

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

REVISED CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 26-12-2014

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 2.30 pm

Sl. No.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	M.P.No.32 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE, Gas Turbine Schemes	Praying to approve and ratify for having generated real power of 0.86546 MU in FY 2013-14 and 2.22936 MU in April 2014 under unavoidable emergency circumstances. For admission.
2	P.P.A.P.No.5 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE, PPP	Praying to approve the purchase of 2166 MW of RTC power from traders/generators for a period from 1 st October 2014 to September 2015. For admission.
3	M.A.P.No.1 of 2014	CE, Civil Design, TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE,Civil Design	Praying to approve the Capital Cost of Rs.62.10 crores for the Periyar Vaigai – 1 small hydro electric power station. For admission.
4	M.A.P.No.2 of 2014	CE, Civil Design, TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE,Civil Design	Praying to approve the Capital Cost of Rs.48.30 crores for the Periyar Vaigai – 2 small hydro electric power station. For admission.
5	M.A.P.No.3 of 2014	CE, Civil Design, TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE,Civil Design	Praying to approve the Capital Cost of Rs. 497.22 crores for the Bhavani Kattalai Barrage - 2 hydro electric power station For admission.
6	M.A.P.No.4 of 2014	CE, Civil Design, TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE,Civil Design	Praying to approve the Capital Cost of Rs.187.61 crores for the Bhavani Barrage - 2 hydro power station. For admission.
7	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No.45 of 2014	Anugraha Fashion Mills P. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, Commercial 3) SE, Tirupur EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiaraj	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For admission.
8	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No.46 of 2014	Pioneer Jellice India(P) Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, Commercial 3) SE, Cuddalore EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiaraj	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For admission.
9	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No.47 of 2014	Best Cotton Mills Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC,Revenue 3) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiaraj	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For admission.
10	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No.48 of 2014	R.R.Tex Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC,Revenue 3) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiaraj	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For admission.

11	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No.49 of 2014	Supreme Cot-Spin Mills (India) Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Coimbatore EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiaraj	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For admission.
12	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No. 50 of 2014	Tulsyan NEC Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Chennai EDC	Adv.Seshadri	Praying to direct the 2 nd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For admission.
13	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No.51 of 2014	Tulsyan NEC Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Chennai EDC	Adv.Seshadri	Praying to direct the 2 nd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For admission.
14	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No. 52 of 2014	Kanishk Steel Industries Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Chennai EDC	Adv.Seshadri	Praying to direct the 2 nd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For admission.
15	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No.53 of 2014	Kanishk Metal Recycling Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Chennai EDC	Adv.Seshadri	Praying to direct the 2 nd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For admission.
16	P.P.A.P.No.4 of 2014	IOT Mabagas Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji	Praying to fix an appropriate revised project specific tariff for the 2.40 MW anaerobic Biogas Plant. For counter.
17	R.A.No. 1 of 2013	1) Sai Regency Power Corp. Pvt., Ltd., 2) Beta Wind Farm Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO	Adv.Manoharan	For determination of Transmission Charges as remanded by APTEL. For arguments.
18	M.P.No.15 of 2014	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CFC, Revenue	Praying to waive the BPS charges in respect of willing HT< consumers who come forward to make arrears of CC charges in one lump sum. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

S. Gunasekaran
Secretary