

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 3-11- 2011

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 12 to 1.30pm

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1.	M.P.No.40 of 2010	Grameena Abhirudhi Mandali Versus 1) TNEB 2) Secretary, Energy Dept 3) Secretary, Environment and Forest Department.	Adv. Nayak “ Srikumar Adv. R. Selvakumar	For orders.
2.	M.P.No.47 of 2010	1) Pallava Water & power Pvt., Ltd., 2) PWPL Bio Energy Pvt., Ltd., 3) Namakkal Bio Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TNEB 2) Secretary, Energy Dept 3) Secretary, Environment and Forest Department.	Adv. Nayak “ Srikumar Adv. R. Selvakumar	For orders.
3.	I.A.No.1 of 2011 and D.R.P.No.2 of 2011	Auro Mira Bio Energy Pudukottai India Ltd., Versus 1. TNEB 2. CFC, General, TNEB 3. CMD, TANGEDCO 4. SE, Pudukottai EDC	Adv. Satish Parasaran Adv. Rahul Balaj Adv. R. Selvakumar	For orders.
4.	M.P.No.15 of 2011	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	For interim orders.
5.	M.P.No.21 of 2011	Sree Kaderi Ambal Mills Ltd., Versus 1) TNEB 2) CE, NCES, TNEB 3) SE, Tirunelveli Circle.	Adv. K. Seshadri Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Praying to quash the third respondent letter dated 31-7-2010 and direct the respondents to adjust the units generated by the petitioner. For admission.
6.	I.A.No.1 of 2011 in M.P.No.29 of 2011 and M.P.No.29 of 2011	The Southern India Mills Association Versus 1) TNEB 2) CE, NCES	Adv.N.L. Rajah	Praying to set aside the proceedings Nos. 216 and 13 dated 25-6-2011 and 16-11-2010 respectively. For admission.

(By Order of the Commission)

(S.Gunasekaran)
Secretary

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

REVISED CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 3- 11- 2011

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 3 to 4.30pm

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1.	S.M.P.No.6 of 2011	Thiru. N.Mani, EE (O&M) East, Trichy Versus Nil	Thiru. N. Mani	To appear in person.
2.	M.P.No.24 of 2011	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Thiru. Ezhilarasan Chief Engineer	Praying to approve the deviation of certain parts of the guidelines. For admission.
3.	M.P.No.28 of 2011	Sai Regency Power Corporation Pvt., Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Jenasenan “ Manoharan	Direct the respondent to execute PPA in favour of the petitioner for the months of June, July, August and September 2011 and direct to effect payment for power supplied. For counter.
4.	M.P.No.17 of 2011	M/s. Clover Energy (P) Ltd., Versus 1. TANTRANSCO 2. TANGEDCO	Adv. A. Jenasenan ' E. Manoharan Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Praying to clarify that the petitioner is eligible to apply and procure REC immediately without the waiting the period of 3 years. For counter.
5.	M.P.No.27 of 2011	Cuddalore PowerGen Corporation Ltd., Versus TNEB	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Praying to extend the date for financial closure of the project till 30-9-2012 and direct the respondent to amend the PPA dated 28-9-2006. For counter.
6.	M.P.No.18 of 2011	JSW Steel Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TNEB	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Direct the respondents to categorize integrated steel plant as a continuous process industry. For counter.
7.	D.R.P.No.15 of 2011	1. Terra Energy Ltd., 2. Shree Ambika Sugars Ltd., Versus 1. TNEB 2. TANGEDCO 3. CE, Operation, SLDC 4. PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Praying to declare the action of TNEB and PTC in treating the non-acceptance of generation and supply of power for the month of September and October 2009 as arbitrary and illegal. For counter.

8.	T.A.No. 1 of 2011 - W.P.No.22738 of 2009	Santhi Castings Works Versus 1) TNEB 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC	Adv. R.S. Pandiyaraj Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Praying to permit the petitioner to run the industry continuously in optimum demand with restricted power holidays without evening peak hour restrictions. For counter.
9.	T.A.No. 2 of 2011 - W.P.No. 23526 of 2009	Sendhur Alloys Foundry Versus 1) TNEB 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC	Adv. R.S. Pandiyaraj Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Praying to permit the petitioner to run the industry continuously in optimum demand with restricted power holidays without evening peak hour restrictions. For counter.
10.	T.A.No. 3 of 2011 - W.P.No.23527 of 2009	Ramprasad Tubes & Bars (P) Ltd., Versus 1) TNEB 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC	Adv. R.S. Pandiyaraj Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Praying to permit the petitioner to run the industry continuously in optimum demand with restricted power holidays without evening peak hour restrictions. For counter.
11.	T.A.No. 4 of 2011 - W.P.No.1368 of 2010	Sidhi Smelters (P) Ltd., Versus 1) TNEB 2) SE, Chennai EDC	Adv. K. Seshadri	Praying to permit the petitioner to run the industry continuously in optimum demand with restricted power holidays without evening peak hour restrictions. For counter.
12.	T.A.No. 5 of 2011 - W.P.No.1737 of 2010	Ferrolinks Versus 1) TNEB 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC 3) AO/R, Coimbatore EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Praying to permit the petitioner to run the industry continuously in optimum demand with restricted power holidays without evening peak hour restrictions. For counter.
13.	T.A.No. 6 of 2011 W.P.No. 1944 of 2010	Meenakshi Udyog (I) Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TNEB 2) SE, Dharmapuri EDC	Adv. K. Seshadri	Praying to permit the petitioner to run the industry continuously in optimum demand with restricted power holidays without evening peak hour restrictions. For counter.
14.	T.A.No. 7 of 2011 W.P.No.1945 of 2010	D.S.Metals (P) Ltd., Versus 1) TNEB 2) SE, Chennai EDC	Adv. K. Seshadri	Praying to permit the petitioner to run the industry continuously in optimum demand with restricted power holidays without evening peak hour restrictions. For counter.
15.	T.A.No. 8 of 2011 W.P.No. 1228 of 2010	Rajinikanth Foundation Versus 1) TNEB 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC 3) AO/R, Coimbatore EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Praying to permit the petitioner to run the industry continuously in optimum demand with restricted power holidays without evening peak hour restrictions. For counter.

16.	T.A.No. 9 of 2011 W.P.No. 1229 of 2010	Rangamma Steels and Malleables Versus 1) TNEB 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC 3) AO/R, Coimbatore EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Praying to permit the petitioner to run the industry continuously in optimum demand with restricted power holidays without evening peak hour restrictions. For counter.
17.	R.A.No.1 of 2011 – Appeal No.23 of 2011	TMT Muthammal Textiles Mills Ltd., Versus TNEB	Adv. Shoba Adv. Vallinayagam	To consider and decide the date of effect of order dated 7-9-2010. For counter.
18.	R.A.No.2 of 2011 – Appeal Nos.79 & 80 of 2011	National Textiles Corporation Ltd., Versus TNEB	Adv. Sanjay Ghose Adv. Vallinayagam	To consider and decide the date of effect of order dated 7-9-2010. For counter.
19.	R.A.No.3 of 2011 – Appeal No.120 of 2011	Southern India Mills Association Versus TNEB	Adv. N.L. Rajah Adv. Vallinayagam	To consider and decide the date of effect of order dated 7-9-2010. For counter.

(By Order of the Commission)

(S.Gunasekaran)
Secretary