No. 15 / 2017 dated: 3-10-2017

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 12-10-2017

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time: 2.30 PM

SI.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	M.P.No.15 of	TANGEDCO	CFC,Regulatory Cell	Praying to determine the
	2017	Versus		additional surcharge payable
		Nil		by Open Access Consumers
				availing power under OA. For
				admission.
2	P.P.A.P.No. 7	TANGEDCO	CE,NCES of	Praying to approve for
	of 2017	Versus	TANGEDCO	procurement of 500 MW of
		Nil		wind power and adopt the
				rate of Rs.3.42/unit for fulfilling the balance RPO.
				For admission.
3	M.P.No. 39 of	TANGEDCO	Adv.M.Gopinathan	Praying to compute and
	2015	Versus	7 ta viiii Gopiii aii aii	decide the claims between
		GMR Power Corporation Pvt.	Adv.Alok Shankar	parties as directed by
		Ltd.,		Hon'ble Supreme Court in
				Civil Appeal Nos.3201-3202
				of 2012. For arguments.
4	M.P.No.16 of	National Solar Energy	Adv.Rahul Balaji	Praying to enforce 'MUST
	2016	Federation of India		RUN status to all solar power plants and direct the
		Versus 1) TANGEDCO		plants and direct the respondents to stop issuing
		2) SLDC		backing down instructions to
		3) TANTRANSCO	Adv.T.Arivarasan	solar projects. For
		4) MNRE		arguments.
5	M.P.No. 17 of	Sri Rohith Spinners (P) Ltd.	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2013	Versus		regard to levy of penalty by
		1)TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2) SE, Mettur EDC		excess demand charges. For
6	M.P.No. 18 of	3) AO, Mettur Suriya Spinning Mills	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	arguments. Transferred Writ Petition with
0	2013	Versus	Auv. R.S.Panulyaraj	regard to levy of penalty by
	2010	1) TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2)CE, NCES		excess demand charges. For
		3) SE, Udumalpet EDC		arguments.
7	M.P.No. 19 of	Sree Saravanabalaji Textiles	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2013	Versus		regard to levy of penalty by
		1)TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2) SE, Coimbatore EDC		excess demand charges. For
0	M.P.No. 20 of	3) AO, Coimbatore south	Adv. D.C. Dondiversi	arguments. Transferred Writ Petition with
8	W.P.No. 20 of 2013	Suriya Spinning Mills Unit B, Pollachi Versus	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	regard to levy of penalty by
	2010	1) TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2)CE, NCES		excess demand charges. For
		3) SE, Udumalpet EDC		arguments.
9	M.P.No. 21 of	Shri Dhanalakshmi Spintex	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2013	(P) Ltd.,		regard to levy of penalty by
		Versus		the respondents towards
		1)TANGEDCO		excess demand charges. For
		2) SE, Coimbatore EDC		arguments.
		3) AO, Coimbatore south		

10	M.P.No. 22 of	T.T. Ltd.,	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2013	Versus	/	regard to levy of penalty by
		1) TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2)CE, NCES		excess demand charges. For
11	M.P.No. 23 of	3) SE, Tirupur EDC Pallipalayam Spinners (P)	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	arguments. Transferred Writ Petition with
''	2013	Ltd., Versus	Auv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	regard to levy of penalty by
		1) TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2)CE, NCES		excess demand charges. For
		3) SE, Salem EDC		arguments.
12	M.P.No. 24 of	K.M.Plastics	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2013	Versus 1)TANGEDCO		regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards
		2) SE, Coimbatore EDC		excess demand charges. For
		3) AO, Coimbatore south		arguments.
13	M.P.No. 25 of	N.S.P.Knitting Mills	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2013	Versus		regard to levy of penalty by
		1) TANGEDCO 2)CE, NCES		the respondents towards excess demand charges. For
		3) SE, Erode EDC		arguments.
14	M.P.No. 26 of	Rasi Tex (In) Pvt. Ltd.,	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2013	Versus		regard to levy of penalty by
		1) TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2)CE, NCES 3) SE, Namakkal EDC		excess demand charges. For arguments.
15	M.P.No. 29 of	Arcot Soles (P) Ltd.,	Adv. Seshadri	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2013	Versus	7.007.000	regard to levy of penalty by
		1) TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2) SE, Tiruppattur EDC		excess demand charges. For
		3) DFC Tiruppattur EDC 4) Shalivagana Wind Energy		arguments.
16	M.P.No. 30 of	Farida Shoes (P) Ltd.,	Adv. Seshadri	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2013	Versus		regard to levy of penalty by
		1) TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2) SE, Tiruppattur EDC		excess demand charges. For
		3) DFC Tiruppattur EDC 4) Shalivagana Wind Energy		arguments.
17	M.P.No. 32 of	Venilakshmi Mills (P) Ltd.,	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2013	Versus		regard to levy of penalty by
		1)TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2) SE, Coimbatore EDC 3) AO, Coimbatore North		excess demand charges. For arguments.
18	M.P.No. 33 of	VMD Mills (P) Ltd.,	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2013	Versus		regard to levy of penalty by
		1)TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2) SE, Coimbatore EDC		excess demand charges. For
		3) AO, Coimbatore South		arguments.
19	M.P.No. 34 of	Mallur Siddeswara Spinning	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2013	Mills (P) Ltd.,		regard to levy of penalty by
		Versus		the respondents towards
		1)TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES		excess demand charges. For
		3) SE, Namakkal EDC		arguments.
20	M.P.No. 35 of	Shri Cheran Synthetics India	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2013	Ltd., Versus		regard to levy of penalty by
		1)TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Mettur EDC		excess demand charges. For
21	M.P.No. 36 of	Coimbatore Kalpanaa Tex	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	arguments. Transferred Writ Petition with
	2013	Mill Ltd., Versus		regard to levy of penalty by
		1)TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2) SE, Coimbatore EDC		excess demand charges. For
		3) AO, Coimbatore North		arguments.

22	M.P.No. 37 of	Raghav Industries Ltd.,	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2013	Versus	That it is a standard and a standard	regard to levy of penalty by
		1)TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2) CE, NCES		excess demand charges. For
22	M.P.No. 38 of	3) SE, Namakkal Lucky Yarn Tex India td.,	Adv. D.C. Dondiversi	arguments. Transferred Writ Petition with
23	2013	Versus	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	regard to levy of penalty by
	2010	1) TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2) SE, Mettur EDC		excess demand charges. For
		3) AO, Mettur EDC		arguments.
24	M.P.No. 39 of	Chenniappa Yarn Spinners	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2013	Private Ltd.,		regard to levy of penalty by
		Versus 1) TANGEDCO		the respondents towards excess demand charges. For
		2) CE, NCES		arguments.
		3) SE, Tirupur EDC		argamento.
25	M.P.No. 40 of	K.A.C. Yarn Private Ltd.,	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2013	Versus		regard to levy of penalty by
		1) TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2) SE, Salem EDC		excess demand charges. For
26	M.P.No. 41 of	3) AO, Salem EDC Arunkumar Spinning Mills	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	arguments. Transferred Writ Petition with
20	2013	(P) Ltd.,	Adv. R.o., analyaraj	regard to levy of penalty by
		Versus		the respondents towards
		1) TANGEDCO		excess demand charges. For
		2) SE, Coimbatore EDC		arguments.
27	M.P.No. 42 of	3) AO, Coimbatore EDC Senthil Nathan Spinning	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Transferred Writ Petition with
21	2013	Mills (P) Ltd.,	Auv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	regard to levy of penalty by
	2010	Versus		the respondents towards
		1) TANGEDCO		excess demand charges. For
		2) CE, NCES		arguments.
28	M.P.No. 43 of	3) SE, Dharmapuri EDC	Adv. D.C. Dondiversi	Transferred Writ Petition with
20	2013	VSM Weaves India Ltd., Versus	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	regard to levy of penalty by
		1) TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2) CE, NCES		excess demand charges. For
	M D N	3) SE, Mettur EDC	A	arguments.
29	M.P.No. 44 of 2013	Viking Textiles Pvt. Ltd., Versus	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by
	2013	1) TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2) CE, NCES		excess demand charges. For
		3) SE, Erode EDC		arguments.
30	M.P.No. 45 of	K.S.R. Textiles Pvt. Ltd.,	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2013	Versus		regard to levy of penalty by
		1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Mettur EDC		the respondents towards excess demand charges. For
		3) AO, Mettur EDC		arguments.
31	M.P.No. 46 of	K.S.R. Textiles Pvt. Ltd.,	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2013	Versus		regard to levy of penalty by
		1) TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2) SE, Mettur EDC 3) AO, Mettur EDC		excess demand charges. For arguments.
32	M.P.No. 47 of	Gangai Spinning Mills	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2013	Versus	analysis,	regard to levy of penalty by
		1) TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2) SE, Tirupur EDC		excess demand charges. For
22	M D No 40 of	3) AO, Tirupur EDC	Adv. D.C. Dondinger:	arguments. Transferred Writ Petition with
33	M.P.No. 48 of 2013	Hindustan Cotton Spinning Mills	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	regard to levy of penalty by
		Versus		the respondents towards
		1) TANGEDCO		excess demand charges. For
		2) CE, NCES		arguments.
		3) SE, Coimbatore EDC		

34	M.P.No. 49 of	K.A.S. Industries India Ltd.,	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Transferred Writ Petition with
34	2013	Versus	Auv. N.S.Fallulyalaj	regard to levy of penalty by
	2013	1) TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2) CE, NCES		excess demand charges. For
		3) SE, Erode EDC		arguments.
35	M.P.No. 50 of	Mirnal Spinning Mills	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Transferred Writ Petition with
33	2013	Versus	Auv. N.S.Fallulyalaj	regard to levy of penalty by
	2013	1) TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2) SE, Coimbatore EDC		excess demand charges. For
		3) AO, Coimbatore EDC		arguments.
36	M.P.No. 51 of	Mirnal Spinning Mills	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2013	Versus	, ran mon analyana,	regard to levy of penalty by
		1) TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2) SE, Coimbatore EDC		excess demand charges. For
		3) AO, Coimbatore EDC		arguments.
37	M.P.No. 54 of	VTM Ltd.,	Adv. N.L.Rajah	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2013	Versus		regard to levy of penalty by
		1) TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2) SE, virudhunagar EDC		excess demand charges. For
				arguments.
38	M.P.No. 55 of	Tirupur Textiles Private Ltd.,	Adv. N.L.Rajah	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2013	Versus		regard to levy of penalty by
		1) TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2) SE, Tirupur EDC		excess demand charges. For
20	M D No. EC. of	Timere Tarrilla Deireta Ltd	Adv. N.I. Daiah	arguments.
39	M.P.No. 56 of 2013	Tirupur Textiles Private Ltd., Versus	Adv. N.L.Rajah	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2013	1) TANGEDCO		regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards
		2) SE, Coimbatore EDC		excess demand charges. For
		2) SE, Combatore EDC		arguments.
40	M.P.No. 57 of	Tirupur Textiles Private Ltd.,	Adv. N.L.Rajah	Transferred Writ Petition with
40	2013	Versus	Adv. N.E.Najan	regard to levy of penalty by the
		1) TANGEDCO		respondents towards excess
		2) SE, Tirupur EDC		demand charges. For arguments.
41	M.P.No. 58 of	Tirupur Textiles Private Ltd.,	Adv. N.L.Rajah	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2013	Versus	,	regard to levy of penalty by
		1) TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2) SE, Tirupur EDC		excess demand charges. For
		3) AO, Tirupur EDC		arguments.
42	M.P.No. 59 of	Tirupur Textiles Private Ltd.,	Adv. N.L.Rajah	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2013	Versus		regard to levy of penalty by
		1) TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2) SE, Coimbatore EDC		excess demand charges. For
43	M D No. CO. of	3) AO, Coimbatore EDC	Adv. N.I. Doint	arguments.
43	M.P.No. 60 of 2013	Tirupur Textiles Private Ltd.,	Adv. N.L.Rajah	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2013	Versus 1) TANGEDCO		regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards
		2) SE, Tirupur EDC		excess demand charges. For
		3) AO, Tirupur EDC		arguments.
44	M.P.No. 61 of	Palladam Hi-Tech Weaving	Adv. N.L. Rajah	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2013	park Versus	, at itie Rajan	regard to levy of penalty by
		1) TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2) SE, Tirupur EDC		excess demand charges. For
		3) AO, Tirupur EDC		arguments.
45	M.P.No. 62 of	Harshni Textiles Ltd.,	Adv. N.L.Rajah	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2013	Versus	_	regard to levy of penalty by
		1) TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2) SE, Udumalpet EDC		excess demand charges. For
				arguments.
46	M.P.No. 63 of	Rajave Textiles Private Ltd.,	Adv. N.L.Rajah	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2013	Versus		regard to levy of penalty by
		1) TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2) SE, Coimbatore EDC		excess demand charges. For
				arguments.

47	M.P.No. 64 of 2013	Precot Meridian Ltd., Versus	Adv. N.L.Rajah	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by
	2013	1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC		the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
48	M.P.No. 65 of 2013	Precot Meridian Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC	Adv. N.L.Rajah	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
49	M.P.No. 66 of 2013	Sabari Textiles Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC 3) AO, Coimbatore EDC	Adv. N.L.Rajah	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
50	M.P.No. 67 of 2013	Ennar Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC	Adv. N.L.Rajah	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
51	M.P.No. 68 of 2013	Standard Spinning and Weaving Mills Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. N.L.Rajah	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
52	M.P.No. 69 of 2013	Thiagarajar Mills Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Madurai EDC	Adv. N.L.Rajah	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
53	M.P.No. 70 of 2013	Thiagarajar Mills Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Dindigul EDC	Adv. N.L.Rajah	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
54	M.P.No. 71 of 2013	Super Spinning Mills Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Dindigul EDC	Adv. N.L.Rajah	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
55	M.P.No. 74 of 2013	M/s. Sandhya Spinning Mill Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
56	M.P.No. 75 of 2013	M/s. Sri Vishnu Shankar Mill Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
57	M.P.No. 76 of 2013	Sudarsanam Spinning Mills Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
58	M.P.No. 77 of 2013	Sri Ramco Spinners Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
59	M.P.No. 78 of 2013	Rajapalayam Mills Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.

	M D No. 70 of	Daionalayam Chinnana	Adv. Dahul Dalaii	Transferred Writ Detition with
60	M.P.No. 79 of	Rajapalayam Spinners	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2013	Private Ltd.,		regard to levy of penalty by
		Versus		the respondents towards
		1) TANGEDCO		excess demand charges. For
		2) SE, Virudhunagar EDC		arguments.
61	M.P.No. 80 of	Rajapalayam Textiles	Adv. Rahul Balaji	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2013	Versus		regard to levy of penalty by
		1) TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2) SE, Tirunelveli EDC		excess demand charges. For
				arguments.
62	M.P.No. 1 of	Raju Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd.,	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2014	HTSC No.78		regard to levy of penalty by
		Versus		the respondents towards
		1)TANGEDCO2) CE, NCES		excess demand charges. For
	M.P.No. 2 of	3) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv. D.C. Donaliyanai	arguments. Transferred Writ Petition with
63		Raju Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd.,	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	
	2014	HTSC No.173 Versus		regard to levy of penalty by
				the respondents towards
		1)TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES		excess demand charges. For arguments.
		3) SE, Virudhunagar EDC		arguments.
64	M.P.No. 3 of	Eastern Condiments Pvt.	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Transferred Writ Petition with
04	2014	Ltd.,	Auv. N.O.F anulyaraj	regard to levy of penalty by
	_017	Versus		the respondents towards
		1)TANGEDCO		excess demand charges. For
		2) CE, NCES		arguments.
		3) SE, Theni EDC		argaments.
65	M.P.No. 4 of	Aswin Textiles Pvt. Ltd.,	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2015	Versus		regard to levy of penalty by
		1)TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2) CE, NCES		excess demand charges. For
		3) SE, Udumalpet EDC		arguments.
66	M.P.No. 5 of	Raju Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd.,	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2014	Versus		regard to levy of penalty by
		1)TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2) CE, NCES		excess demand charges. For
		3) SE, Virudhunagar EDC		arguments.
67	M.P.No. 6 of	Aruppukottai Sri Jayavilas	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2014	Ltd., Versus		regard to levy of penalty by
		1)TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2) CE, NCES		excess demand charges. For
		3) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	41.505 "	arguments.
68	M.P.No. 7 of	Iswari Spinning Mills	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2014	Versus		regard to levy of penalty by
		1)TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2) CE, NCES		excess demand charges. For
69	M.P.No. 8 of	3) SE, Dindigul EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	arguments. Transferred Writ Petition with
69	M.P.No. 8 Of 2014	Sri Raju Cotton Mills Versus	Auv. K.S.Fandiyaraj	
	2014	1)TANGEDCO		regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards
		2) CE, NCES		excess demand charges. For
		3) SE, Virudhunagar EDC		arguments.
70	M.P.No.10 of	Eveready Spinning Mills Pvt.	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Transferred Writ Petition with
, ,	2014	Ltd., Versus	Adv. 13.0.1 allulyalaj	regard to levy of penalty by
		1) TANGEDCO		the respondents towards
		2) CE, NCES, TANGEDCO		excess demand charges. For
		3) SE, Dindigul EDC		arguments.
71	M.P.No.11 of	Eveready Spinning Mills Pvt.	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Transferred Writ Petition with
	2014	Ltd., Unit II		regard to levy of penalty by
		Versus		the respondents towards
		1) TANGEDCO		excess demand charges. For
		2) CE, NCES, TANGEDCO		arguments.
		3) SE, Dindigul EDC		

72	M.P.No.12 of 2014	Eveready Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd., Unit III Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES, TANGEDCO 3) SE, Dindigul EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
73	M.P.No.13 of 2014	Eveready Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd., Unit IV Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES, TANGEDCO 3) SE, Dindigul EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
74	M.P.No.14 of 2014	K.M.D. Clothing Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Salem EDC 3) AO, Salem EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
75	M.P.No. 16 of 2014	Super Sales India Ltd., Unit I Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Udumalpet EDC	Adv. N.L Rajah	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
76	M.P.No.17 of 2014	Super Sales India Ltd., Unit II Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Coimbatore EDC	Adv. N.L. Rajah	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
77	M.P.No.26 of 2014	Aruppukottai Sri Jayavilas Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv.Seshadri	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.
78	M.P.No.27 of 2014	Aruppukottai Sri Jayavilas Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) CE, NCES 3) SE, Virudhunagar EDC	Adv.Seshadri	Transferred Writ Petition with regard to levy of penalty by the respondents towards excess demand charges. For arguments.

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission