

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 9-9-2016

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 2.30 pm

Sl. No.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	M.P.No.16 of 2016	National Solar Energy Federation of India Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SLDC 3) TANTRANSCO 4) MNRE	Adv.Rahul Balaji	Praying to enforce 'MUST RUN status to all solar power plants and direct the respondents to stop issuing backing down instructions to solar projects. For admission.
2	M.P.No.25 of 2015	Southern Railway Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO	Adv.Siddarth Bahety	Praying to direct the respondent to dispense with the levy of surcharge for harmonics as far as Railway Traction is concerned. For counter.
3	M.P.No. 10 of 2015	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	Adv.M.Gopinathan	Praying clarification on collection of grid support charges for backup during the outage of generator, payable by the open access customer as per the tariff order T.P.No.1 of 2013 dated 20-6-2013. For arguments.
4	M.P.No.39 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CFC, Revenue, TANGEDCO	CIP for the Financial year 2014-15 & 2015-16. For arguments.
5	R.A.No. 1 of 2013	1) Sai Regency Power Corp. P. Ltd., 2) Beta Wind Farm Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) TANTRANSCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji	For determination of Transmission Charges as remanded by APTEL. For arguments.
6	M.A.P.No.1 of 2014	CE, Civil Design, TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE,Civil Design	Praying to approve the Capital Cost of Rs.62.10 crores for the Periyar Vaigai – 1 small hydro electric power station. For arguments.
7	M.A.P.No.2 of 2014	CE, Civil Design, TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE,Civil Design	Praying to approve the Capital Cost of Rs.48.30 crores for the Periyar Vaigai – 2 small hydro electric power station. For arguments.
8	M.A.P.No.3 of 2014	CE, Civil Design, TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE,Civil Design	Praying to approve the Capital Cost of Rs. 497.22 crores for the Bhavani Kattalai Barrage - 2 hydro electric power station. For arguments.

9	M.A.P.No.4 of 2014	CE, Civil Design, TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE,Civil Design	Praying to approve the Capital Cost of Rs.187.61 crores for the Bhavani Barrage - 2 hydro power station. For arguments.
10	M.A.P.No.1 of 2015	CE, Projects, TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE,Projects	Praying to approve the capital cost for North Chennai Thermal Power Station Unit 1. For arguments.
11	M.A.P.No.2 of 2015	CE, Projects, TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE,Projects	Praying to approve the capital cost for North Chennai Thermal Power Station stage II. For arguments.
12	M.A.P.No.3 of 2015	CE, Projects, TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE,Projects	Praying to approve the capital cost for Mettur Thermal Power Station, Stage III. For arguments.
13	M.P.No.1 of 2016	Southern Railway Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2)CE,Cmmercial 3) SE, Trichy/Metro 4) SE,Perambalur 5) SE, Dindigul 6) SE, Madurai 7) SE, Erode	Adv.Siddarth Bahety	Praying to direct the respondents to restore the maximum demand integration time from 15 minutes to 30 minutes in the energy meters provided for Railway Traction Substations. For arguments.
14	M.P.No. 39 of 2015	TANGEDCO Versus GMR Power Corporation Pvt. Ltd.,	Adv.Alok Shankar	Praying to compute and decide the claims between parties as directed by Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal Nos.3201-3202 of 2012. For arguments.

(By order of the Commission)

Director (Legal)
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission