

No. 7 / 2015 dated: 7-4-2015

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 20-4-2015

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 2.30 pm

Sl. No.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	I.A.Nos.1,2 and 3 of 2015 in P.P.A.P.No. 8 of 2011	M/s.M.R.Krishnamoorthy Co-operative Sugar Mills Ltd., 2) Cheyyar Co-operative Sugar Mills Ltd., 3) M/s.Subramaniya Siva Co-operative Sugar Mills Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO and other.	Adv.Bala Ramesh	Praying to reopen the petition. For admission.
2	M.A.P.No.1 of 2015	CE, Projects, TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE,Projects	Praying to approve the capital cost for North Chennai Thermal Power Station Unit 1. For admission.
3	M.A.P.No.2 of 2015	CE, Projects, TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE,Projects	Praying to approve the capital cost for North Chennai Thermal Power Station stage II. For admission.
4	M.A.P.No.3 of 2015	CE, Projects, TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE,Projects	Praying to approve the capital cost for Mettur Thermal Power Station, Stage III. For admission.
5	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No.11 of 2015	Aruppukottai Sri Jayavilas Ltd., HT SC No.150 Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiaraj	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For admission and interim relief.
6	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No.12 of 2015	Vijay Spinners HT SC No. 223 Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiaraj	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For admission and interim relief.
7	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No.13 of 2015	KGS Nelson Paper Mills Ltd., HT SC No. 57 Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Thanjavur EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiaraj	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For admission and interim relief.

8	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No.14 of 2015	Shri Govindaraja Mills Ltd., HT SC No. 97 Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv.Sudalai Muthu	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For admission and interim relief.
9	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No.15 of 2015	Shri Ramalinga Mills B Unit HT SC No.122 Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv.Sudalai Muthu	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For admission and interim relief.
10	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No.16 of 2015	M/s.Jayadevi Mills Pvt. Ltd., HT SC No.182 Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv.Sudalai Muthu	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For admission and interim relief.
11	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No.17 of 2015	Shri Govindaraja Mills Ltd., A Unit HT SC No.194 Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv.Sudalai Muthu	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For admission and interim relief.
12	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No.18 of 2015	Shri Govindaraja Mills Ltd., HTSC No.226 Unit II Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv.Sudalai Muthu	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For admission and interim relief.
13	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No.19 of 2015	Sri Jayavarthan Spinning Mills Pvt., Ltd., HTSC No.297 Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv.Sudalai Muthu	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For admission and interim relief.
14	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No. 20 of 2015	Subburaj Cotton Mills Pvt., Ltd., HTSC No.59 Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv.Sudalai Muthu	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For admission and interim relief.
15	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No. 21 of 2015	M/s.Supreme Coated Board Mills Pvt., Ltd., HTSC No.230 Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv.Sudalai Muthu	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For admission and interim relief.
16	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No. 22 of 2015	Aruppukottai Sri Jayavilas Ltd., HTSC NO.68 Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv.Sudalai Muthu	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For admission and interim relief.
17	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No. 23 of 2015	Sree Kaderi Ambal Mills Ltd., A Unit HTSC No. 46 Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Sivaganga EDC	Adv.Seshadri	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For admission and interim relief.

18	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No. 24 of 2015	Sree Kaderi Ambal Mills Ltd., B Unit HTSC No. 75 Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Sivaganga EDC	Adv.Seshadri	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For admission and interim relief.
19	I.A.No.1 of 2015 in M.P.No. 28 of 2015	M/s.Seva Gases Private Ltd., HT SC No. 114 Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Thanjavur EDC	Adv.P. Athimoolapandian	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For admission and interim relief.
20	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No.45 of 2014	Anugraha Fashion Mills P. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, Commercial 3) SE, Tirupur EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiaraj	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For arguments.
21	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No.46 of 2014	Pioneer Jellice India(P) Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, Commercial 3) SE, Cuddalore EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiaraj	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For arguments.
22	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No.47 of 2014	Best Cotton Mills Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC,Revenue 3) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiaraj	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For arguments.
23	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No.48 of 2014	R.R.Tex Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC,Revenue 3) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiaraj	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For arguments.
24	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No.49 of 2014	Supreme Cot-Spin Mills (India) Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CFC,Revenue 3) SE, Coimbatore EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiaraj	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For arguments.
25	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No. 50 of 2014	Tulsyan NEC Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE,Chennai EDC	Adv.Seshadri	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For arguments.
26	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No.51 of 2014	Tulsyan NEC Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Chennai EDC	Adv.Seshadri	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For arguments.
27	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No. 52 of 2014	Kanishk Steel Industries Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Chennai EDC	Adv.Seshadri	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For arguments.
28	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in M.P.No.53 of 2014	Kanishk Metal Recycling Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Chennai EDC	Adv.Seshadri	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For arguments.

29	I.A.No.1 of 2015 in M.P.No. 1 of 2015	Deventhira Spinners (P) Ltd., HTSC No. 153 Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Mettur EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For arguments.
30	I.A.No.1 of 2015 in M.P.No. 2 of 2015	Durairaj Mills Ltd.,Unit 1 HTSC No. 9 Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Tirupur EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For arguments.
31	I.A.No.1 of 2015 in M.P.No. 3 of 2015	Durairaj Mills Ltd., Unit 2 HTSC No. 32 Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Tirupur EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For arguments.
32	I.A.No.1 of 2015 in M.P.No. 4 of 2015	Thangavelu Textiles Mills Pvt., HTSC No.149 Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Salem EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For arguments.
33	I.A.No.1 of 2015 in M.P.No. 5 of 2015	Thangavelu Spinning Mills Pvt., Ltd., HTSC No.105 Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Tirupur EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For arguments.
34	I.A.No.1 of 2015 in M.P.No. 8 of 2015	K.R.V.Spinning Mills HTSC No.129 Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CFC, Revenue 3) SE, Mettur EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to direct the 3 rd respondent to calculate the Additional Security Deposit on the basis of the two times of the maximum net energy supplied by the Board. For arguments.
35	M.P.No.24 of 2012	Tamil Nadu Newsprint and papers Ltd., Versus Nil	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to declare that the petitioner's captive power plant comprised of steam powered turbo generators as cogeneration plants. For further arguments.
36	M.P.No.12 of 2013	TANFAC Versus Nil	Adv.Rahul Balaji	Praying to declare that the petitioner's 2.23 MW plant as cogeneration plant. For arguments.
37	R.P.No.1 of 2014	JSW Steel Ltd., Versus Nil	Adv.Rahul Balaji	Praying to review the Commission's Order dated 15-9-2014 passed in M.P.No.25 of 2012. For arguments.
38	M.P.No.36 of 2014	Tamil Nadu News Print and Papers Ltd., Versus Nil	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Praying to declare that the petitioner's captive power plant comprised of steam powered turbo generators as cogeneration plants. For arguments.

(By Order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission