

**TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act 2003  
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

**PRESENT:-**

**Thiru.S.Kabilan** ... **Chairman**

**Thiru.K.Venugopal** .... **Member**

**and**

**Thiru.S.Nagalsamy** .... **Member**

**T.A. NO. 1 OF 2010**

Magna Electro Castings Ltd.,  
43, Balasundaram Road  
Coimbatore – 641 018.

..... Petitioner

(Thiru. Rahul Balaji, Advocate for petitioner)

Versus

1. The Chairman  
Tamil Nadu Electricity Board  
144, Anna Salai, Chennai.
2. The Superintending Engineer  
Udumalpet Electricity Distribution Circle  
Udumalpet.
3. The Accounts Officer / Revenue  
Udumalpet Electricity Distribution Circle  
(Metro), Udumalpet.

..... Respondents  
(Thiru. H.S. Mohamed Rafi,  
Advocate for respondents)

**Dates of hearing 4-1-2011**

**Date of order 4-1-2011**

The Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras vide its order dated 8-4-2010 has transferred the W.P.No.7097 of 2010 filed by the petitioner praying to issue a writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records of the third

respondent in Lr. No.SE/UEDC/UDT/AO/REV/AS/HT/F.Power Cut /2010 dated 12-3-2010, the consequential refixation of quota and quash the same as illegal, arbitrary and without authority of law and consequently direct the respondents to permit the petitioner to run their industry continuously in optimum demand with restricted power holidays without evening peak hour restrictions as permitted in clause I (n) of memo dated 1-11-2008 issued by the second respondent.

The said transferred petition was taken on file of the Commission as T.A.No.1 of 2010. The petition came up for hearing before the Commission on **4-1-2011**. The Commission upon perusing the above T.A.No.1 of 2010 and other connected records and after hearing both sides passes the following:-

**ORDER**

The Standing counsel for the Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Thiru. H.S. Mohamed Rafi produced a letter from the petitioner addressed to the Superintending Engineer, Coimbatore Electricity Distribution Circle stating that the petitioner intends to withdraw the case. The Learned counsel for the petitioner Thiru. Rahul Balaji submitted that he has received oral instructions from the petitioner to withdraw the case. The above fact has been recorded. Petitioner is permitted to withdraw the petition.

**Delivered on 4-1-2011**

**(Sd.....)**  
**(S. Nagalsamy)**  
**Member – II**

**(Sd.....)**  
**(K. Venugopal)**  
**Member – I**

**(Sd.....)**  
**(S.Kaliban)**  
**Chairman**

**/ True Copy /**

**Secretary**  
**Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission**