(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member
Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.13 of 2022

M/s.Grace Infrastructure Pvt. Limited Petitioner

(M/s.Rugan & Arya)

Versus

i) CMD/ TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated : 19.03.2024

DAILY ORDER

Order pronounced.

"4.10. In fine, the following is ordered:-

- (i) The claim for payment of interest upto the date of actual payment is negative in the light of acquiescence on the part of the petitioner to settlement of existing dues by way of instalments.
- (ii) The payments made by way of six instalments commencing from 11-04-2023 to 11-09-2023 are treated as full and final settlement of the dues unless the petitioner proves otherwise that the orders in the Daily Order has not been complied with in any manner or to any extent.
- (iii) The dispute shall be deemed to stand resolved if all the direction in the Daily Orders have been fully complied with.
- (iv) The claim made by the petitioner in the original petition or direction of the Commission in the original order no longer survives and hence can no longer be a matter of compliance in view of the subsequent arrangements agreed to by the

parties for settlement by six instalments and the passing of Daily Order confirming the same.

- (v) The Superintending Engineer, Tirunelveli shall pay Rs.1,37,66,492/- to respondent as admitted in this letter dated 30-12-2023 as being an omission.
- (vi) Any other dues admitted as being within the instalment scheme and lawfully due to the petitioner shall be paid without further reference to the Commission.

With these observations, the petition is disposed of. Parties directed to bear their respective costs".

(Sd......) (Sd.......) (Sd.......)
(B.Mohan) (K.Venkatesan) (M.Chandrasekar)
Member(legal) Member Chairman

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.12 of 2023

M/s.Tagros Chemical India Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner

(Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

i) CMD/TANGEDCO & Ors. Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated : 19.13.2024

DAILY ORDER

Order pronounced.

"6.26. In effect we pass orders as below:

- 1. The petitioner's primary prayer to effect additional demand came to be decided by the Commission during the pendency of the petition vide interim order dated 25.04.23 whereby the additional demand was effected with the existing 22kV network. The same shall continue till the repair works are completed in the 110kV main line.
- 2. The Respondents shall revise the estimate henceforth, based on the actual, for the portion of the 110kV line works from the tapping location to the petitioner's industry already executed by the petitioner to assess the amount to be refunded to the petitioner as detailed in para 6.22 of this order. On assessment, such refundable amount shall be adjusted from the establishment and supervision charges payable by the petitioner towards repair work to be carried out by the petitioner in the 110kV main line.
- 3. Materials for repair work of the 110kV main line (single circuit) from Sub-Station to the tapping location to the petitioner's industry shall be supplied

and erected by petitioner at their cost under the supervision of the respondent Licensee. The balance in establishment & supervision charges is further payable by the petitioner towards the said repair work after adjustment of refund as ordered supra and as detailed in paras 6.20, 6.21 & 6.22 of this order. No other charges are payable by the petitioner.

- 4. On completion of the repair work of the 110kV main line, the feeding net work of the service connection of the petitioner's industry shall be transferred from the present temporary 22kV network to the aforesaid revamped 110kV network, permanently. The revised estimate based on actual of the main line work shall be prepared and shared with the petitioner for reconciliation within two months from the date of completion of works. The extra amount paid by the petitioner if any over and above the actual of the entire work, shall be adjusted in the future bills of the petitioner.
- 5. Penal action against the respondents vide section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 as urged by the petitioner is not warranted for the reasons explained in course of this order.
- 6. All wings of the respondents shall coordinate among themselves and with petitioner and render all kinds of assistance to complete the work in full within a reasonable time. The superintending engineer of the concerned distribution circle shall coordinate entire agencies involved for timely completion of the work. Petition stand disposed accordingly with no order as to costs".

 (Sd.......)
 (Sd........)
 (Sd........)

 (B.Mohan)
 (K.Venkatesan)
 (M.Chandrasekar)

 Member(legal)
 Member
 Chairman

 //True Copy//

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION (Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)

(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PR	RES	EN	IT:-
	\sim		

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.3 of 2024

M/s.SEPC Power Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner

(M/s.J Sagar Associates)

Versus

TANGEDCO Respondent (Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Hearing dated: 19.03.2024 DAILY ORDER

Ms.Gayatri Aryan, Advocate from M/s.J Sagar Associates appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the respondent. The counsel for the petitioner sought one day time for filing I.A. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 21.03.2024 for filing of I.A. and for admission.

(Sd.......)(Sd.........)(Sd.........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PR	ES	E١	IT	·:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.19 of 2023

M/s.Kamuthi Renewable Energy Limited

..... Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balaii)

Versus

i) CMD/TANGEDCO

ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 19.03.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and sought time for filing counter. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 26.03.2024 for filing counter.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.20 of 2023

M/s.SEP Energy Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner

(M/s.MSA Partners)

Versus

TANGEDCO & Ors. Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 19.03.2024 DAILY ORDER

Ms.Aishwarya Subramani, Advocate from M/s.MSA Partners appeared for the petitioner side. Tvl. N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and filed counter affidavit. The counsel for the petitioner sought 2 weeks time for filing rejoinder. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 02.04.2024 for filing counter.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.9 of 2024

M/s.Fortune Integrated Assests Finance Ltd. (Fromerly Wind Construction Ltd.)

..... Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Vs

(i) CMD/ TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 19.03.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and sought time for filing counter. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that the dues around Rs.95 crores has not been paid till now and prayed to direct the TANGEDCO to release the payment immediately. The official of TANGEDCO submitted that they are taking necessary steps to release a sum of Rs.57 crores within a week. Commission directed TANGEDCO to release Rs.57 crores to the petitioner before 28.03.2024 and to file an affidavit in this regard. The case is adjourned to 28.03.2024 for verification of compliance of the above direction.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

T.A.No.1 of 2024

M/s.Kamachi Industries Ltd. Petitioner

(Adv.M.A.Mudimannan)

Versus

TANGEDCO & Ors. Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 19.03.2024
DAILY ORDER

Thiru.M.A.Mudimannan, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Tvl. N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for the respondent, TANGEDCO appeared and sought time for filing counter. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the core issue involved in the present case (viz) whether waiver of transmission and wheeling charges have to be allowed for the Covid 19 period, stand resolved by the Commission in its earlier orders and as such absolutely there is no justification on the part of the respondent in seeking repeated adjournments for filing counter affidavit. Records disclose that more than sufficient opportunity had already been afforded to the respondent to file counter affidavit but the respondent has not availed the same. Considering the nature and amplitude of the issue involved in the case, this Commission opines that granting further adjournment would be a travesty of justice and decides to dispose of the case with available records. Brief arguments of the petitioner's counsel heard. Orders reserved.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION (Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)

(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT	:-
----------------	----

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.38 of 2023

CFC/Deposits & Documentation,

TANGEDCO Petitioner

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Vs

M/s.KAS Onsite Power Solutions LLP

..... Respondent (Rep.by its.Thiru.T.Thangarasu, Assistant Manager)

Hearing dated: 19.03.2024 DAILY ORDER

Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO. Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the respondent and sought time for further submissions. The case is adjourned to 10.04.2024 for further hearing.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:	:-
----------	----

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.20 of 2023

CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Petitioner

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Versus

M/s.MMS Steel & Power Pvt. Ltd.

..... Respondent (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Hearing dated: 19.03.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for the petitioner and sought four weeks time for filing written arguments. Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the respondent. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 16.04.2024 for filing written arguments and further hearing.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:	-
----------	---

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.21 of 2023

CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Petitioner

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Versus

M/s.OPG Energy Pvt. Ltd.

..... Respondent (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Hearing dated: 19.03.2024

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for the petitioner and sought four weeks time for filing written arguments. Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the respondent. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 16.04.2024 for filing written arguments and further hearing.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:	-
----------	---

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.16 of 2023

CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Petitioner

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Versus

i) Sri Venkatramana Paper Mills Pvt. Ltd. & 23 Ors. Respondents

(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Hearing dated: 19.03.2024
DAILY ORDER

Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for the TANGEDCO. Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the respondent. Reply statement filed by the 1st Respondent over the rejoinder filed by the petitioner. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 16.04.2024 for arguments.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESEN1	Γ:-
---------	-----

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

R.A.No.1 of 2024

M/s.KR Wind Energy LLP Petitioner

(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents (Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Hearing dated: 19.03.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and sought time for arguments. Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the respondent. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 10.04.2024 for arguments.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.10 of 2023

M/s.Krishnaveni Carbon Products Pvt Ltd. Petitioner (Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO & Ors. Respondents (Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Hearing dated: 19.02.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and sought time for arguments. Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the respondent. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 10.04.2024 for arguments.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PR	ES	E١	ΙT	·:-
----	----	----	----	-----

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member
Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

I.A.No.1 of 2023 & D.R.P.No.18 of 2023

M/s.Sri Gomathy Mills Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner (Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents (Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Hearing dated: 19.03.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and sought time for arguments. Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the respondent. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 10.04.2024 for arguments.

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.25 of 2023

M/s.Vijay Velavan Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner

(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

i) TANGEDCO

ii) SE/Palladam EDC

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 19.03.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and sought time for arguments. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for the TANGEDCO and sought time for arguments as the Senior Counsel, Thiru.P.Wilson will be appearing in this matter. At the request of the both parties, the case is adjourned to 21.03.2024 for arguments.

(Sd.......)(Sd.........)(Sd.........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

Ρ	E	S	E	N	T	:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.26 of 2023

Naveen Cotton Mill Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner (Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

- i) TANGEDCO
- ii) SE/ Tirunelveli EDC
- iii) SE/TANGEDCO

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 19.03.2024
DAILY ORDER

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and sought time for arguments. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for the TANGEDCO and sought time for arguments as the Senior Counsel, Thiru.P.Wilson will be appearing in this matter. At the request of the both parties, the case is adjourned to 21.03.2024 for arguments.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:	-
----------	---

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.5 of 2023

Solitaire BTN Solar Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner

(SKV Law Offices)

Versus

i) TANGEDCO & Ors. Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 19.03.2024
DAILY ORDER

Mr. Suhael Buttan and Mr.Nikunj Bhatnagar, Advocates from M/s.SKV Law Offices appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan and A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO and sought time for appearance of Senior Counsel, Thiru.N.R.Elango. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 21.03.2024 for arguments.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

Р	R	Ε	S	Ε	N	IT	:-
---	---	---	---	---	---	----	----

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.9 of 2023

M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner

(Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

CMD/ TANGEDCO & Ors. Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 19.03.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Tvl.N.Kumanan, and A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for the respondents and sought time for arguments as the Senior Advocate, Thiru.P.Wilson will be appearing in this matter. Arguments of the petitioner heard. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 21.03.2024 for arguments of the respondent.

(Sd......)(Sd.......)(Sd.......)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.12 of 2023

M/s.Narbheram Solar TN Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

i) CMD/ TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 19.03.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Tvl.N.Kumanan, and A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for the respondents and sought time for arguments as the Senior Advocate, Thiru.P.Wilson will be appearing in this matter. Arguments of the petitioner heard. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 21.03.2024 for arguments of the respondent.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.13 of 2023

M/s.NVR Energy Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

i) CMD/TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 19.03.2024
DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Tvl.N.Kumanan, and A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for the respondents and sought time for arguments as the Senior Advocate, Thiru.P.Wilson will be appearing in this matter. Arguments of the petitioner heard. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 21.03.2024 for arguments of the respondent.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.17 of 2023

M/s.SEPC Power Pvt. Ltd. Petitioner

(M/s.J.Sagar Associates)

Versus

i) TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents (Adv.Thiru.Richardson Wilson)

Hearing dated: 19.03.2024 DAILY ORDER

Ms.Gayatri Aryan, Advocate from M/s.J Sagar Associates appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the respondent. Arguments of the both side heard. Petitioner is directed to file an I.A. for amending the prayer in the main petition along with affidavit with supporting documents and other relevant documents relating to the entire claim. At the request of the both side, the case is adjourned to 18.04.2024 for filing I.A. and further arguments of the petitioner.

(Sd.......)(Sd.........)(Sd.........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT	:-
----------------	----

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.1 of 2023

CE/PPP, TANGEDCO Petitioner

(Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Versus

M/s.SEPC Power Pvt. Ltd. Respondents

(M/s.J.Sagar Associates)

Hearing dated :19.03.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Ms.Gayatri Aryan, Advocate from M/s.J Sagar Associates appeared for the respondent. Arguments of the both side heard. At the request of the both side, the case is adjourned to 18.04.2024 for further arguments.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.6 of 2023

M/s.SEPC Power Private Limted Petitioner

(M/s.J Sagar Associates)

Versus

TANGEDCO Respondent

(Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Hearing dated : 19.03.2024

DAILY ORDER

Ms.Gayatri Aryan, Advocate from M/s.J Sagar Associates appeared for the Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the respondent. The petitioner. counsel for the petitioner prayed to direct the TANGEDCO to sort out the issues regarding the accounting procedure. TANGEDCO is directed to sort out the issue regarding the accounting procedure before the next hearing. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 18.04.2024 for arguments.

(Sd.....) (Sd.....) (Sd.....) (M.Chandrasekar) (B.Mohan) (K.Venkatesan) Member(legal) Member Chairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT	:-
----------------	----

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member

Thiru.B.Mohan Member(Legal)

M.P.No.30 of 2023

CE/ PPP, TANGEDCO Petitioner

(Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Versus

M/s.SEPC Power Pvt. Ltd.

..... Respondents (M/s.J Sagar Associates)

Hearing dated: 19.03.2024 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Ms.Gayatri Aryan, Advocate from M/s.J Sagar Associates appeared for the respondent. Arguments of the both side heard. At the request of the both side, the case is adjourned to 18.04.2024 for further arguments.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//