

TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

REVISED CAUSE LIST

Cases posted for 20-11-2018

Venue: Court Hall of the Commission

Time : 2.30 PM

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1	I.A.No 2 of 2018 I.A.Nos.1&2 of 2018 in M.P.No.20 of 2018	TANGEDCO Versus Nil	CE,PPP of TANGEDCO	Praying to permit the petitioner to amend the prayer. ----- Praying to take on record the execution of PSA with PTC for procurement of 550 MW RTC power for three years at Rs.4.24/unit (ex-bus) at 55%PLF and also approve procurement of up to 1000 MW RTC power for the summer period from February '19 to May '19 under the present short term tender No.10/2018. For admission.
2	D.R.P.No.1 of 2013	OPG Power Generation Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) PTC India Ltd., 2)TANGEDCO 3) TANTRANSCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji M/s.RNS Associates Adv.Gopinathan	Praying to direct the respondents to make payment of Rs.56,61,291.50/- towards supply of 12,12,268 units. R2&3 filed counter. For further arguments.
3	D.R.P.No.2 of 2013	OPG Renewable Energy Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) PTC India Ltd., 2)TANGEDCO 3) TANTRANSCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji M/s.RNS Associates Adv.Gopinathan	Praying to direct the respondents to make payment of Rs.88,38,811/- towards supply of 18,92,679 units. R2&3 filed counter. For further arguments.
4	D.R.P.No.7 of 2013	OPG Power Gen. Pvt., ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar Adv.Gopinathan M/s.RNS Associates	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs.35,99,523/- along with interest at 15% pa. For further arguments.
5	D.R.P.No.8 of 2013	OPG Power Gen. Pvt., ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar Adv.Gopinathan M/s.RNS Associates	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs. 10,29,246/- towards compensation for failure to off take 80% of the contracted energy along with interest at 15% pa. For further arguments.

6	D.R.P.No.9 of 2013	OPG Renewable Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versu 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar Adv.Gopinathan M/s.RNS Associates	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs. 3,80,964/- being the amount deducted from the payments due to the petitioner. For further arguments.
7	D.R.P.No. 10 of 2013	OPG Renewable Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar Adv.Gopinathan M/s.RNS Associates	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs. 4,91,040/- towards compensation for failure to off take 80% of the contracted energy along with interest at 15% pa. For further arguments.
8	D.R.P.No. 11 of 2013	OPG Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar Adv.Gopinathan M/s.RNS Associates	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs.15,71,328/- towards compensation for failure to off take 80% of the contracted energy along with interest at 15% pa. For further arguments.
9	D.R.P.No. 12 of 2013	OPG Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar Adv.Gopinathan M/s.RNS Associates	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs. 7,91,329/- being the amount deducted from the payments due to the petitioner. For further arguments.
10	M.P.No.27 of 2016	M/s. SEPC Power Pvt., Ltd., Versus TANGEDCO	Adv.Rahul Balaji Adv.Abdul Saleem	Praying to take on record the Financial Closing date as 30-10-2015. For arguments.

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary
Tamil Nadu Electricity
Regulatory Commission