

No. 3 / 2012 dated: 9-2-2012

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 16- 2- 2012

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 2.30pm

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1.	I.A.No. 1 of 2011 and D.R.P.No. 28 of 2011	Best Cotton Mills Versus 1) CFC, TNEB 2) SE, UEDC, Udumalpet	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to set aside the impugned notice dated 9-12-2011 issued by SE, Udumalpet EDC. For admission and interim relief.
2.	I.A.No.1 of 2012 and D.R.P.No. 1 of 2012	Super Sales India Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, TNEB 2) SE, UEDC, Udumalpet	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to set aside the impugned notice dated 9-12-2011 issued by SE, Udumalpet EDC. For admission and interim relief.
3.	I.A.No.1 of 2012 and D.R.P.No. 2 of 2012	Spictex Cotton Mills (P) Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, TNEB 2) SE, UEDC, Udumalpet	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to set aside the impugned notice dated 9-12-2011 issued by SE, Udumalpet EDC. For admission and interim relief.
4.	I.A.No.1 of 2012 and D.R.P.No.3 of 2012	Winever Energy (P) Ltd., Versus SE, TANGEDCO, Tirunelveli.	M/s. Aiyar & Dolia	Praying to declare that the energy purchase agreement dated 20-5-2011 is valid. For admission and interim relief.
5.	I.A.No.1 of 2012 and D.R.P.No. 4 of 2012	Bannari Amman Spinning Mills Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, TNEB 2) SE, DEDC, Dindigul.	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to set aside the impugned notice dated 7-12-2011 issued by SE, Dindigul EDC. For admission and interim relief.
6.	I.A.No.1 of 2012 and D.R.P.No. 5 of 2012	Bannari Amman Spinning Mills Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, TNEB 2) SE, DEDC, Dindigul.	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to set aside the impugned notice dated 7-12-2011 issued by SE, Dindigul EDC. For admission and interim relief.
7.	R.P.No.1 of 2012	M/s. Sree Kaderi Ambal Mills Ltd., Versus 1) TNEB 2) CE, NCES, TNEB 3) SE, TANGEDCO, Tirunelveli.	Adv. Seshadri	Praying to review the order dated 3-11-2011 and quash the impugned orders of the third respondent. For admission.

8.	I.A.No.1 of 2011 and M.P.No. 34 of 2011	PPS Enviro Power (P) Ltd., Versus 1. TANTRANSCO 2. TANGEDCO 3. SLDC	Adv. A. Jenasenan “ E. Manoharan	Praying to direct the respondents to issue NOC under the captive scheme. For admission and interim relief.
9.	M.P.No.1 of 2012	CE, NCES, TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Praying to dispense the banking provision to wind energy generation for existing and future wind projects. For admission.
10.	P.P.A.P.No.1 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus National Energy Trading and Services Ltd.,	Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Praying to approve the tariff as agreed by the parties in power purchase agreement dated 19-1-2012. For admission.
11.	D.R.P.No.21 of 2011	Aswin Textiles (P) Ltd., Versus 1. CFC/Revenue, TNEB 2. SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	Praying to set aside the impugned notice dated 16-11-2011 issued by SE, Udumalpet EDC. For arguments.
12.	I.A.No.1 of 2011 in D.R.P.No.19 of 2011 and D.R.P.No.19 of 2011	SJLT Spinning Mills Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1. TANGEDCO 2. CE, Commercial, TNEB 3. SE, Namakkal EDC	Adv. R.S. Pandiyaraj	Direct the respondents to fix pro-rata demand and energy quota based on the present wind energy generation. For arguments.
13.	D.R.P.No.8 of 2011	Lanco Tanjore Power Co., Ltd., Versus TNEB	Adv. P. Vinod Kumar “ Chitra Narayan “ Aswin Unnikrishnan	Praying to adjudicate the dispute between the petitioner and respondent arising out of the issuance of notice dated 16-5-2008, whereby demand has been made for an amount of Rs.7,17,96,524/-. For arguments.
14.	D.R.P.No.9 of 2011	Lance Tanjore Power Co., Ltd., Versus TNEB	Adv. P. Vinod Kumar “ Chitra Narayan “ Aswin Unnikrishnan	Praying to adjudicate the dispute between the petitioner and respondent arising out of the issuance of notice dated 26-8-2010, whereby demand has been made for an amount of Rs.1,59,57,115/- For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

K. Veeramani
(Director Legal)