

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**CAUSE LIST**

**Cases posted for 26-6-2018**

**Venue: Court Hall of the Commission**

**Time : 2.30 PM**

Sl.	Case No.	Name of the Parties	Counsel or parties	Remarks
1.	D.R.P.No.1 of 2013	OPG Power Generation Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) PTC India Ltd., 2)TANGEDCO 3) TANTRANSCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji  Adv.Gopinathan	Praying to direct the respondents to make payment of Rs.56,61,291.50/- towards supply of 12,12,268 units. R2&3 filed counter. R1 has to file counter. For further arguments.
2.	D.R.P.No.2 of 2013	OPG Renewable Energy Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) PTC India Ltd., 2)TANGEDCO 3) TANTRANSCO	Adv. Rahul Balaji  Adv.Gopinathan	Praying to direct the respondents to make payment of Rs.88,38,811/- towards supply of 18,92,679 units. R2&3 filed counter. R1 has to file counter. For further arguments.
3.	D.R.P.No.7 of 2013	OPG Power Gen. Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar  Adv.Gopinathan M/s.RnR Associates	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs.35,99,523/- along with interest at 15% pa. For further arguments.
4.	D.R.P.No.8 of 2013	OPG Power Gen. Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar  Adv.Gopinathan M/s.RnR Associates	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs. 10,29,246/- towards compensation for failure to off take 80% of the contracted energy along with interest at 15% pa. For further arguments.
5.	D.R.P.No.9 of 2013	OPG Renewable Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar  Adv.Gopinathan M/s.RnR Associates	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs. 3,80,964/- being the amount deducted from the payments due to the petitioner. For further arguments.
6.	D.R.P.No. 10 of 2013	OPG Renewable Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar  Adv.Gopinathan M/s.RnR Associates	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs. 4,91,040/- towards compensation for failure to off take 80% of the contracted energy along with interest at 15% pa. For further arguments.
7.	D.R.P.No. 11 of 2013	OPG Energy Pvt., Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar  Adv.Gopinathan M/s.RnR Associates	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs.15,71,328/- towards compensation for failure to off take 80% of the contracted energy along with interest at 15% pa. For further arguments.

8.	D.R.P.No. 12 of 2013	OPG Energy Pvt., Ltd.,  Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) PTC India Ltd.,	Adv. Vinod Kumar  Adv.Gopinathan M/s.RnR Associates	Praying to direct the second respondent to pay the sum of Rs. 7,91,329/- being the amount deducted from the payments due to the petitioner. For further arguments.
9.	D.R.P.No.31 of 2013	K.S.R. Textiles (P) Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Mettur EDC	Adv. R.S.Pandiyaraj  Adv.Gopinathan	Praying to set aside the 2nd respondent impugned notice dated 15-3-2013. For further arguments.
10.	I.A.No.1 of 2013 in D.R.P.No.23 of 2013	Auomira Biopower India Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) TANGEDCO 2) SE, Tirunelveli EDC	Adv. Vinod Kumar  Adv.Gopinathan	Praying to set aside the demand of Rs.40,50,397/- made by the second respondent vide letter dated 18-4-2013. For further argumetns.
11.	I.A.No.1 of 2013 in D.R.P.No. 3 of 2013	Yogalakshmi Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd., Versus 1)CFC, Revenue 2) SE, Gopi EDC	Adv. R.S. Pandiyaraj  Adv.Gopinathan	Praying to set aside the impugned notice dated 9-10-2012 issued by 2 <sup>nd</sup> Respondent. For further arguments.
12.	D.R.P.No. 2 of 2014	M/s. Suryadev Alloys and power pvt. Ltd., Versus 1) Director / Operation, TANTRANSCO. 2) SE, LD & GO 3) SE, Chennai EDC,North	Adv. K. Seshadri	Praying to fix the O&M, Inspection and Supervision charges for maintaining 230 K.V. Switch yard and direct the respondents to refund the excess amounts collected. For further arguments.

(By order of the Commission)

Secretary  
Tamil Nadu Electricity  
Regulatory Commission