

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 15- 11- 2011

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 2.30pm

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	M.P.No.27 of 2011	Cuddalore PowerGen Corporation Ltd., Versus TNEB	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Praying to extend the date for financial closure of the project till 30-9-2012 and direct the respondent to amend the PPA dated 28-9-2006. For arguments.
2.	M.P.No.26 of 2011	SPIC Electric Power Corporation Pvt., Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Praying to extend the time for submission of the amended PPA before the Commission until 15-9-2011. For arguments.
3.	M.P.No.8 of 2011	Sai Regency Power Corporation Pvt., Ltd., Versus TNEB	Adv. A. Jenasen " E. Manoharan Adv. R. Selvakumar	Praying to pass appropriate orders against the respondent Board for disobedience of the Commission's order dated 7-9-2010 in D.R.P.No.4 of 2010. For arguments.
4.	R.P.No.3 of 2011	CFC, TANGEDCO Versus L.S.Mills Ltd.,	Adv. R. Selvakumar Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to review the order dated 14-3-2011 passed in D.R.P.No.13 of 2010 with regard to the computation of excess demand charges to be levied and collected from respondent. For arguments.
5.	R.P.No.4 of 2011	CFC, TANGEDCO Versus Durgesh Nandhini spn. Mills Ltd.,	Adv. R. Selvakumar Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to review the order dated 14-3-2011 passed in D.R.P.No.14 of 2010 with regard to the computation of excess demand charges to be levied and collected from respondent. For arguments.
6.	T.A.No. 1 of 2011 - W.P.No.22738 of 2009	Santhi Castings Works Versus 1) TNEB 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC	Adv. R.S. Pandiyaraj Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Praying to permit the petitioner to run the industry continuously in optimum demand with restricted power holidays without evening peak hour restrictions. For arguments.
7.	T.A.No. 2 of 2011 - W.P.No. 23526 of 2009	Sendhur Alloys Foundry Versus 1) TNEB 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC	Adv. R.S. Pandiyaraj Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Praying to permit the petitioner to run the industry continuously in optimum demand with restricted power holidays without evening peak hour restrictions. For arguments.

8.	T.A.No. 3 of 2011 - W.P.No.23527 of 2009	Ramprasad Tubes & Bars (P) Ltd., Versus 1) TNEB 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC	Adv. R.S. Pandiyaraj Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Praying to permit the petitioner to run the industry continuously in optimum demand with restricted power holidays without evening peak hour restrictions. For arguments.
9.	T.A.No. 4 of 2011 - W.P.No.1368 of 2010	Sidhi Smelters (P) Ltd., Versus 1) TNEB 2) SE, Chennai EDC	Adv. K. Seshadri	Praying to permit the petitioner to run the industry continuously in optimum demand with restricted power holidays without evening peak hour restrictions. For arguments.
10.	T.A.No. 5 of 2011 - W.P.No.1737 of 2010	Ferrolinks Versus 1) TNEB 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC 3) AO/R, Coimbatore EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Praying to permit the petitioner to run the industry continuously in optimum demand with restricted power holidays without evening peak hour restrictions. For arguments.
11.	T.A.No. 6 of 2011 W.P.No. 1944 of 2010	Meenakshi Udyog (I) Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TNEB 2) SE, Dharmapuri EDC	Adv. K. Seshadri	Praying to permit the petitioner to run the industry continuously in optimum demand with restricted power holidays without evening peak hour restrictions. For arguments.
12.	T.A.No. 7 of 2011 W.P.No.1945 of 2010	D.S.Metals (P) Ltd., Versus 1) TNEB 2) SE, Chennai EDC	Adv. K. Seshadri	Praying to permit the petitioner to run the industry continuously in optimum demand with restricted power holidays without evening peak hour restrictions. For arguments.
13.	T.A.No. 8 of 2011 W.P.No. 1228 of 2010	Rajinikanth Foundation Versus 1) TNEB 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC 3) AO/R, Coimbatore EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Praying to permit the petitioner to run the industry continuously in optimum demand with restricted power holidays without evening peak hour restrictions. For arguments.
14.	T.A.No. 9 of 2011 W.P.No. 1229 of 2010	Rangamma Steels and Malleables Versus 1) TNEB 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC 3) AO/R, Coimbatore EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Praying to permit the petitioner to run the industry continuously in optimum demand with restricted power holidays without evening peak hour restrictions. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

(S.Gunasekaran)
Secretary