

No. 26 / 2012 dated: 13- 9-2012

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 26 - 9- 2012

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 2.30 pm

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	D.R.P.No.5 of 2011	Saheli Exports Pvt., Ltd., Versus TNEB	Adv. P. Vinod Kumar “ Chitra Narayan Adv. R. Selvakumar	For deciding the jurisdiction issue.
2	D.R.P.No.7 of 2011	Saheli Exports Pvt., Ltd., Versus TNEB	Adv. P. Vinod Kumar “ Chitra Narayan Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	To report the status by the respondent.
3	M.P.No. 8 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Adv. P.H. Vinod Pandian	For arguments.
4	I.A.No. 1 of 2011 and D.R.P.No. 28 of 2011	Best Cotton Mills Versus 1) CFC, TNEB 2) SE, UEDC, Udumalpet	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to set aside the impugned notice dated 9-12-2011 issued by SE, Udumalpet EDC. For arguments.
5	I.A.No.1 of 2012 and D.R.P.No. 1 of 2012	Super Sales India Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, TNEB 2) SE, UEDC, Udumalpet	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to set aside the impugned notice dated 9-12-2011 issued by SE, Udumalpet EDC. For arguments.
6	I.A.No.1 of 2012 and D.R.P.No. 2 of 2012	Spictex Cotton Mills (P) Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, TNEB 2) SE, UEDC, Udumalpet	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to set aside the impugned notice dated 9-12-2011 issued by SE, Udumalpet EDC. For arguments.
7	I.A.No.1 of 2012 and D.R.P.No. 5 of 2012	Bannari Amman Spinning Mills Ltd., Versus 1) CFC, TNEB 2) SE, DEDC, Dindigul.	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to set aside the impugned notice dated 7-12-2011 issued by SE, Dindigul EDC. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

**S. Gunasekaran
Secretary**