	LIST OF CASES PENDING BEFORE THE COMMISSION AS ON 29.02.2024					
SI.N o.	Case No.	Parties / Petitioners & Respondents	Subject Matter / Issue	Remarks		
1	D.R.P.No.20 of 2012	Pioneer Power Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE,PPP 3) CFC, Revenue	Declare that the respondents are not entitled to claim the liquidated damages of Rs.12,82,83,441/	19.03.2024 for reporting status of the case pending before the High Court		
2	M.P.No.25 of 2014	Pioneer Power Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) Director (Generation) 3) CE, IPP	To issue directions on the respondents in terms of section 142 of the Electricity Act 2003 for non compliance of the order dated 30-12-2011.	19.03.2024 for reporting status of the case pending before the High Court		
3	D.R.P.No.14 of 2013	Sri Pathy papers and Board (P) Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, TANGEDCO 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	To set aside the 2 nd respondent impugned notice dated 28-9-2012.	19.03.2024 for arguments		
4	D.R.P.No.15 of 2013	Sri Pathy papers and Board (P) Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, TANGEDCO 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	To set aside the 2 nd respondent impugned notice dated 11-8-2012.	19.03.2024 for arguments		
5	D.R.P.No. 32 of 2013	Suryadev Alloys and Power Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Chennai EDC North	To declare that the withdrawal of deemed demand benefit by the 2 nd respondent is illegal and contrary to law.	19.03.2024 for reporting status of the case pending before the High Court		
6	R.P.No.2 of 2013	Spictex Cotton Mills (P) Versus 1) CFC, Revenue 2) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Praying to review the order dated 17-4-2013 made in D.R.P.No.2 of 2012. For arguments.	19.03.2024 for arguments		
7	T.A.No.1 of 2019	 Venkateswara Cotton Yarn Mills TASMA & Ors. Versus GoTN TANGEDCO TANTRANSCO SLDC 	Procedure for verification of CGP status.	To be listed		
8	T.L.A.No.1 of 2019	Star Eco Pvt Limited	Praying to grant Intra-State Trading Licence.	To be listed		

9	D.R.P.No.10 of 2020	M/s.GMR Generation Assets Limited Versus TANGEDCO	Direct the TANGEDCO to calculate the amount due and payable to the petitioner and set-off perpetuated by TANGEDCO on account of LLR and alleged Excess Payment along with interest.	To be listed
10	D.R.P.No.17 of 2020	 i) M/s.Walwhan Renewable Energy Limited ii) M/s.Walwhan Solar TN Limited Versus i) Chairman/TANGEDCO ii) SLDC iii) TANTRANSCO iv) MNRE 	To issue directions treating the loss of generation of Rs.78.73 crores as computed till August, 2020 on account of curtailment of power as deemed generation by the petitioners and to make payment for the said deemed generation charges.	Order reserved.
11	M.P.No.39 of 2020	CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO Versus (i) Sri Jayavilas Subbaraj & Ors.	To approve to the format of "Status Report" to be obtained from the SE/EDCs concerned and to submit by the HT consumers who seeks open access.	finalisation of
12	M.P.No.3 of 2021	M/s.Ambika Cotton Mills Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANTRANSCO (ii) CMD / TANGEDCO		To be listed after finalisation of Regulation

13	D.R.P.No.5 of 2021	M/s.Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/GTS, TANGEDCO (iii) SE/GTS, Ramnad Circle	•	14.03.2024 for arguments as a last chance
14	M.P.No.16 of 2021	CE/Commercial, TANGEDCO Versus (i) Sri Jayavilas Subbaraj & Ors.	To approve the proposed changes in the 'NOC consent formats' for the HT consumer as 'Format ST-6' and for the generator as 'Format ST-5' to be issued by the SE/EDCs for the HT consumers / generators who applies for open access.	To be listed after finalisation of Regulation
15	I.A.No.2 of 2023 in D.R.P.No.18 of 2021	Amarjothi Spinning Mills Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director /Finance (iii) SE/Theni EDC	Direct the respondents to forthwith make payment of a sum of Rs.47,83,750/- being the sum due and payable to the petitioner against delayed payments made by TANGEDCO for power supplied and such further pendent lite interest from the date of filing till the date of realisation.	Order reserved.

16	T.A.No.1 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Clayton Limited	In the matter of	12.03.2024 for
			W.P.No.25357 of 2010	arguments
		Versus	transferred from the	al guillonto
		(i) Chairman / TANGEDCO	Hon'ble High Court of	
		(ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West	Madras:	
		(iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram)		
		Limited	To quash the first	
			respondent bill No.1109	
			dated 03.11.2010 and direct	
			the 1st & 2nd respondents	
			to give credit to the	
			supplies of power made by	
			the third respondent to the	
			accounts of the petitioner	
			and consequently forbear	
			them from levying charges	
			imposed under clauses	
			13(e) and (f) and 15 of the	
			impugned bill on alleged	
			excess drawal of power.	
17	T A No 2 of 2022	M/s Sundaram Clayton Limited	In the matter of	12 03 2024 for
17	T.A.No.2 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Clayton Limited	In the matter of W.P.No.25245 of 2010	12.03.2024 for arguments
17	T.A.No.2 of 2022		W.P.No.25245 of 2010	12.03.2024 for arguments
17	T.A.No.2 of 2022	Versus	W.P.No.25245 of 2010 transferred from the	
17	T.A.No.2 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO	W.P.No.25245 of 2010	
17	T.A.No.2 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West	W.P.No.25245 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of	
17	T.A.No.2 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO	W.P.No.25245 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras:	
17	T.A.No.2 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram)	W.P.No.25245 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first	
17	T.A.No.2 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram)	W.P.No.25245 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras:	
17	T.A.No.2 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram)	W.P.No.25245 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.1514	
17	T.A.No.2 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram)	W.P.No.25245 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.1514 dated 03.11.2010 and direct	
17	T.A.No.2 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram)	W.P.No.25245 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.1514 dated 03.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents	
17	T.A.No.2 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram)	W.P.No.25245 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.1514 dated 03.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the	
17	T.A.No.2 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram)	W.P.No.25245 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.1514 dated 03.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of power made by	
17	T.A.No.2 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram)	W.P.No.25245 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.1514 dated 03.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of power made by the third respondent to the	
17	T.A.No.2 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram)	W.P.No.25245 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.1514 dated 03.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of power made by the third respondent to the accounts of the petitioner	
17	T.A.No.2 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram)	W.P.No.25245 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.1514 dated 03.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of power made by the third respondent to the accounts of the petitioner and consequently forbear	
17	T.A.No.2 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram)	W.P.No.25245 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.1514 dated 03.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of power made by the third respondent to the accounts of the petitioner and consequently forbear them from levying charges	
17	T.A.No.2 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram)	W.P.No.25245 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.1514 dated 03.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of power made by the third respondent to the accounts of the petitioner and consequently forbear them from levying charges imposed under clauses	
17	T.A.No.2 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram)	W.P.No.25245 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.1514 dated 03.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of power made by the third respondent to the accounts of the petitioner and consequently forbear them from levying charges imposed under clauses 13(e) and (f) and 15 of the	
17	T.A.No.2 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram)	W.P.No.25245 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.1514 dated 03.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of power made by the third respondent to the accounts of the petitioner and consequently forbear them from levying charges imposed under clauses 13(e) and (f) and 15 of the impugned bill on alleged	
17	T.A.No.2 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram)	W.P.No.25245 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.1514 dated 03.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of power made by the third respondent to the accounts of the petitioner and consequently forbear them from levying charges imposed under clauses 13(e) and (f) and 15 of the impugned bill on alleged	
17	T.A.No.2 of 2022	Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram)	W.P.No.25245 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.1514 dated 03.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of power made by the third respondent to the accounts of the petitioner and consequently forbear them from levying charges imposed under clauses 13(e) and (f) and 15 of the impugned bill on alleged	

18	T.A.No.3 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Clayton Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Dharmapuri EDC (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited	In the matter of W.P.No.25246 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.244 dated 02.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of power made by the third respondent to the accounts of the petitioner and consequently forbear them from levying charges imposed under clauses 13(e) and (f) and 15 of the impugned bill on alleged excess drawal of power.	12.03.2024 for arguments
19	T.A.No.4 of 2022	M/s.Lucas TVS Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West (iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited	In the matter of W.P.No.25247 of 2010 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the first respondent bill No.1102 dated 01.11.2010 and direct the 1st & 2nd respondents to give credit to the supplies of power made by the third respondent to the accounts of the petitioner and consequently forbear them from levying charges imposed under clauses 13(e) and (f) and 15 of the impugned bill on alleged excess drawal of power.	12.03.2024 for arguments

20	T.A.No.5 of 2022	M/s.Sundaram Fasteners Limited	In the matter of	12.03.2024 for
			W.P.No.25247 of 2010	arguments
		Versus	transferred from the	
		(i) Chairman / TANGEDCO	Hon'ble High Court of	
		(ii) SE/Chennai EDC/West	Madras:	
		(iii) Arkay Energy (Rameswaram)		
		Limited	To quash the first	
			respondent bill No.1496	
			dated 01.11.2010 and direct	
			the 1st & 2nd respondents	
			to give credit to the	
			supplies of power made by	
			the third respondent to the	
			accounts of the petitioner	
			and consequently forbear	
			them from levying charges	
			imposed under clauses	
			13(e) and (f) and 15 of the impugned bill on alleged	
			excess drawal of power.	
			excess drawar of power.	
21	T.A.No.7 of 2022	Kamachi Industries Limited	In the matter of W.P.No.475	14.03.2024 for
		Maraua	of 2021 transferred from the	arguments
		Versus	Hon'ble High Court of Madras:	
		(i) Chairman / TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO	Mauras.	
		(iii) CE/Grid Operations	To quash the 3rd	
		(iv) Director/Operations	respondent letter dated	
		(v) Director/Distribution	24.9.2020 and the	
		(vi) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Regulations framed by the	
		()	Commission and goes	
			against the affidavits filed	
			by the State Commission	
			and SLDC in W.P.No.27617	
			of 2019 filed by the	
			petitioner and consequently	
			direct the respondents to	
			redraw the energy	
			accounting without relying	
			upon such instruction and	
			effect necessary changes in	
			the CC bills of the captive	
			consumers of the petitioner	
			for the entire period when such working was effected	
			on the basis of the	
1 1				
			limpugned circular	
			impugned circular.	

22	T.A.No.8 of 2022	M/s.ARS Energy Pvt. Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution (vi) SE/Chennai EDC/North	In the matter of W.P.No.11480 of 2021 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the 3rd respondent letter dated 20.4.2021 and the Regulations framed by the Commission and goes against the affidavits filed by the State Commission and SLDC in W.P.No.27617 of 2019 filed by the petitioner and consequently direct the respondents to redraw the energy accounting without relying upon such instruction and effect necessary changes in the CC bills of the captive consumers of the petitioner.	14.03.2024 for arguments
23	T.A.No.9 of 2022	Suryadev Alloys & Powers Pvt. Limited Versus (i) Chairman / TANGEDCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution (vi) SE/Chennai EDC/North	In the matter of W.P.No.12062 of 2021 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the 3rd respondent letter dated 05.05.2021 and the Regulations framed by the Commission.	14.03.2024 for arguments
24	T.A.No.10 of 2022	Tulsyan NEC Limited Versus (i) Chairman/TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution (vi) SE/Chennai EDC/North	In the matter of W.P.No.12083 of 2021 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the 6th respondent letter dated 05.05.2021 and the Regulations framed by the Commission.	14.03.2024 for arguments

25 T.A.No.11 of 202	22 Kamachi Industries Limited Versus (i) Chairman/TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution (vi) SE/Chennai EDC/North	In the matter of W.P.No.12584 of 2021 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the 6th respondent letter dated 05.05.2021 and the Regulations framed by the	14.03.2024 for arguments
26 T.A.No.12 of 203	22 OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus (i) Chairman/TANTRANSCO (ii) MD/TANTRANSCO (iii) CE/Grid Operations (iv) Director/Operations (v) Director/Distribution (vi) SE/Chennai EDC/North	Commission. In the matter of W.P.No.15861 of 2021 transferred from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras: To quash the 6th respondent letter dated 15.02.2021 and the Regulations framed by the Commission and consequently rework the energy accounting and adjust the lapsed units against the corresponding captive consumers or alternatively pay a value of Rs.27,11,734 with interest @ 18% p.a. from Feb.2021 till date of payment.	14.03.2024 for arguments

27	T.A.No.16 of 2022	M/s.Cauvery Power Generation	In the matter of:	To be listed after
		Chennai Pvt. Limited	W.P.No.26554 of 2013 which	the outcome of the
		Versus	was transferred from the	liquidation
		(i) CMD/TANGEDCO	Hon'ble High Court of	proceedings before
		(ii) CFC/Revenue	Madras:	NCLT and payment
		(iii) Director /Finance		of court fee
		(iv) SE/Chennai EDC/North	To quash the 2nd	
			respondent letter dated	
			7.9.2013 in respect of billing	
			start-up power under a two	
			part tariff system and quash	
			the same and to forbear the	
			respondents from	
			demanding and collecting	
			any start-up power charges	
			from the petitioner.	
			For payment of court	
			fee by the petitioner and	
			filing of counter affidavit by	
			the respondents and also	
			filing of entire	
			documents/vakalath by the	
			both parties in the above	
			writ petition and for hearing.	
28	T.A.No.49 of 2022	M/s.Kaveri Gas Power Limited	W.P.No.34739 of 2013 trd.	To be listed after
		Versus	by Hon'ble High Court of	the outcome of the
		(i) CMD/TANGEDCO	Madras in the matter of	liquidation
		(ii) CFC/Revenue	start-up power charges.	proceedings before
		(iii) Director /Finance	For payment of court	NCLT and payment
		(iv) SE/Nagapattinam EDC	fee by the petitioner and	of court fee
			filing of counter affidavit by	
			the respondents and also	
			filing of entire	
			documents/vakalath by	
			both parties in the above	
			writ petition and for hearing.	

29	D.R.P.No.7 of 2022	M/s.Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Limited Versus (i) Principal Secretary to Govt., Energy Department, GoTN (ii) CMD/TANGEDCO	To offset the adverse financial impact on the generating company as a result of operating and maintaining the power plant as per the directions of the GoTN under section 11(1) and determine the price payable for the energy that was injected during the year 2009-10 and 2010-11 into the Tamil Nadu Grid for which payments to the tune of Rs.92.10 crores have not been made by the respondent and direct the 2nd respondent herein to make the said payment to the petitioner herein.	14.03.2024 for arguments as a last chance
30	M.P.No.11 of 2022	Power Engineers Society of Tamil Nadu (PESOT) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) PPN Power Generating Co. Pvt. Limited	made and to be made to	05.03.2024 for advancing arguments on the maintainability of the petition.
31	I.A.No.2 of 2023 in D.R.P.No.10 of 2022	M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/PPP (iii) TANTRANSCO (iv) SLDC	Direct the respondents to pay an amount of Rs.47,06,64,014/- to the petitioner towards outstanding arrears, capacity charges, late payment surcharge and other charges under the PPA dated 12.12.2013 as on 17.03.2022 together with future interest thereon.	Orders reserved

32	M.P.No.13 of 2022	M/s.Grace Infrastructure Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director (Finance) (iii) CE/NCES (iv) SE/Tirunelveli EDC (v) SE/Theni EDC	To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non- compliance of the order of the Commission dated 19.1.2022 in D.R.P.No.7 of 2021 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum of Rs.28,07 crores along with interest @ 12% p.a.	
33	M.P.No.19 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Amaravathi Textiles	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the Fys 2014-2015, 2015-2016 and 2016-2017.	Order reserved
34	M.P.No.22 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.MOD Forge Pvt. Limited	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FY 2014-2015 and 2016-2017.	-
35	M.P.No.23 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Bull Machines Pvt. Limited	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FY 2014-2015 and 2015-2016.	Order reserved
36	M.P.No.24 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Sheenlac Paints Limited	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FYs 2015-2016, 2016-2017, 2017-2018 and 2018-2019.	14.03.2024 for filing counter
37	M.P.No.27 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Ashok Granites Ltd	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FYs 2015-2016, 2016-17 and 2017-2018.	Order reserved
38	M.P.No.28 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Sri Vengadeshwara Spinners	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FYs 2018-2019 and 2019- 2020.	Order reserved
39	M.P.No.29 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.The KTM Jewellery Ltd	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FY 2016-2017.	Order reserved

40	M.P.No.30 of 2022	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Raj Hair International Pvt. Limited	To declare that the respondent is not a Captive Generating Plant for the FY 2014-2015.	07.03.2024 for filing rejoinder
41	D.R.P.No.12 of 2022	The Tata Power Co. Limited Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO iii) SE/Udumalpet EDC	•	07.03.2024 for reporting status of the pending matter before the Hon'ble Supreme Court
42	M.P.No.1 of 2023	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO Versus SEPC Power Ltd	To ratify the power dispatched from M/s.SEPC Power Private Ltd to the grid on pass through as per the MoP guidelines dt.5.5.2022 and to fix the tariff for the power supplied from 30.04.2022 to 30.11.2022 by considering the payment already made by relaxing certain provisions of PPA / Addendum-3 as a one-time measure.	12.03.2024 for arguments as a last chance
43	D.R.P.No.2 of 2023	NLC India Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (iii) CE/Transmission (iv) CE/SLDC	Direct the respondents to comply with the order of the Commission dt.5.4.2022 in M.P.No.1 of 2021 and to pay to the petitioner a sum of Rs.51,08,16,706/- for the period upto June 2022 as a compensation for issuing backing down instructions to Renewable Energy plants for reasons other than grid security.	

44 M.P.No.6 of 2023	M/s.SEPC Power Private Limited Versus TANGEDCO Ltd	To approve the actual capital cost incurred by the petitioner as being the "Trued Up Capital Cost" in terms of Article 3.9, Article 12 and Article 14 of the PPA read with Regulations 18 to 20 and 90 of the TNERC - Tariff Regulations 2005 and to approve the revised tariff as per the Trued Up Capital Cost which shall be	12.03.2024 for arguments as a last chance
45 M.P.No.10 of 2023		applicable from 3rd anniversary of the CoD.	Order reserved

46	I.A.No.1 of 2023	M/s.Tagros Chemicals India Pvt.	To pass an interim	Order reserved
	&	Limited	directions directing the	
	M.P.No.12 of 2023	Versus	Respondents-2 to 5 to	
		(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd	effect additional demand of	
		(ii) CE/Commercial	275 KVA in the petitioner's	
		(iii) SE/Cuddalore EDC	HT service connection	
		(, •=:•••••••==•	without insisting on	
			payment of the amounts	
			demanded or any other	
			amount in contravention of	
			the Electricity Act, 2003 and	
			the Regulations and to	
			punish the respondents 2 to	
			5 for demanding a sum of	
			Rs.1,78,66,700/- vide	
			impugned proceedings	
			dt.18.02.2023 for effecting	
			additional demand of 275	
			KVA in the petitioner's HT	
			service connection in	
			contravention of the	
			Electricity Act, 2003 and	
			also direct the respondents	
			to effect additional demand	
			of 275 KVA to the petitioner and also direct the	
			respondents to refund the	
			amounts collected from the	
47	D.R.P.No.3 of 2023	M/s.MALCO Energy Limited	To set aside the impuged	14.03.2024 for
		Versus	communications dated	arguments
		(i) CMD/TANGEDCO	13.02.2015 and 24.04.2015	argumente
		(ii) CE/PPP	and the consequential	
		(iii) SE/Mettur EDC	demand of the 3rd	
		(iv) SLDC	respondent letter	
		(11) 0200	dt.29.06.2015 demanding a	
			sum of Rs.8,58,23,430/- and	
			pass other orders.	
48	D.R.P.No.4 of 2023	Tamil Nadu Newsprint & Papers	To set aside the impuged	14.03.2024 for
		Limited	communications dated	arguments
		Versus	13.02.2015 and 24.04.2015	-
		(i) CMD/TANGEDCO	and the consequential	
		(ii) CE/PPP	demand of the 3rd	
		(iii) SE/Karur EDC	respondent letter	
		(iv) SLDC	dt.21.07.2016 demanding a	
			sum of Rs.2,64,97,493/- and	
			pass other orders.	
L			17	

	D.R.P.No.5 of 2023	Solitaire BTN Solar Private Limited Versus (i) TANGEDCO (ii) SLDC (iii) TANTRANSCO	To issue directions treating the loss of generation of 1985.52 MU s as computed from April 2020 till January 2022 on account of curtailment of power as deemed generation and to direct TANGEDCO to make payments of Rs.2,46,44,455 along with carrying cost of Rs.82,38,300.	05.03.2024 for arguments
50	D.R.P.No.7 of 2023	M/s.Saravana Global Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Cuddalore EDC (iii) AO/Revenue, Cuddalore EDC	consequent demands of the said Transmission and Distribution loss charges	Order reserved. Written submissions to be filed by the both parties, if any, within 15 days.
51	D.R.P.No.8 of 2023	M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) TANTRANSCO (ii) SE/CO/TANTRANSCO	refund the transmission charges of Rs.1,71,19,692	Order reserved. Written submissions to be filed by the both parties, if any, within 15 days.

52	I.A.No.1 of 2023	M/s.Allsec Technologies Limited	To stay the impugned	14.03.2024 for
	&	Versus	demand notices dated	arguments
	M.P.No.13 of 2023	(i) Chairman / TANGEDCO	14.12.2022 and 02.01.2023	5
		(ii) SE/CEDC/South	and direct the respondents	
		(iii) Secretary to Govt.,	to not take any coercive	
		Energy Department	measures including	
			disconnection of electricity	
			in respect of Unit-1 and Unit-	
			2 of the petitioner and to	
			quash the impugned	
			demand notices dated	
			14.12.2022 & 02.01.2023 as	
			arbitrary and illegal and set	
			aside letters dated	
			31.07.2007, 03.01.2008 &	
			notices and direct the	
1			respondents No.1 to treat	
			the petitioner's unit-1 and unit-2 under HT Tariff-IA for	
			the period prior to	
			01.08.2020.	
			01.00.2020.	
52	I.A.No.1 of 2023	M/a Allaca Tachnologiaa Limitad	To stay the imputered	14.03.2024 for
55	1.A.NO.1 01 2023	M/s.Allsec Technologies Limited Versus	To stay the impugned demand notice dated	arguments
	∝ M.P.No.14 of 2023	(i) Chairman / TANGEDCO	07.03.2022 and direct the	arguments
	WI.1 .NO. 14 01 2023	(ii) SE/CEDC/South	respondents to not take any	
		(iii) Secretary to Govt.,	coercive measures	
		Energy Department	including disconnection of	
			electricity in respect of Unit-	
			1 and Unit-2 of the	
			petitioner and to quash the	
			impugned demand notice	
			dated 07.03.2023 as	
			arbitrary and illegal and set	
			aside letters dated	
			31.07.2007, 03.01.2008 &	
54	M.P.No.15 of 2023	-	0,	07.03.2024 for
			powers in furtherance of	arguments.
		i) TANGEDCO Ltd ii) CFC/General	the judgement of APTEL in APL No.195 of 2018	
		iii) MNRE	dt.28.1.2021 with regard to	
			banking and the	
1			recommendations made by	
1			the 3rd respondent vide	
1			O.M.F.No.283/25/2020-GRID	
1			Solar dt.16.4.2020 and	
1			direct rollover of banked	
			electricity from OA	
			Renewable Wind Energy	
1			Generating plants of the	

	M.P.No.23 of 2023	M/s. Christian Medical College Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) SE/Vellore EDC	To set aside and declare as illegal, the letter No.SEV/RCS/AS/AAG's Audit 2004, dated 20.08.2004 issued by the 2nd Respondent and consequently direct the Respondents to classify the HTSC No.1095 of the petitioner under the Tariff for Educational Institutions instead of the Commercial Tariff.	arguments as a last chance
	M.P.No.24 of 2023	M/s. Christian Medical College Versus i) CMD/TANGEDCO ii) SE/Vellore EDC	To set aside and declare as illegal, the letter No.SEV/Comml/EE/T/AEE1/S FMC/CR.No.7887/2002 dt. 27.09.2002 issued by the 2nd Respondent and consequently direct the Respondents to classify the HTSC No.1001, 1003, 1007 and 1059 of the petitioner under the Tariff for Educational Institutions instead of the Commercial Tariff.	arguments as a last chance
57	M.P.No.16 of 2023	CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Versus (i) Sri Venkatramana Paper Mills Pvt. Limited (ii) KAS Industries India Pvt Limited (iii) Jeyavishnu Tex Processors Pvt. Limited (iv) Arun Spinning Mills Pvt Ltd (v) Arun Spinning Mills Pvt Ltd (v) Statex Mills (vi) Rajashree Spintex Pvt Ltd (vii) Sri Senthilandavar Cotton Mills Pvt Limited (viii) V.R.Spinning Mills Pvt Ltd (ix) Shamugappriya Textiles Pvt Limited (x) C.V.Spinners Pvt Limited (xi) Sri Karpaga Vinayagar Textiles (xii) Velan Spinning Mills India Pvt. Limited (xiii) Annur Sri Ambal Cotton Mills Pvt Limited (xiv) Sri Palani Andavar Textiles (xv) Vijeyranga Textiles Pvt Limited (xvi) Thamarai Mills (xvii) Annur Sri Ambal Febrics	To declare that M/s.Sri Venkatramana Paper Mills Pvt Limited has lost captive status for the financial years 2017-2018 and 2018- 2019.	14.03.2024 for arguments

58	M.P.No.17 of 2023	CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Versus	Eco Power Pvt Limited has	
		M/s.Citron Eco Power Pvt Limited	lost captive status for the financial year 2016-2017.	respondent
59	M.P.No.18 of 2023	CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Array Land Developers Pvt Limited	-	26.03.2024 for filing counter
60	M.P.No.19 of 2023	CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Ind-Bharat Power Gencom Limited		07.03.2024 for further hearing
61	M.P.No.20 of 2023	CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.MMS Steel & Power Pvt Limited	To declare that M/s.MMS Steel and Power Pvt Limited has lost captive status for the financial years 2014- 2015 & 2015-2016.	and for further
62	M.P.No.21 of 2023	CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.OPG Energy Pvt. Limited	Energy Pvt Limited has lost captive status for the	19.03.2024 for filing written arguments and for further hearing
63	M.P.No.22 of 2023	CFC/D&D, TANGEDCO Versus (i) M/s.Kaveri Gas Power Limited (ii) M/s.Balavigna Weaving Mills Pvt Limited	To declare that M/s.Kaveri Gas Power Limited has lost captive status for the financial year 2014-2015 & 2015-2016.	•
64	M.P.No.26 of 2023	Naveen Cotton Mill Private Limited Versus (i) TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC (iii)SE/ TANGEDCO	Direct the Respondents, to revise the Energy Wheeling Agreement, by ordering to expunge the inconsistent portions of the Energy Wheeling Agreement as contained in page No.6 Clause 21 and further direct the Respondents, to execute a fresh Energy Wheeling Agreement in terms of Para 5.5.8 of the Order of the Hon'ble Commission, as contained in Order No.9 of 2020 dated 16.10.2020 and further direct the Respondents to accept the invoices of the petitioner.	

65	M.P.No.25 of 2023	M/s.Vijay Velavan Spinning Mills Private Limited Versus (i) TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Palladam EDC	Direct the Respondents, to revise the Energy Wheeling Agreement, by ordering to expunge the inconsistent portions of the Energy Wheeling Agreement as contained in page No.3 and Page No.10 in para 24 IV and further direct the Respondents, to execute a fresh Energy Wheeling Agreement in terms of Para 5.5.8 of the Order of the Hon'ble Commission, as contained in Order No.9 of 2020 dated 16.10.2020 and further direct the Respondents to accept the invoice of the petitioner.	12.03.2024 for arguments
66	D.R.P.No.9 of 2023	M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited Versus CMD/TANGEDCO	To declare that the 'change in law' events in terms of Article 10 of the PPA dated 12.12.2013 and consequently direct the respondents to pay the difference amount totalling to Rs.4,55,83,235/- being the amounts disputed by TANGEDCO towards financial impact of change in law events, for the period from 01.04.2020 to 31.03.2022.	
67	D.R.P.No.10 of 2023	M/s.Krishnaveni Carbon Products Pvt Limited Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC	To quash the 3rd respondent's impugned demand notice No.SE/TEDC/TIN/DFC/AO/WI ND/AS/F.OA Software/D.No.354/23 dated 08.05.2023.	19.03.2024 for arguments

		M/s.G.R.Natarajan & Co Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue (iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC (iv) SE/Dindigul EDC	forthwith make payment of a sum of Rs.9,71,825 being the interest due and payable to the petitioner as per the order of the Supreme Court dated 8.7.2016 in C.A.No.2397 of 2014 and the order of the High Court dt.8.12.2021 in W.P.No.22406 of 2017 and also direct the respondents to make a payment of Rs.11,21,633/- being the interest due and payable to the petitioner i.r.o. the invoice payment made from 12.3.2019 towards energy generated by its WEG.	submissions to be filed by the both parties within 3 weeks.
69	D.R.P.No.12 of 2023	M/s.Narbheram Solar TN Private Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SLDC / TANTRANSCO		12.03.2024 for arguments

70	D.R.P.No.13 of 2023	M/s.NVR Energy Pvt Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SLDC / TANTRANSCO	To review the working and applicability of Clause-6 of the PPA with regard to CUF and working of such provision, inlcuding revising the CUF band to 12% - 19% to cover variations and direct to restrain the respondents from issuing backing down / curtailment for any reason other than grid safety and security issues and also direct the respondents to refund an amount of Rs.13,51,82,821 deducted towards CUF penalty for the financial year 2019-2020 and 2020-2021.	
71	I.A.No.1 of 2023 & D.R.P.No.14 of 2023	M/s.Karthikeya Paper & Boards Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Gobichettipalayam EDC	To quash the 2nd respondent's impugned demand notice Lr.No.SE/GEDC/DFC/F.HT 108/F.DKT/D030/2017 dt.22.08.2017 and quash the same as illegal, arbitrary and without authority of law and contrary to the orders of the Commission.	05.03.2024 for filing rejoinder and reporting status
72	I.A.No.1 of 2023 & D.R.P.No.15 of 2023	M/s.Karthikeya Paper & Mills Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Gobichettipalayam EDC	To quash the 2nd respondent's impugned demand notice Lr.No.SE/GEDC/DFC/F.HT 108/F.DKT/D032/2017 dt.22.08.2017 and quash the same as illegal, arbitrary and without authority of law and contrary to the orders of the Commission.	05.03.2024 for filing rejoinder and reporting status
73	I.A.No.1 of 2023 & D.R.P.No.16 of 2023	M/s.Karthikeya Paper & Mills Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Gobichettipalayam EDC	To quash the 2nd respondent's impugned demand notice Lr.No.SE/GEDC/DFC/F.HT 108/F.DKT/D031/2017 dt.22.08.2017 and quash the same as illegal, arbitrary and without authority of law and contrary to the orders of the Commission.	05.03.2024 for filing rejoinder and reporting status

74	M.P.No.27 of 2023	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	5	Order reserved. TANGEDCO to furnish details of LOA.
			from 01.03.2024 to 31.05.2024 and to publish RfP notice in two Tamil newspapers and two English National	
			newspapers and upload the same in TANGEDCO website.	
75	M.P.No.28 of 2023	M/s.Techno Electric & Engg. Co. Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/General	To issue an order of interim stay of the impugned letter No.CFC/REV/FC/REV/AO/H/F .APPC/D.No.291/2022 dt.01.04.2022 capping the APPC for the FY 2021-22 at Rs.2.017 per unit and all proceedings pursuant and consequent thereto in order that the full APPC price and exercise Regulatory power and call for the records comprised in the impugned Letter dated 01.04.2022 capping the APPC rate for the FY 2021-22 at Rs.2.017 per unit and quash the same as being illegal and without authority of law and strictly comply with the regulations and directives fixing the APPC by this Commission.	

76	D.R.P.No.17 of 2023	M/s.SEPC Power Pvt. Limited Versus TANGEDCO	Hold and declare that as per Section 11(2) of the Electricity Act, 2003, SEPC is entitled to receive actual cost of generation of power supplied under section 11(1) including supply periods and direct the TANGEDCO to compensate a total sum of Rs.122,69,82, 905/- till 12.06.2023 towards actual cost of generation for power supplied including interest of Rs.18,65,27,398/	arguments on the
77	R.P.No.1 of 2023 in M.P.No.2 of 2019	M/s.Best Cotton Mills Versus (i) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO (ii) M/s.Asashi India Glass Ltd & Ors.	Review the order dated 20.07.2023 in M.P.No.2 of 2019 insofar as Para 8.15 (II) (vii) (viii) and para 8.16 alone is concerned and allow the petition and pass such further or other orders.	14.03.2024 for arguments
78	I.A.No.1 of 2023 & D.R.P.No.18 of 2023	M/s.Sri Gomathy Mills Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO (iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC	Direct the 3rd respondent not to take any coercive action of disconnecting the petitioner's HTSC No.4 till this matter is finally disposed of and also quash the impugned demand notice dated 10.03.2023 for Rs.1,03,99,200/- and the BOAD Audit Slip No.28 dt.1.8.2019 as illegal, arbitrary and contrary to the Wind Tariff Orders. Admission on I.A. and Main petitions.	19.03.2024 for arguments

	I.A.No.1 of 2023 & M.P.No.29 of 2023	M/s.Annamalai University Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Cuddalore EDC (iii) CFC/Regulatory Cell	5	07.03.2024 for arguments on the I.A. filed by the petitioner
80	M.P.No.30 of 2023	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.SEPC Power Pvt. Limited		12.03.2024 for arguments as a last chance
81	M.P.No.31 of 2023 & P.P.A.P.No.3 of 2023	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	Seeking approval for procurement of 500 MW of solar power from M/s.SECI as discussed in para-(6) above and to adopt the tariff for 200 MW @ tentative cost of Rs.2.72 per Kwhr and 300 MW @ 2.73 per Kwhr under ISTS Solar Trance-VIII scheme of M/s.SECI to meet out the "other RPO" obligation fixed by MNRE and pass other order.	Order reserved.
82	M.P.No.32 of 2023	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	Seeking approval and ratification in having floated the short term tender for procurement of RTC and peak hour power from 01.12.2023 to 31.05.2024 and pass other order.	Order reserved

83	D.R.P.No.19 of 2023	M/s.Kamuthi Renewable Energy Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO	Declare that under law, the applicable Regulations and the "Comprehensive Tariff Order on Solar Power, the petitioner is entitled to and has correctly accounted for payments made against its energy bills under the EPA and the respondent is required to pay the interest stipulated under the EPA and direct the respondents to pay Rs.41,10,50,492/- including interest as on 11.10.2023 and payable against LPS and further pendente lite interest	12.03.2024 for filing counter
84	M.P.No.33 of 2023	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	To accord approval and ratification for having floated the short-term tender for procurement of balance RTC and Peak hour power requirement for the period from 01.03.2024 to 31.05.2024.	Orders reserved
85	M.P.No.34 of 2023	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Aniruth Green India Pvt. Limited	To declare that the petitioner lost Captive Status for the Fys 2017- 2018 & 2018-2019.	14.03.2024 for filing counter as a last chance
86	M.P.No.35 of 2023	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Ariya Plastics	To declare that the petitioner lost Captive Status for the FY 2021-2022.	14.03.2024 for filing counter as a last chance
87	P.P.A.P.No.4 of 2023	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	To accord approval for adoption of tariff discovered rate at Rs.8.22/- per unit for RTC power and Rs.9.94 per unit for peak hour power for the period from 01.03.2024 to 31.05.2024.	Order reserved
88	M.P.No.36 of 2023	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Aadhav Green Power Pvt Limited	To declare that the petitioner lost Captive Status for the FY 2015-2016.	14.03.2024 for filing counter as a last chance
89	M.P.No.37 of 2023	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.Rathnakala Power Generation Pvt Limited	To declare that the petitioner lost Captive Status for the FY 2018-2019.	14.03.2024 for arguments

90	M.P.No.38 of 2023	CFC/Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus M/s.KAS Onsite Power Solutions LLP	To declare that the petitioner lost Captive Status for the FY 2022-2023.	14.03.2024 for further hearing
91	M.P.No.39 of 2023	National Solar Energy Federation of India Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd (ii) SLDC (iii) TANTRANSCO Ltd (iv) MNRE	To take the report filed by POSOCO on record and issue appropriate directions in terms of the directions of the APTEL in Appeal No.197 of 2019 and consequently direct the respondents to forthwith pay compensation to the members of the petitioner's association in accordance with the directions of the APTEL after taking into account the findings of the POSOCO in its report before this Commission and pass such further or other directions.	reply and for further
92	M.P.No.41 of 2023	M/s.Ind Bharat Power Gencom Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Limited (iii) MALCO Energy Limited	To initiate proceedings against the 1st Respondent for wilful, tenacious and continuing non-compliance and contravention of the order of the Commission in R.A.No.3 of 2020 dated 09.03.2021 and R.P.No.4 of 2021 dated 06.07.2023 under section 142 & 146 of the Electricity Act, 2003.	12.03.2024 for filing report by TANGEDCO and for further hearing.
93	M.P.No.42 of 2023	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	To accord approval and ratification for having floated and opened the short term tender No.37 of 2023 for procurement of balance RTC and Peak hour power requirement for the period from 01.01.2024 to 31.05.2024 and other orders	Dismissed as withdrawn. Order to be passed.

	D.R.P.No.20 of 2023	M/s.SEP Energy Pvt. Ltd Versus i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO ii) CFC/Revenue iii) SE/Tirunelveli EDC iv) CMD/TANGEDCO	Hold and direct TANGEDCO to compensate and pay the principal along with interest which totalling to Rs.2,53,97,360 towards the loss caused to the petitioner.	counter
	M.P.No.43 of 2023	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	To accord approval to float a medium term tender under FOO guidelines with the deviations proposed in the Bidding Documents for procurement of 1000 MW power during peak hours (18-24 hrs) from Thermal power generating stations for the period from 01.03.2024 to 28.02.2029.	admission
96	R.A.No.1 of 2024	M/s.KR Wind Energy LLP Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO (iii) SE/Dindigul EDC	Direct the respondents to give adjustment of the banked energy available in the Group Captive Generator's account, maintained in the generation end EDC and to treat the unutilised banked energy for encashment at 75% of the relevant tariff rate as per the Wind Tariff Orders issued by the	19.03.2024 for arguments
97	T.A.No.1 of 2024	M/s.Kamachi Industries Limited Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) SE/Chennai EDC/North	To quash the demand notice dated 05.05.2020 issued by the 2nd respondent levying a sum of Rs.25,30,222 on the petitioner Company as the alleged dues payable for open access charges for the month of April 2020. Hon'ble High Court of Madras in its order dated 19.09.2023 transferred the matter to the Commission in the matter of start-up charges	07.03.2024 for filing counter

98	M.P.No.1 of 2024	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	To approve and ratify for the power dispatched from M/s.Coastal Energen Pvt Ltd from 11.4.2023, M/s.IL & FS from 14.04.2023 and M/s.OPG from 30.5.2023 till 30.11.2023 and also to approve the procurement of power from 01.12.2023 till 30.06.2024 under pass through basis as per the MoP guidelines dated 20.2.2023 and subsequent directives.	Order reserved
99	M.P.No.3 of 2024	CFC/Revenue, TANGEDCO		04.04.2024 for reporting status
100	P.P.A.P.No.1 of 2024	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	To approve adoption of tariff discovered rate at Rs.8.22 per unit for RTC power and Rs.9.94 per unit for peak hour power for the period from 01.03.2024 to 30.04.2024.	Order reserved
101	P.P.A.P.No.2 of 2024	CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	To approve adoption of tariff discovered rate at Rs.8.16 per unit for RTC power during the month of March 2024, Rs.8.41 per unit for the month of April 2024 and Rs.7.74 per unit for the month of May 2024 and Rs.9.99 per unit for peak hour power for the month of March 2024 and May 2024.	Order reserved

102	L.P.No.1 of 2024	Union of India	To pass appropriate orders	07.03.2024 for
		Rep. through	for handing over of	further hearing
		Military Engineer Services (MES)	connected Assets to MES	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
		Garrison Engineer (AF)	created from Defence	
		Tambaram	Funds at Thanjavur Air	
		Versus	Force Station and	
		(i) CMD/TANGEDCO	Tambaram Air Force Station	
		(ii) Director / Distribution	area to establish direct grid	
		(iii) Director /Operation	connectivity being	
		(iv) CFC/Regulatory Cell	Deemend Licensee to	
		(v) CE/Opn., SLDC	enable MES to operate as	
		(vi) SE/OA & Co-Ordination,	Deemed Distribution	
		SLDC	Licensee in Tamil Nadu	
			State and to purchase power directly from	
			generators and to permit	
			MES to source power from	
			TANGEDCO at the rate of	
			State Average Power	
			purchase cost in line with	
			the order issued by KERC	
			dated 21.04.2023 till	
			conclusion of PPA	
			Generators and other	
			orders.	
103	D.R.P.No.1 of 2024	M/s.Southern Energy	To set aside the action of	07.03.2024 for filing
				••••••
		Development Corporation	the respondents in seeking	v
		Development Corporation Limited	the respondents in seeking to levy scheduling and	v
		Development Corporation Limited Versus	the respondents in seeking to levy scheduling and system operation charges	v
		Development Corporation Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO	the respondents in seeking to levy scheduling and system operation charges vide letter dated 29.08.2013	v
		Development Corporation Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) SLDC	the respondents in seeking to levy scheduling and system operation charges vide letter dated 29.08.2013 from the 3rd Respondent	v
		Development Corporation Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) SLDC (iii) CFC/General, TANGEDCO	the respondents in seeking to levy scheduling and system operation charges vide letter dated 29.08.2013 from the 3rd Respondent and 4th respondent letter	v
		Development Corporation Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) SLDC	the respondents in seeking to levy scheduling and system operation charges vide letter dated 29.08.2013 from the 3rd Respondent and 4th respondent letter dated 13.09.2013, wherein	v
		Development Corporation Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) SLDC (iii) CFC/General, TANGEDCO	the respondents in seeking to levy scheduling and system operation charges vide letter dated 29.08.2013 from the 3rd Respondent and 4th respondent letter dated 13.09.2013, wherein the 4th respondent had	v
		Development Corporation Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) SLDC (iii) CFC/General, TANGEDCO	the respondents in seeking to levy scheduling and system operation charges vide letter dated 29.08.2013 from the 3rd Respondent and 4th respondent letter dated 13.09.2013, wherein the 4th respondent had retrospectively worked out	v
		Development Corporation Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) SLDC (iii) CFC/General, TANGEDCO	the respondents in seeking to levy scheduling and system operation charges vide letter dated 29.08.2013 from the 3rd Respondent and 4th respondent letter dated 13.09.2013, wherein the 4th respondent had retrospectively worked out scheduling and system	U U
		Development Corporation Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) SLDC (iii) CFC/General, TANGEDCO	the respondents in seeking to levy scheduling and system operation charges vide letter dated 29.08.2013 from the 3rd Respondent and 4th respondent letter dated 13.09.2013, wherein the 4th respondent had retrospectively worked out	U U
		Development Corporation Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) SLDC (iii) CFC/General, TANGEDCO	the respondents in seeking to levy scheduling and system operation charges vide letter dated 29.08.2013 from the 3rd Respondent and 4th respondent letter dated 13.09.2013, wherein the 4th respondent had retrospectively worked out scheduling and system operation charges for the	U U
		Development Corporation Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) SLDC (iii) CFC/General, TANGEDCO	the respondents in seeking to levy scheduling and system operation charges vide letter dated 29.08.2013 from the 3rd Respondent and 4th respondent letter dated 13.09.2013, wherein the 4th respondent had retrospectively worked out scheduling and system operation charges for the period from 01.04.2012 to	U U
		Development Corporation Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) SLDC (iii) CFC/General, TANGEDCO	the respondents in seeking to levy scheduling and system operation charges vide letter dated 29.08.2013 from the 3rd Respondent and 4th respondent letter dated 13.09.2013, wherein the 4th respondent had retrospectively worked out scheduling and system operation charges for the period from 01.04.2012 to 24.05.2023 levying a total sum of Rs.6,74,04,862/- on the petitioner for	v
		Development Corporation Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) SLDC (iii) CFC/General, TANGEDCO	the respondents in seeking to levy scheduling and system operation charges vide letter dated 29.08.2013 from the 3rd Respondent and 4th respondent letter dated 13.09.2013, wherein the 4th respondent had retrospectively worked out scheduling and system operation charges for the period from 01.04.2012 to 24.05.2023 levying a total sum of Rs.6,74,04,862/- on the petitioner for scheduling and system	v
		Development Corporation Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) SLDC (iii) CFC/General, TANGEDCO	the respondents in seeking to levy scheduling and system operation charges vide letter dated 29.08.2013 from the 3rd Respondent and 4th respondent letter dated 13.09.2013, wherein the 4th respondent had retrospectively worked out scheduling and system operation charges for the period from 01.04.2012 to 24.05.2023 levying a total sum of Rs.6,74,04,862/- on the petitioner for scheduling and system operation charges and	v
		Development Corporation Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) SLDC (iii) CFC/General, TANGEDCO	the respondents in seeking to levy scheduling and system operation charges vide letter dated 29.08.2013 from the 3rd Respondent and 4th respondent letter dated 13.09.2013, wherein the 4th respondent had retrospectively worked out scheduling and system operation charges for the period from 01.04.2012 to 24.05.2023 levying a total sum of Rs.6,74,04,862/- on the petitioner for scheduling and system operation charges and consequently direct refund	counter
		Development Corporation Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) SLDC (iii) CFC/General, TANGEDCO	the respondents in seeking to levy scheduling and system operation charges vide letter dated 29.08.2013 from the 3rd Respondent and 4th respondent letter dated 13.09.2013, wherein the 4th respondent had retrospectively worked out scheduling and system operation charges for the period from 01.04.2012 to 24.05.2023 levying a total sum of Rs.6,74,04,862/- on the petitioner for scheduling and system operation charges and consequently direct refund of charges paid pursuant to	counter
		Development Corporation Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) SLDC (iii) CFC/General, TANGEDCO	the respondents in seeking to levy scheduling and system operation charges vide letter dated 29.08.2013 from the 3rd Respondent and 4th respondent letter dated 13.09.2013, wherein the 4th respondent had retrospectively worked out scheduling and system operation charges for the period from 01.04.2012 to 24.05.2023 levying a total sum of Rs.6,74,04,862/- on the petitioner for scheduling and system operation charges and consequently direct refund of charges paid pursuant to such period of demand	counter
		Development Corporation Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) SLDC (iii) CFC/General, TANGEDCO	the respondents in seeking to levy scheduling and system operation charges vide letter dated 29.08.2013 from the 3rd Respondent and 4th respondent letter dated 13.09.2013, wherein the 4th respondent had retrospectively worked out scheduling and system operation charges for the period from 01.04.2012 to 24.05.2023 levying a total sum of Rs.6,74,04,862/- on the petitioner for scheduling and system operation charges and consequently direct refund of charges paid pursuant to such period of demand ending on 24.05.2023 and	counter
		Development Corporation Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) SLDC (iii) CFC/General, TANGEDCO	the respondents in seeking to levy scheduling and system operation charges vide letter dated 29.08.2013 from the 3rd Respondent and 4th respondent letter dated 13.09.2013, wherein the 4th respondent had retrospectively worked out scheduling and system operation charges for the period from 01.04.2012 to 24.05.2023 levying a total sum of Rs.6,74,04,862/- on the petitioner for scheduling and system operation charges and consequently direct refund of charges paid pursuant to such period of demand	counter
		Development Corporation Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) SLDC (iii) CFC/General, TANGEDCO	the respondents in seeking to levy scheduling and system operation charges vide letter dated 29.08.2013 from the 3rd Respondent and 4th respondent letter dated 13.09.2013, wherein the 4th respondent had retrospectively worked out scheduling and system operation charges for the period from 01.04.2012 to 24.05.2023 levying a total sum of Rs.6,74,04,862/- on the petitioner for scheduling and system operation charges and consequently direct refund of charges paid pursuant to such period of demand ending on 24.05.2023 and	counter
		Development Corporation Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) SLDC (iii) CFC/General, TANGEDCO	the respondents in seeking to levy scheduling and system operation charges vide letter dated 29.08.2013 from the 3rd Respondent and 4th respondent letter dated 13.09.2013, wherein the 4th respondent had retrospectively worked out scheduling and system operation charges for the period from 01.04.2012 to 24.05.2023 levying a total sum of Rs.6,74,04,862/- on the petitioner for scheduling and system operation charges and consequently direct refund of charges paid pursuant to such period of demand ending on 24.05.2023 and	counter
		Development Corporation Limited Versus (i) CMD / TANGEDCO (ii) SLDC (iii) CFC/General, TANGEDCO	the respondents in seeking to levy scheduling and system operation charges vide letter dated 29.08.2013 from the 3rd Respondent and 4th respondent letter dated 13.09.2013, wherein the 4th respondent had retrospectively worked out scheduling and system operation charges for the period from 01.04.2012 to 24.05.2023 levying a total sum of Rs.6,74,04,862/- on the petitioner for scheduling and system operation charges and consequently direct refund of charges paid pursuant to such period of demand ending on 24.05.2023 and	counter

104	M.P.No.5 of 2024	Chief Financial Controller / Deposits & Documentation, TANGEDCO Versus (1) TCP Limited & 80 others	Declare that the 1st respondent generator M/s.TCP Limited has lost the Captive Generating Plant status under Electricity Act, 2003 for the financial years 2014-2015 to 2021-2022 and consequently declare that the captive users of the said generator are not captive users within the meaning of Rule 3 of the Electricity Rules, 2005 and further declare that the said users are liable to pay the Cross Subsidy Surcharge and Additional Surcharge for adjusted electricity and other orders.	05.03.2024 for filing counter
105	M.P.No.6 of 2024	M/s.Karma Energy Ltd (Formerly Greenweiz Projects Ltd) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC (iv) SE/Chennai EDC	To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non- compliance of the order of the Commission dated 28.12.2021 in D.R.P.No.4 of 2016 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the order.	counter
106	M.P.No.7 of 2024	M/s.Karma Energy Ltd (Formerly Mitra Fidelity Ltd) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC (iv) SE/Chennai EDC	To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non- compliance of the order of the Commission dated 28.12.2021 in D.R.P.No.3 of 2016 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the order.	counter

107	M.P.No.8 of 2024	M/s.Karma Energy Ltd (Formerly Tapi Energy Projects Ltd) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) CE/NCES (iii) SE/Tuticorin EDC (iv) SE/Chennai EDC	To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non- compliance of the order of the Commission dated 28.12.2021 in D.R.P.No.5 of 2016 and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the order.	counter
108	M.P.No.9 of 2024	M/s.Fortune Integrated Assets Finance Limited (Formerly Wind Construction Ltd) Versus (i) CMD/TANGEDCO (ii) Director / Finance (iii) SE/Solar Energy/NCES	To impose penalty upon the respondents in accordance with section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non- compliance of the orders of the Commission dated 12.04.2022 in D.R.P.No.16 of 2021 and R.P.No.5 of 2022 dated 13.07.2023, and consequently direct the respondents to make payments of the entire sum as directed in the said orders.	-
109	D.R.P.No.2 of 2024	M/s.Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan Sugars Pvt. Limited Versus (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO (ii) MNRE	Direct the TANGEDCO to roll over and reallot 1823391 units value of Rs.1,26,90,801/- that the petitioner exported to the TANGEDCO's grid during April 2020 and permit to adjust by petitioner's captive users during 2024- 25 or to allow the petitioner to encash Rs.1,26,90,801.	28.03.2024 for filing counter

110 P.R.C		M/s.Apraava Renewable Energy Private Limited	Declare and direct that the respondent is liable to and must pay forthwith the last and latest figure of total outstanding amounts with late payment surcharge of 1% per month as per the WEPAs, tile the date of receipt of payment by the petitioner calculated to be Rs.87,75,62,700 as on 30.09.2023 and direct the respondent to amend the existing WEPAs to reflect the change of the name of the petitioner from CLP Wind Farms (India) Pvt. Ltd to M/s.Apraava Renewable Energy Pvt Ltd and other orders.	the classification of
111 P.R.C	.No.2 of 2024		Declare and direct that the respondent is liable to and must pay forthwith the last and latest figure of total outstanding amounts with late payment surcharge of 1% per month as per the WEPAs, tile the date of receipt of payment by the petitioner calculated to be Rs.81,32,93,175 as on 30.09.2023 and direct the respondent to amend the existing WEPAs to reflect the change of the name of the petitioner from CLP Wind Farms (Theni-Project- II) Pvt. Ltd to M/s.Apraava Wind Energy (Theni-Project- II) Pvt Ltd and other orders.	the classification of