

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

**Thiru M.Chandrasekar**

**.... Chairman**

**and**

**Thiru. K.Venkatasamy**

**.... Member (Legal)**

**P.P.A.P.No.2 of 2022**

**CE/NCES, TANGEDCO**

**... Petitioner  
(Adv.M.Gopinathan)**

**Hearing dated : 26-04-2022**

**DAILY ORDER**

**Order pronounced.**

**“4.5** In view of the reasonableness of the prices and considering that the Distribution licensee, TANGEDCO is eligible to obtain the procurement based incentive at Rs.0.40 per unit or Rs.6.6 lakhs per MW capacity installed, whichever is less, on timely execution of the PPA, Commission approves adoption of the tariff of Rs.2.99 per unit under section 63 of the Electricity Act 2003, and for issue of letter of award to the two bidders indicated above and subsequent execution of PPA for a period of 25 years. In regard to the approval sought to make payment for the net generation to the bidders, since generation from the plant will be consumed by nearby loads which aids in reducing line losses of the Licensee, in accordance to the principles adopted in the Generic Tariff Order for Grid Interactive PV Solar Energy Generating System (GISS) where it has been observed that ‘agricultural feeders are ideally suited to effectively utilize the potential of solar energy through the gross metering mechanism. The segment of agricultural network has been the perennial loss pocket with lengthy lines and wide LT network. The continuing bad performing trend of agricultural feeders plagued by inefficiency over the decades, could be reversed if the feeders are taken over by GISS through gross metering by establishing a service line of power evacuation as an interface between the GISS of open area and the nearby agricultural HT feeder concerned. .... The load will be very much nearer to the generation point and hence the very meagre line loss component is not considered’, the petitioner is directed to make payment for the gross generation of the solar power plants of the bidders. The solar power procured from these power plants may be accounted for RPO. If the generation is connected directly to the nearby SS then the meter may be provided at SS end and the gross generation paid”.

(Sd.....)  
**(K. Venkatasamy)**  
**Member (Legal)**

(Sd.....)  
**(M.Chandrasekar)**  
**Chairman**

**//True Copy//**

**Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity  
Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

**Thiru M.Chandrasekar**

**.... Chairman**

**Thiru. K.Venkatasamy**

**.... Member (Legal)**

**M.P.No.19 of 2021**

M/s.R.S.Yarns and Power Pvt. Limited

.... Petitioner  
(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj )

Versus

i) CMD / TANGEDCO

...Respondent  
(Adv.M.Gopinathan)

**Hearing held on 26-04-2022**

**DAILY ORDER**

**Order pronounced.**

“8.16. Above all, under section 86 (4) of the Electricity Act, the Commission shall be guided by the National Electricity Policy and National Tariff Policy and hence, we are of the view that the Regulation 24 (1) satisfies the test of statutory validity as well. It is all the more important to observe here that the petitioner has not come up with a defence that there is no case of stranded capacity concerning the consumers over and above 66 KV and the case of the petitioner also fails on factual count. In the result, we have to conclude that consumers over and above 66 KV cannot seek exclusion or exemption from payment of Additional surcharge. In the result, the petition is dismissed”.

(Sd.....)  
**(K. Venkatasamy)**  
**Member (Legal)**

(Sd.....)  
**(M.Chandrasekar)**  
**Chairman**

**//True Copy//**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

**Thiru M.Chandrasekar**

**.... Chairman**

**Thiru. K.Venkatasamy**

**.... Member (Legal)**

**D.R.P.No.11 of 2022**

M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited

.... Petitioner  
(Adv.Rahul Balaji )

Versus

i) CMD / TANGEDCO

...Respondent  
(Adv.M.Gopinathan)

**Hearing held on 26-04-2022**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. Brief arguments heard from the petitioner. Petition admitted. The case is adjourned to 31.05.2022 for filing counter.

(Sd.....)  
**(K. Venkatasamy)**  
**Member (Legal)**

(Sd.....)  
**(M.Chandrasekar)**  
**Chairman**

**//True Copy//**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

**Thiru M.Chandrasekar**

**.... Chairman**

**Thiru. K.Venkatasamy**

**.... Member (Legal)**

**D.R.P.No.4 of 2022**

M/s.Sulochana Cotton Spinning Mills Pvt. Limited

.... Petitioner  
(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

(i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO & Ors.

... Respondents  
(Adv.M.Gopinathan )

**Hearing held on 26-04-2022**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner.  
Thiru. M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO and sought time for filing counter. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 17.05.2022 for filing counter.

(Sd.....)  
**(K. Venkatasamy)**  
**Member (Legal)**

(Sd.....)  
**(M.Chandrasekar)**  
**Chairman**

**//True Copy//**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

**Thiru M.Chandrasekar**

**.... Chairman**

**Thiru. K.Venkatasamy**

**.... Member (Legal)**

**D.R.P.No.5 of 2022**

M/s.Sulochana Cotton Spinning Mills Pvt. Limited

.... Petitioner  
(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

(i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO & Ors.

... Respondents  
(Adv.M.Gopinathan )

**Hearing held on 26-04-2022**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner.  
Thiru. M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO and sought time for filing counter. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 17.05.2022 for filing counter.

(Sd.....)  
**(K. Venkatasamy)**  
**Member (Legal)**

(Sd.....)  
**(M.Chandrasekar)**  
**Chairman**

**//True Copy//**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

**Thiru M.Chandrasekar**

**.... Chairman**

**Thiru. K.Venkatasamy**

**.... Member (Legal)**

**D.R.P.No.6 of 2022**

M/s.Hindustan Textiles

.... Petitioner  
(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

(i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO & Ors.

... Respondents  
(Adv.M.Gopinathan )

**Hearing held on 26-04-2022**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner.  
Thiru. M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO and sought time for filing counter. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 17.05.2022 for filing counter.

(Sd.....)  
**(K. Venkatasamy)**  
**Member (Legal)**

(Sd.....)  
**(M.Chandrasekar)**  
**Chairman**

**//True Copy//**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

**Thiru M.Chandrasekar**

**.... Chairman**

**Thiru. K.Venkatasamy**

**.... Member (Legal)**

**D.R.P.No.7 of 2022**

**M/s.Arkey Energy (Rameswaram) Limited**

**.... Petitioner  
(Adv.Anirudh Krishnan)**

**Versus**

- (i) Principal Secretary to Govt., Energy Dept., GoTN  
(ii) CMD/TANGEDCO**

**... Respondents  
(Adv.M.Gopinathan)**

**Hearing held on 26-04-2022**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.Sasank Iyer, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru. M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO and sought time for filing counter. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 24.05.2022 for filing counter.

**(Sd.....)  
(K. Venkatasamy)  
Member (Legal)**

**(Sd.....)  
(M.Chandrasekar)  
Chairman**

**//True Copy//**

**Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity  
Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

**Thiru M.Chandrasekar**

**.... Chairman**

**and**

**Thiru. K.Venkatasamy**

**.... Member (Legal)**

**D.R.P.No.8 of 2022**

M/s.Solitaire BTN Solar Pvt. Limited

..... Petitioner  
(M/s.HSA Advocates)

Versus

- (i) CMD/TANGEDCO  
(ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO

..... Respondents  
(Adv.M.Gopinathan)

**Hearing held on 26-04-2022**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.Shresth Sharma, Advocate from M/s.HSA Advocates appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and sought time for filing counter. The counsel for the petitioner sought direction for balance payment of Rs.3.85 crores to which the counsel for the respondent responded by saying that the payment of Rs.13.56 crores have already been settled and the balance amount of Rs.3.85 crores would be made within 15 days. The counsel for the respondent also sought time for filing counter. Commission directed TANGEDCO to release the balance payment to the petitioner before 17.05.2022 and also to file counter affidavit before the next hearing. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 17.05.2022 for filing counter as a last chance.

(Sd.....)  
**(K. Venkatasamy)**  
**Member (Legal)**

(Sd.....)  
**(M.Chandrasekar)**  
**Chairman**

**//True Copy//**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**



**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

**Thiru M.Chandrasekar**

**.... Chairman**

**and**

**Thiru. K.Venkatasamy**

**.... Member (Legal)**

**D.R.P.No.10 of 2022**

M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited

..... Petitioner  
(Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

(i) CMD/TANGEDCO & Ors.

... Respondents  
(Adv.M.Gopinathan)

**Hearing dated : 26-04-2022**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and sought time for filing counter. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 17.05.2022 for filing counter.

(Sd.....)  
**(K. Venkatasamy)**  
**Member (Legal)**

(Sd.....)  
**(M.Chandrasekar)**  
**Chairman**

**//True Copy//**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

**Thiru M.Chandrasekar**

**.... Chairman**

**and**

**Thiru. K.Venkatasamy**

**.... Member (Legal)**

**M.P.No.11 of 2022**

Power Engineers Society of Tamil Nadu (PESOT)

..... Petitioner  
(Rep. by its President)

Versus

(i) CMD/TANGEDCO

(ii) M/s.PPN Power Generating Co. Pvt. Limited

..... Respondents  
(Adv.M.Gopinathan for R-1  
Adv.Rahul Balaji for R-2)

**Hearing held on 26-04-2022**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.S.Gandhi, President represented Power Engineers Society of Tamil Nadu (PESOT). Thiru.M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared and sought time for filing counter and affidavit. Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for M/s.PPN Power Generating Co. Pvt. Limited (R-2) and sought 2 weeks time for filing counter. At the request of the respondents side, the case is adjourned to 17.05.2022 for filing counter on the maintainability of the petition as well as on merits by R-1 & R-2 and filing of affidavit by R-1.

(Sd.....)  
**(K. Venkatasamy)**  
**Member (Legal)**

(Sd.....)  
**(M.Chandrasekar)**  
**Chairman**

**//True Copy//**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

**Thiru M.Chandrasekar**

**.... Chairman**

**and**

**Thiru. K.Venkatasamy**

**.... Member (Legal)**

**M.P.No.13 of 2022**

M/s.Grace Infrastructure Pvt. Limited

..... Petitioner  
(M/s.Rugan & Arya)

Versus

(i) CMD/TANGEDCO & Ors.

... Respondents  
(Adv.M.Gopinathan)

**Hearing dated : 26-04-2022**

**DAILY ORDER**

The Advocate from M/s.Rugan and Arya appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. The counsel for the petitioner has prayed to direct the TANGEDCO to release the balance payment to the petitioner immediately. The Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO undertook to pay the balance amount to the petitioner within 15 days and to file the counter affidavit. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 10.05.2022 for filing counter before which the entire payment should be made.

(Sd.....)  
**(K. Venkatasamy)**  
**Member (Legal)**

(Sd.....)  
**(M.Chandrasekar)**  
**Chairman**

**//True Copy//**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

**Thiru M.Chandrasekar**

**.... Chairman**

**Thiru. K.Venkatasamy**

**.... Member (Legal)**

**D.R.P. No.18 of 2021**

M/s.Amarjothi Spinning Mills Limited

..... Petitioner  
(Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

(i) CMD/TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents  
(Adv.M.Gopinathan)

**Hearing dated : 26-04-2022**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and sought 2 weeks time for filing rejoinder along with consolidated typedset. Thiru.M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 24.05.2022 for filing rejoinder along with consolidated typedset.

(Sd.....)  
**(K. Venkatasamy)**  
**Member (Legal)**

(Sd.....)  
**(M.Chandrasekar)**  
**Chairman**

**//True Copy//**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

**Thiru M.Chandrasekar**

**.... Chairman**

**Thiru. K.Venkatasamy**

**.... Member (Legal)**

**M.P.No.3 of 2022**

M/s.SEPC Power Private Limited

.... Petitioner  
(Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

TANGEDCO

.... Respondent  
(Thiru.Richardson Wilson,  
Addl.Govt. Pleader)

**Hearing held on 26-04-2022**

**DAILY ORDER**

Ms.Simran Srinivasan, Junior to Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and sought time for filing rejoinder. The Junior to Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Additional Government Pleader appeared for TANGEDCO. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 24.05.2022 for filing rejoinder.

(Sd.....)  
**(K. Venkatasamy)**  
**Member (Legal)**

(Sd.....)  
**(M.Chandrasekar)**  
**Chairman**

**//True Copy//**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**(Constituted under Section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003**

**Central Act 36 of 2003)**

**PRESENT:-**

**Thiru M.Chandrasekar**

**.... Chairman**

**Thiru. K.Venkatasamy**

**.... Member (Legal)**

**D.R.P.No.1 of 2022**

M/s.Velathal Spinning Mills Pvt. Limited

.... Petitioner  
(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj )

Versus

i) CMD / TANGEDCO & Ors.

...Respondents  
(Adv.M.Gopinathan)

**Hearing held on 26-04-2022**

**DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.M.Gopinathan, Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO appeared. and submitted that the filing of rejoinder need not be required and prayed to pass the order for payment of interest @ 10% p.a. based on the available records. The Standing Counsel for TANGEDCO has sought fixing of date for argument. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 17.05.2022 for arguments.

(Sd.....)  
**(K. Venkatasamy)**  
**Member (Legal)**

(Sd.....)  
**(M.Chandrasekar)**  
**Chairman**

**//True Copy//**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity**  
**Regulatory Commission**