

No. 24 / 2014 dated: 20-2-2014

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**CAUSE LIST**

**Cases posted for 4-3- 2014**

**Venue: Court Hall of the Commission**

**Time : 2.30pm**

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	M.P.No. 73 of 2013	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to postpone the implementation of Solar Power Purchase Obligation of 3 to 6% until Commissioning of required capacity. For admission.
2	M.P.No. 11 of 2013	Subhashri Bio Energies Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Namakkal EDC	Thiru. S. Durairaju Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to direct the respondents to bill the power drawn by the petitioner under H.T.Tariff IA. For arguments.
3	I.A.No.1 of 2013 in P.P.A.P.No.6 of 2013	ARS Metals Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	Adv. K. Seshadri Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to fix the rate for supply of infirm power. For arguments.
4	I.A.No.1 of 2013 in P.P.A.P.No. 8 of 2013	Suryadev Alloys and Power Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE/PPP, TANGEDCO	Adv. K. Seshadri Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to fix the rate for supply of infirm power. For arguments.
5	D.R.P.No.19 of 2013	Century Floor Mills Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, TANGEDCO 3) SE, Central EDC 4) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to set aside the communication of the Chief Financial Controller, Revenue dated 14-9-2012. For arguments.
6	D.R.P.No. 20 of 2013	KEC Industries Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to direct the respondent to make payment of a sum of Rs.21,34,127/- being the interest due against delayed payments made till February 2012. For arguments.
7	D.R.P.No.21 of 2013	Century Floor Mills Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Rahul Balaji Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to direct the respondents to make payment of a sum of Rs.26,71,104/- being the interest due against delayed payments made till 14-2-2013 for power supplied. For arguments.
8	D.R.P.No. 17 of 2013	Kaveri Gas Power Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Nagapattinam EDC 3) TANTRANSCO	Adv. Vinod Kumar Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to declare that the respondent is not entitled to make the allotment of electricity generated at the petitioner's 6.79 MW capacity power to a particular consumer. For arguments.
9	M.P.No.39 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Adv.P.H.Vinod Pandian	Praying to approve the additional capitalization for the financial year 2010-11 to 2012-13. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

**S. Gunasekaran**  
Secretary