

**BEFORE THE TAMIL NADU ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**11<sup>th</sup> September 2007**

**PRESENT**

**Thiru. S. KABILAN**

**Chairman**

**Thiru. B. JEYARAMAN**

**Member**

**And**

**Thiru. R. RAJUPANDI**

**Member**

**M.P.No. 8 of 2007**

Sai Regency Power Corporation Pvt. Ltd.,  
Rep. by its Authorised Signatory,  
2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Crown Court,  
No. 128, Cathedral Road,  
Chennai – 600 026.

.....

**Petitioner**

**Vs**

1. The Chairman,  
Tamil Nadu Electricity Board,  
NPKRR Maaligai,  
No. 800, Anna Salai,  
Chennai – 600 002.

2. The Superintending Engineer,  
Tamil Nadu Electricity Board,  
Ramnad Electricity Distribution Circle,  
Ramnad, Tamil Nadu.

.....

**Respondents**

---

The above petition namely M.P.No. 8 of 2007 came up for hearing on 11<sup>th</sup> September 2007 before the Commission. The Learned senior Counsel Thiru. R. Thiagarajan represented the learned counsel on record for the petitioner. The

Learned Counsel for the respondent filed a letter dated 11-9-2007 from the respondent board addressed to him and the Commission passes the following

## **ORDER**

### **1. Prayer of the Petitioner**

The Prayer of the petitioner is that this Commission may be pleased to direct

- (a) 1<sup>st</sup> respondent to implement the Order No.2 of 2006 passed by this Hon'ble Commission on 15-5-2006 in T.P.No. 1 of 2005 insofar as it relates to wheeling / transmission of power generated by the petitioner and wheeling to its captive consumers
- (b) The respondents to rework the energy units to be credited into the bills of the petitioner and issue necessary amendment letters to the concerned for giving credit in the subsequent bills for the period from 6-2-2007 to such date when the Order No. 2 of the Hon'ble Commission is not implemented by the 1<sup>st</sup> and the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent.

### **2. Arguments of the petitioner**

Learned Senior Counsel Thiru. R. Thiagarajan represented learned counsel on record for the petitioner, while reading Serial No. 7 at page 51 of the paper book of the petition which relates to wheeling charges for long term Open Access customers contended that the respondents want to deduct 15% for wheeling charges. He contended that the billing should be based on Order No.2 dated 15-05-2006 of the Commission which has become final and binding upon the respondents.

### **3. Plea of the Respondents**

The learned counsel for respondents filed a letter dated 11<sup>th</sup> September 2007 addressed to him by the CE / Private Power Project of the respondent board. The decision of the respondent board with regard to the petitioner contention is reproduced below.

“ The Board will implement the Order No.2 dated 12-05-2006 and Order No.4 dated 15-05-2006 of the Hon’ble Commission and necessary revised agreement will be entered into with the petitioner”.

#### **4. Conclusionss**

The above decision of the respondents board is recorded. The respondents board is directed to implement the above decision as per applicability of its orders within thirty days from the date of receipt of this Order.

With the above observations, M.P.No. 8 of 2007 is finally disposed off.

**R. Rajupandi**  
**Member**

**B. Jeyaraman**  
**Member**

**S. Kabilan**  
**Chairman**