioner. Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO. The counsel for the respondent submitted that, in the same matter, writ appeal filed by TANGEDCO is pending and conditional stay has been granted and the decision of the Hon'ble High court of Madras in the writ appeal is awaited. The case is adjourned to 14.05.2024 for reporting status of the case pending before the High Court.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT	:-
----------------	----

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.12 of 2022

The Tata Power Company Ltd Petitioner

(SKV Law Officers)

Versus

i) CMD/ TANGEDCO & Ors. Respondents

> (Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 07.03.2024 **DAILY ORDER**

Mr.Shri Venkatesh and Mr.Suhael Buttan, Advocates from M/s.SKV Law Offices appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.P.Wilson, Senior Advocate appeared for the respondents and sought time for arguments as the similar matter is pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court and that the orders of the Division Bench relied upon by the petitioner has been challenged by the respondents in SLP before Hon'ble Supreme Court and Apex Court permitted the respondent to raise the points canvassed in the main case in Techno Electric which is pending before Hon'ble Supreme Court and sought adjournment for awaiting the decision. The case is adjourned to 30.04.2024 for arguments as a last chance.

(Sd.....) (Sd.....) (B.Mohan) (K.Venkatesan) (M.Chandrasekar) Member(legal) Member

//True Copy//

Secretary Tamil Nadu Electricity **Regulatory Commission**

(Sd.....)

Chairman

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PF	RE:	SE	N٦	Γ:-
----	-----	----	----	-----

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

R.P.No.2 of 2013

Spictex Cotton Mills (P) Ltd. Petitioner

(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

TANGEDCO & Ors. Respondent

(Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Hearing dated: 07.03.2024

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that this matter could be heard along with R.P.No.1 of 2024 which is posted on 14.03.2024. Commission directed the Registry to tag this matter with R.P.No.1 of 2024 and further adjourned the case to 14-03-2024 for arguments.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESE	ENT:-
-------	-------

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.14 of 2013

Sri Pathy Papers & Board (P) Ltd. Petitioner (Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

(Auv.N.S.Fanulya

Versus

i) CFC, TANGEDCO

ii) SE, Virudhunagar EDC

..... Respondents (Adv.Richardson wilson)

Hearing dated : 07.03.2024

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that this matter could be heard along with R.P.No.1 of 2024. Commission directed the Registry to tag this matter with R.P.No.1 of 2024. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 14-03-2024 for arguments.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.15 of 2013

Sri Pathy Papers & Board (P) Ltd. Petitioner

(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

TANGEDCO Respondents

(Adv.Richardson wilson)

Hearing dated : 07.03.2024

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that this matter could be heard along with R.P.No.1 of 2024. Commission directed the Registry to tag this matter with R.P.No.1 of 2024. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 14-03-2024 for arguments.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.15 of 2023

M/s.Khivraj Motors Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner

(Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

i) TANGEDCO & Ors. Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 07.03.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.P.Wilson, Senior Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO and prayed to post this matter on 08.03.2024 for arguments. Arguments of the petitioner heard. At the request of respondent side, the case is adjourned to 08.03.2024 for arguments of the respondent.

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:	· -
----------	-----

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

I.A.No.2 of 2023 & M.P.No.29 of 2023

M/s.Annamalai University Petitioner

(Adv.S.Sivasubramani)

Versus

i) CMD/TANGEDCO & Ors. Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 07.03.2024

DAILY ORDER

Ms.Bakiya Lakshmi, Law Officer represented the petitioner and sought time for arguments as the Ld.Additional Advocate General, Thiru.Kumaresan will be appearing in this matter. Thiru.P.Wilson, Senior Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO and submitted that the order passed in Rajah Muthiah's case will equally apply to this case. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 21.03.2024 for arguments on the I.A. filed by the petitioner.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

I.A.No.1 of 2024 in M.P.No.19 of 2023

CFC/Deposits & Documentation. **TANGEDCO**

..... Petitioner (Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy

Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Versus

i) M/s.Ind Bharat Power Gencom Ltd.

ii) Thiru.Rajesh Chilale,

Resolution Professional of IBPGL & 174 captive users Respondents

(M/s.Shree Law Services)

Hearing dated : 11.01.2024 **DAILY ORDER**

Tvl. N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. Thiru.T.Ravichandran, Advocate from M/s.Shree Law Services appeared for the Respondent-1. Proceedings in I.A.No. 1 of 2024 Suo Motu ordered to be re-opened as this Commission, on perusal of records, noticed that general procedure contemplated under Order-I Rule 10 of CPC (Viz) sending notice to the proposed parties, has not been followed. The learned Counsel for the respondent submitted that notice need not be sent to the proposed parties as the issue involved in the case can be completely and effectively decided even in the absence of the proposed parties. The question as to whether the proposed parties are necessary parties or not can be decided upon full fledged enquiry affording opportunity to the proposed parties to state their case in regard to impleading them as parties to be proceedings. Hence in order to comply with the principles of natural justice and to prevent miscarriage of justice notice to the proposed parties ordered for the hearing on 02.04.2024. Notice shall be sent through registered post with acknowledgment due. Main petition posted to 02.04.2024.

(Sd.....) (B.Mohan) Member(legal)

(Sd.....) (K.Venkatesan) Member

(Sd.....) (M.Chandrasekar) Chairman

//True Copy//