

No. 6 / 2018 dated: 02-07-2018

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 06-07-2018

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 2.30 PM

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1.	D.R.P.No.4 of 2011	JSW Steel Ltd., Versus CMD, TANGEDCO	M/s. Iyer & Thomas Adv. Karthick Seshadri	Praying to make a rule for grid support to consumers who are having CPP within their premises. For further arguments.
2.	D.R.P.No.15 of 2011	1. Terra Energy Ltd., 2. Shree Ambika Sug. Ltd. Versus 1. TNEB 2. TANGEDCO 3. CE, Operation, SLDC 4. PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Rahul Balaji M/s.RnR Associates	Praying to declare the action of TNEB and PTC in treating the non-acceptance of generation and supply of power for the month of September and October 2009 as arbitrary and illegal. For further arguments.
3.	D.R.P.No.16 of 2011	1. Shree Ambika Sug.Ltd., 2. Terra Energy Ltd., Versus 1. TNEB 2. TANGEDCO 3. CE, Operation, SLDC 4. PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Rahul Balaji M/s.RnR Associates	Praying to direct PTC to make payment of Rs.4,97,14,775/- being the amount illegally deducted from the bills of 1 st petitioner. For further arguments.
4.	D.R.P.No.22 of 2012	International Institute of Bio Technology & Toxicology Versus SE, Chengalpattu EDC	Adv. Krishna	Praying to quash the impugned letter of the respondent dated 19-10-2012. For further arguments.
5.	D.R.P.No.25 of 2012	PTC India Ltd., Versus 1) Auomira Energy Co.P.Ltd., 2) TANGEDCO	RnR Associates	Praying to direct the 1 st respondent to make a payment of Rs.1,19,31,776/- along with interest of Rs.26,76,248/- for not complying with its contractual obligations. For further arguments.
6.	D.R.P.No.20 of 2012	Pioneer Power Ltd., Versus 1)TANGEDCO 2) CE, PPP, TANGEDCO 3) CFC, Revenue	Adv. Satyaseelan Adv.Gopinathan	Praying to declare that the respondents are not entitled to claim the liquidated damages of Rs.12,82,83,441/-. For further arguments.
7.	S.M.P.No. 2 of 2012	TANGEDCO Versus 1) Thiru. D.R. Subbaian 2) Thiru. Syed Tajudeen Madani	CFC, Revenue	Clarification with regard to professionals having consultation room in their residence and outside. For further arguments.
8.	D.R.P.No. 28 of 2013	Sri Venkitalakshmi Texs Versus 1) CE, NCES 2)SE, Coimbatore EDC 3) SE, Tirunelveli EDC	Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj	Praying to direct the respondents to cancel the EPA dated 2-3-2011 and permit the petitioner to adjust the self generated wind energy in LT connection. For further arguments.

9.	I.A.No.1 of 2014 in D.R.P.No. 17 of 2013	Sri Kaderi Ambal Mills Ltd., Versus 1) Kaveri Gas Power Ltd., 2) TANGEDCO 3) SE, Nagapattinam EDC 4) TANTRANSCO	Adv. Seshadri Adv. Vinod Kumar	Praying to declare that the respondent is not entitled to make the allotment of electricity generated at the petitioner's 6.79 MW capacity power to a particular consumer. For further arguments on Interim Application.
----	--	--	---------------------------------------	--

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission